

GHA Trees
5 South Drive
High Wycombe
Bucks
HP13 6JU



Glen Harding MICFor
MSc (Forestry), MArborA
t: 07884 056025
e: info@ghatrees.co.uk
www.ghatrees.co.uk

**BS5837:2012 ARBORICULTURAL
METHOD STATEMENT:
Springlands Gate, Fryland Lane, Wineham, BN5 9BP**

Dated: 11th May 2026

Our reference: GHA/MS/666160:26

CONTENTS

Section	Subject	Page
	Instructions	3
	Executive Summary	3
	Documents Supplied	4
	Scope of Survey	4
	Survey Method	5
	The Site	6
	Subject Trees	6
	The Proposal	6
	Method statement and procedures for development works	7
	Conclusion	10
	Recommendations	10
Appendix A	TPP: Tree Protection Plan (Attached as a separate PDF file to maintain its integrity / accuracy)	
Appendix B	Tree Table	
Appendix C	Extract from BS5837:2012 – Protective Fencing	
Appendix D	Site monitoring record sheet	

Arboricultural Method Statement

Location: Springlands Gate, Fryland Lane, Wineham, BN5 9BP
Our reference: GHA/MS/666160:26
Client: Gould Baxter
Dated: 11th May 2026
Prepared by: Glen Harding MICFor, MSc (Forestry), MArborA
Date of Inspection: 23rd December 2023

Please note that abbreviations introduced in (brackets) may be used throughout the report.

Instructions

Issued by – Gould Baxter

TERMS OF REFERENCE – To survey the subject trees within Springlands Gate, Fryland Lane, Wineham, in order to assess their general condition and to provide an arboricultural method statement for the approved development, that safeguards the long term well being of the nearby retained trees and satisfies planning condition number 3 (decision notice ref: DC/23/1920).

The writer retains the copyright of this report and its content is for the sole use of the client(s) named above. Copying of this document may only be undertaken in connection with the above instruction. Reproduction of the whole, or any part of the document without written consent from GHA Trees is forbidden. Tree work contractors, for the purpose of tendering only, may reproduce the Schedule for tree works included in the appendices.

Executive Summary

The proposal for the site is to renovate and extend the existing house. A new pool and pool building will also be constructed as well as a new detached garage. The access and parking arrangements will also be amended to improve accessibility. The proposed scheme does not require the removal or pruning of any of the trees on site, or of trees within nearby adjacent sites; therefore, the landscape character of the site will be unaffected by the proposal. The retained trees require protection in accordance with industry best practice and BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations, in order to ensure their longevity.

Documents Supplied

The client supplied the following documents:

- Topographical survey
- Existing layout plans
- Proposed layout plans

Scope of Survey

- 1.1 The survey is concerned with the arboricultural aspects of the site only.
- 1.2 The planning status of the subject property was not investigated in detail.
- 1.3 A qualified Arboriculturist undertook the report and site visit and the contents of this report are based on this. Whilst reference may be made to built structure or soils, these are only opinions and confirmation should be obtained from a qualified expert as required.
- 1.4 Trees in third party ownership were surveyed from within the subject property, therefore a detailed assessment was not possible and some (if not all) measurements were estimated. Where the stem location of a third party tree has been estimated, this is noted on the plan.
- 1.5 Dense vegetation or climbers (such as ivy) also prohibited full inspections for some trees; this is noted where applicable.
- 1.6 No discussions took place between the surveyor and any other party.
- 1.7 The trees were inspected on the basis of the Visual Tree Assessment method expounded by Mattheck and Breleor (The body language of tree, DoE booklet Research for Amenity Trees No. 4, 1994)
- 1.8 The survey was undertaken in accord with British Standard 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations.
- 1.9 Underground services near to trees will need to be installed in accord with the guidance given in BS5837.
- 1.10 The client's attention is drawn to the responsibilities under the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981).

Survey Method

- 2.1 The survey was conducted from ground level with the aid of binoculars if needed.
- 2.2 No tissue samples were taken nor was any internal investigation of the subject trees undertaken.
- 2.3 No soil samples were taken.
- 2.4 The height of each subject tree was estimated using a clinometer and recorded to the nearest half metre.
- 2.5 The stem diameter for each tree was measured in line with the requirements set out in BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations.
- 2.6 The crown spreads were measured with an electronic distometer and recorded to the nearest half metre. Where the crown radius was notably different in any direction this has been noted on the Plan (appendix A) and within the tree table (Appendix B). The crowns of those trees that are proposed for removal, or trees where the crown spread is deemed insignificant in relation to the proposed development are not always shown on the appended plan; however their stem locations are marked for reference.
- 2.7 The Root Protection Area (RPA) for each tree is included in the tree table, both as an area, and as the radius of a circle.
- 2.8 The crown clearance was measured using a clinometer and recorded to the nearest half metre. Where it is significantly lower in one direction, this is noted within the tree table at appendix B.
- 2.9 All of the trees that were inspected during the site visit are detailed on the plan at Appendix A; this plan was produced in colour and **MUST** only be scanned or reproduced in colour. The trees on this plan are categorised and shown in the following format:

COLOUR CODING AND RATING OF TREES:

Category A – Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. Colour = light green crown outline on plan.

Category B – Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years. Colour = mid blue crown outline on plan.

Category C – Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 to 20 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm. Colour = uncoloured crown outline on plan.

Category U – Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years. Colour = red crown outline on plan.

All references to tree rating are made in accordance with BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations’, Table 1.

The Site

- 3.1 The site is located on Fryland Lane in the village of Wineham.
- 3.2 Access to the property is currently gained via a driveway to the south of the site.

The Subject Trees

- 4.1 The details of the subject trees are set out in the Schedule at Appendix B.
- 4.2 Of the eighteen individual trees, and groups of trees surveyed, fourteen have been assessed as BS 5837 category A, two have been assessed as BS category B, with the remaining tree being assessed as BS 5837 category C.

Category A	14 trees
Category B	2 trees
Category C	2 trees

The Proposal

- 5.1 The proposal for the site is to renovate and extend the existing house.
- 5.2 A new pool and pool building will also be constructed as well as a new detached garage.
- 5.3 The access and parking arrangements will also be amended to improve accessibility.
- 5.4 The proposed location of the above structures can be seen on the appended plan.

Method Statement and Procedures for Development Works

6.1 TREE PROTECTION BARRIERS

The position of the proposed protective fencing for the site is shown on the Tree Protection Plan (TPP) by a **pink** line. The position of the fence **MUST** be marked out with biodegradable marker paint on site and agreed with appropriate representatives from the contractor. The fencing **MUST** be erected **prior** to any works in the vicinity of the trees and removed only when all development activity is complete. The protective fencing **MUST** be as that shown in BS 5837 (see Appendix C). The herras panels **MUST** be joined together using a minimum of two anti-tamper couplers which **MUST** be installed so they can only be removed from the inside of the fence. The panels **MUST** supported by stabilizer struts, which **MUST** be installed on the inside and secured to the ground using pins or appropriate weights.

The Fence must be marked with a clear sign reading:

“Construction Exclusion Zone – No Access”

6.2 GROUND PROTECTION – LIGHTWEIGHT ACCESS ONLY

An area of the site will require ground protection to ensure that soil erosion or excessive compaction does not occur. The areas where this protection is required are outlined in **orange** hatching on the appended plan. This area **MUST** be covered with a permeable membrane, with 150mm layer of compressible woodchip overlaying it; an 18mm marine ply boards will then be secured on top of the woodchip to allow a 1.5tonne mini-digger to access the area without causing major compaction or soil erosion.



Above: ground protection make-up

6.3 GROUND PROTECTION (EXISTING)

The hard surfacing that exists provides adequate ground protection for the level of works proposed in these areas and **MUST** therefore be retained in situ for the entirety of the site works.


6.4 BOUNDARY TREATMENTS

Boundary fencing installation / upgrades **MUST** be undertaken as part of the soft landscaping phase and **MUST** be installed **ONLY** when all machinery that is on site for the main build has permanently left the site (NB. If needed, boundary fencing can also be installed prior to the commencement of site works, i.e.. before any machinery has been brought onto the site). Where sections of new / upgraded fencing are located within the RPA of ANY tree that is to be retained, this work **MUST** be undertaken by hand using hand tools only. The locations of the new fence upright posts will be finalised following trial digs to confirm there are no major (over 25mm) roots present; if any such roots are found, the location must

be altered. If any smaller roots are found, these can be cut using sharp hand sharp tools to leave a 'clean' cut, in order to minimise the risk of infection by decay pathogens. The post holes within the RPAs should then be lined with plastic sheeting before any concrete or cement is placed into the hole, in order that there is no risk of leaching into the nearby soil as the mixture dries.

- 6.5 NO DIG SURFACING CONSTRUCTION METHOD IN ACCORDANCE WITH BS: 5837
The sections of the new driveway that are within the RPAs of the retained trees **MUST** be constructed as follows. Below is a diagram detailing the makeup of the new drive and also a typical cross the installation methodology is included below this diagram.

No dig drive makeup



METHODOLOGY:

- Eradication of all existing ground vegetation must be undertaken using a translocated herbicide. Any product used for this purpose must be selected to ensure that it will not have an adverse affect on the health of the retained trees, and carried out by a suitably trained operative.
- Any major protrusions within the soil must be removed, such as large rocks or existing tree stumps. Any holes should be filled with sharp sand.
- Lay a geotextile membrane over the entire area(s) to be protected, ensuring a one 1m overlap where necessary. All new surfacing **MUST** be positioned at least 500mm from tree stems or buttress roots.
- Construction of the edging of the area is to be implemented with the use of vertical steel pegs driven into the ground at intervals of 500mm with side supports firmly attached. **CHECK FOR UNDERGROUND SERVICES PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF SUCH WORK.**
- The three dimensional cellular confinement system (e.g cellweb or similar) must be cut to size and placed within the pre-prepared area. This area must now be filled with a no-fines aggregate infill. This must then be compacted to avoid the possibility of future "rutting".
- Lay a final layer of the geotextile membrane on top of this surface.
- A porous material can now be placed on top to complete the construction.
- Graded top soil will be used to bring the adjacent grassed areas to the same level as the new driveway.

- 6.6 DELIVERY AND STORAGE OF BUILDING MATERIALS
Storage areas **MUST** be outside of the tree protection barriers (**pink** lines).
- 6.7 SITE HUTS, WELFARE FACILITIES AND STORAGE OF EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND CHEMICALS
All site huts **MUST** be positioned outside of tree RPAs and the tree protection barriers (**pink** lines).
- 6.8 MIXING OF CONCRETE
All mixing of cement / concrete **MUST** be undertaken outside of the RPA of all of the retained trees.
- 6.9 INCOMING SERVICES, DRAINAGE AND SOAKAWAYS
New services **MUST** be routed to avoid all RPAs of retained trees on site and within nearby sites. From an assessment of the subject site, undertaken in conjunction with the project architect, there is no reason to assume this isn't possible. Inspection chambers **MUST** be sited outside the RPA.
- 6.10 ON SITE SUPERVISION
Regular site supervision is essential to ensure all potentially damaging activities near to trees are properly supervised. A pre start site meeting **MUST** occur to ensure all parties are aware of their responsibilities relating to tree protection on site; this **MUST** include a site induction for key personnel.

Key personnel:

Name	Position	Contact number / email:
Glen Harding	Retained arboriculturalist	07884 056 025 Or info@ghatrees.co.uk
TBC	Site manager	TBC

At this pre start meeting, a supervision programme **MUST** be devised by the site manager and retained Arboriculturalist, ensuring that Arboricultural supervision is present at the appropriate periods during construction. The critical phases as listed below will be supervised inspected on site by the retained Arboriculturalist. The records of these site monitoring visits will be recorded on the site monitoring sheet at appendix D. After this pre start meeting, day-to-day responsibility for tree protection will be devolved to the site manager who will make contact with the retained arboriculturalist as needed.

- 6.11 OTHER TREE PROTECTION PRECAUTIONS
- **NO** fires lit on site within 20 metres of any tree to be retained.
 - **NO** fuels, oils or substances with will be damaging to the tree shall be spilled or poured on site.
 - **NO** storage of any materials within the root protections zone.
- 6.12 HARD LANDSCAPING NEAR RETAINED TREES
All new pathways and hard landscaping areas within the Root Protection Areas (RPAs) of the retained trees **MUST** be designed using no-dig, up and over construction techniques, and be specified in close co-ordination with the retained

Arboriculturalist. Porous materials **MUST** also be used when surfacing near the trees. No machinery will be used for this work, which **MUST** all be done by hand.

6.13 DISMANTLING PROTECTIVE BARRIERS

Protective barriers must only be completely removed when all machinery, and equipment has left site.

Conclusion

- 7.1 In conclusion, the principal arboricultural features within the site can be retained and adequately protected during development activities.
- 7.2 Subject to precautionary measures as detailed above, the proposal will not be injurious to trees to be retained.

Recommendations

- 8.2 Site supervision – An individual e.g. the Site Agent, must be nominated to be responsible for all arboricultural matters on site. This person must:
 - a. Be present on the site the majority of the time.
 - b. Be aware of the arboricultural responsibilities.
 - c. Have the authority to stop any work that is, or has the potential to cause harm to any tree.
 - d. Be responsible for ensuring that all site personnel are aware of their responsibilities towards trees on site and the consequences of the failure to observe those responsibilities.
 - e. Make immediate contact with the local authority and / or retained arboriculturalist in the event of any related tree problems occurring whether actual or potential.
- 8.3 It is recommended, that to ensure a commitment from all parties to the healthy retention of the trees, that details are passed by the architect or agent to any contractors working on site, so that the practical aspects of the above precautions are included in their method statements, and financial provision made for these.

11th May 2026

Signed:



Glen Harding MICFor, MSc (Forestry), MARborA
For and on behalf of GHA Trees

Appendix A
TREE PROTECTION PLAN
(see separate PDF)

Appendix B

TREE TABLE

Tree Number	Tree Name (species)	Ht (m)	Calculated Stem Diameter (mm)	Number of Stems	Root Protection Area (Radius, m)	N (m)	E (m)	S (m)	W (m)	Age Class	Clearance (m)	Estimated life expectancy	BS Category	Comments / Recommendations
T1	Oak	16	710	1	8.52	3.5	5	6	5	M	6 east	40+	A1 and A2	Off site - full inspection not possible. Some measurements estimated.
T2	Oak	18	670	1	8.04	2	5	4.5	7	M	8 east	40+	A1 and A2	No significant / notable defects observed during inspection.
T3	Oak	18	450	1	5.40	2	3.5	1.5	7	M	8 east	40+	A1 and A2	No significant / notable defects observed during inspection.
T4	Oak	8	470	1	5.64	2	4.5	2	7	M	7 east	20-40	B1	Suppressed tree of poor form.
T5	Oak	22	720	1	8.64	2	5	4	7	M	8 east	40+	A1 and A2	No significant / notable defects observed during inspection.
T6	Oak	23	840	1	10.08	6	9.5	2	8	M	8 east	40+	A1 and A2	No significant / notable defects observed during inspection.
T7	Oak	19	667	2	8.00	2	6.5	3	7	M	8 east	40+	A1 and A2	No significant / notable defects observed during inspection.
T8	Oak	20	560	1	6.72	2	6	3	8	M	9 east	40+	A1 and A2	No significant / notable defects observed during inspection.
T9	Oak	25	1010	1	12.12	4	7	6	7	M	8 east	40+	A1 and A2	No significant / notable defects observed during inspection.

Tree Number	Tree Name (species)	Ht (m)	Calculated Stem Diameter (mm)	Number of Stems	Root Protection Area (Radius, m)	N (m)	E (m)	S (m)	W (m)	Age Class	Clearance (m)	Estimated life expectancy	BS Category	Comments / Recommendations
T10	Oak	24	1168	2	14.01	7	11	5.5	9	M	7 east	40+	A1 and A2	No significant / notable defects observed during inspection.
T11	Oak	18	670	1	8.04	3	4	5	9	M	7	40+	A1 and A2	No significant / notable defects observed during inspection.
T12	Oak	24	930	1	11.16	9	8	7	9	M	7 south	40+	A1 and A2	No significant / notable defects observed during inspection.
T13	Weeping willow	15	510	1	6.12	6.5	7.5	5	3	M	2	20-40	B1	No significant / notable defects observed during inspection.
T14	Oak	18	720	1	8.64	6.5	2	7	6	M	5 north	40+	A1 and A2	No significant / notable defects observed during inspection.
T15	Oak	18	550	1	6.60	6.5	5.5	7	1	M	5 north	40+	A1 and A2	No significant / notable defects observed during inspection.
T16	Sorbus	4	140	1	1.68	2	2	2	2	M	2	10-20	C1	Unremarkable tree of modest quality and of limited value in the wider landscape.
T17	Cherry	6	354	4	4.24	4	4.5	5.5	4.5	M	2	10-20	C1	Unremarkable tree of modest quality and of limited value in the wider landscape.


Tree Number	Tree Name (species)	Ht (m)	Calculated Stem Diameter (mm)	Number of Stems	Root Protection Area (Radius, m)	N (m)	E (m)	S (m)	W (m)	Age Class	Clearance (m)	Estimated life expectancy	BS Category	Comments / Recommendations
T18	Oak	18	800	1	9.60	8	8	8	8	M	3	40+	A1	Off site - full inspection not possible. Some measurements estimated.

KEY :

Tree No: (T= individual tree, G= group of trees, W= woodland)
Age class: Young (Y), Middle aged (MA), Mature (M), Over mature (OM),
Veteran (V)
Height (Ht): Measured in metres +/- 1m

Appendix C
TREE FENCING DETAIL

Figure 3 Examples of above-ground stabilizing systems



Appendix F

Site Monitoring Sheet

Site:			
Project:			
Client:		Contact:	
Site monitoring inspection date:			Name of inspector:
Notes:			
Action required to rectify any issues:			
Date Action taken:			
Site monitoring inspection date:			Name of inspector:
Notes:			
Action required to rectify any issues:			
Date Action taken:			
Site monitoring inspection date:			Name of inspector:
Notes:			
Action required to rectify any issues:			
Date Action taken:			

