

From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 15 September 2026 06:40:49 UTC+01:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/26/1186
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 15/09/2026 6:40 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land North of Rectory Lane Ashington West Sussex
Proposal:	Outline Application for redevelopment of the site to provide up to 125 dwellings, access, public open space and associated landscaping, drainage and highways infrastructure with all matters reserved except access.
Case Officer:	Sam Whitehouse

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: Firtree Cottage Billingshurst Road Ashington

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Highway Access and Parking - Loss of General Amenity - Other - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise - Trees and Landscaping
Comments:	Formal objection - DC/26/1186

I object to this application for up to 125 additional houses.

My main concern is the cumulative amount of development now being proposed for Ashington. The village has already accepted substantial housing growth through its Neighbourhood Plan and subsequent development.

HDC has previously assessed the Northern Cluster and did not recommend it for allocation, giving it an estimated housing number of zero. HDC concluded that the cumulative impact on local services and infrastructure would be "unsustainable" and that development on the scale proposed was "not appropriate to the scale and the status of the village".

I cannot see how those concerns have disappeared. Ashington still has limited infrastructure, with no GP surgery, dentist or secondary school, and residents already have to travel outside the village for many everyday services.

Emerging MM229 also requires the wider Northern Cluster to be developed in accordance with a "comprehensive site-wide masterplan". Allowing separate applications to proceed individually seems contrary to the purpose of planning the whole area comprehensively.

Ashington has already taken significant housing growth. I believe the cumulative impact on our roads, schools, healthcare, drainage and village infrastructure must be properly considered.

For these reasons I object to DC/26/1186 and ask HDC to refuse the application.

Kind regards

Telephone:

Email: planning@horsham.gov.uk

