

From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 22 July 2026 23:50:01 UTC+01:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/26/0592
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 22/07/2026 11:49 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land at Lower Broadbridge Farm Billingshurst Road Broadbridge Heath Horsham West Sussex RH12 3LR
Proposal:	Reserved matters application for the erection of 133 dwellings following approval of outline application DC/22/1052 as amended by DC/25/1886, relating to appearance, landscaping, layout and scale
Case Officer:	Alice Johnson

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: 1 Singleton Road Broadbridge Heath Horsham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Highway Access and Parking - Loss of General Amenity - Other - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise - Trees and Landscaping

Comments:

I wish to register my objection to planning application DC/26/0592.

Whilst I acknowledge the need for new housing, I do not believe this proposal adequately demonstrates that the surrounding infrastructure and local services can accommodate a development of 133 dwellings without causing significant harm to the existing community.

My concerns are as follows:

Highway Capacity and Road Safety

A development of this size will generate a substantial increase in traffic. Local roads already experience congestion, particularly during peak commuting and school drop-off times. Increased vehicle movements will inevitably worsen congestion, increase journey times and create additional safety risks for pedestrians and cyclists, particularly where footpaths and crossing facilities are limited.

Pressure on Healthcare Services

Local GP surgeries, NHS dental practices and other healthcare services are already operating under significant pressure. The application provides insufficient evidence that additional healthcare capacity will be available to meet the needs of the increased population.

School Capacity

The development is likely to place considerable pressure on local primary and secondary schools. Unless sufficient additional school places are secured before occupation, existing residents may find it increasingly difficult to access local education.

Lack of Supporting Infrastructure

Housing should not be delivered in isolation. A development of 133 homes should be accompanied by meaningful investment in transport, healthcare, education, community facilities and utilities. Without these improvements, the proposal risks placing an unacceptable burden on existing residents.

Loss of Greenfield Land and Biodiversity

The proposal would permanently remove valuable open countryside, reducing biodiversity and eroding the rural setting of the area. Green spaces provide important habitats for wildlife and contribute significantly to residents' wellbeing.

Surface Water Drainage and Flood Risk

Replacing permeable land with housing and roads inevitably increases surface water runoff. The Council should be fully satisfied that the proposed drainage strategy will not increase flood risk to neighbouring properties or surrounding roads, particularly during periods of heavy rainfall.

Air Quality and Noise

The increase in traffic associated with this development will lead to greater levels of noise and vehicle emissions, adversely affecting both existing and future residents.

Construction Impacts

Construction over several years will inevitably result in increased heavy goods vehicle movements, noise, dust and disruption for neighbouring residents.

Premature Development Without Infrastructure Delivery

The development appears to place housing delivery ahead of infrastructure provision. Any approval should only be considered where there is clear, enforceable evidence that the necessary highway improvements, healthcare contributions, education provision and community facilities will be delivered in step with the development.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that Horsham District Council refuses planning permission for application DC/26/0592, unless it can be clearly demonstrated that the proposal can proceed without placing unacceptable pressure on local infrastructure, public services, the environment and the quality of life of existing residents.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration

Kind regards

Telephone:

Email: planning@horsham.gov.uk



**Horsham
District
Council**

Horsham District Council, Albery House, Springfield Road, Horsham, West Sussex RH12 2GB
Telephone: 01403 215100 (calls may be recorded) www.horsham.gov.uk Chief Executive: Jane E aton