
From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk
Sent: 20 July 2023 15:15
To: Planning
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/23/0189
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 20/07/2023 3:16 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Sandgate Nursery West End Lane Henfield West Sussex BN5 9RD
Proposal:	Outline application for erection of a continuing care retirement community of up to 72 units of accommodation (Use Class C2) and up to 10 starter homes (Use Class C3) with associated community facilities including medical centre and on-site laundry and catering facilities, with access, infrastructure, open space, landscaping and associated works (all matters reserved except for access).
Case Officer:	Amanda Wilkes

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	Greenbanks Upper Station Road Henfield
----------	--

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Highway Access and Parking - Loss of General Amenity - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise - Trees and Landscaping
Comments:	I object to this planning application on the following grounds: There is a real risk that the development will compound upon the already unacceptable increased traffic levels along Upper Station Road since the completion of the Barretts development, leading to more noise pollution, more air pollution and more wear and tear on the road surface.

The site is home to a lot of valued local wildlife, the habitat for which would be destroyed by the development.

It would put an ancient byway running from Henfield to the Adur River at risk of increased flooding which would also put adjacent properties at risk of flooding.

The plan is not within the agreed Henfield Neighbourhood plan.

There infrastructure including parking in Henfield cannot cope with additional new development on this scale.

The plan was previously rejected on sound reasoning, so why are HDC allowing the plan for consideration again.

If the plan is for largely a retirement community, why is it targeting an area which is not within easy walking distance of the village centre.

Why plan for 2 storey houses when elderly residents who struggle with staircases may prefer the prospect of single storey dwellings.

Kind regards