

Stephanie.Bryant

From: Lee.Money
Sent: 24 September 2026 10:24
To: Stephanie.Bryant
Cc: Kevin.Beer
Subject: RE: NC/26/0029 Sandgate Quarry EnvH response 240926

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Steph

I've looked through the response to Kevins comments from WBM Acoustic Consultants.

In short the calculations show that the all residential receptors will be below the 55dB LAEQ noise limit. However its important to note that some will be only marginally below the limit and therefore there is a risk that that uncertainty in the calculations may mean that the limit is exceeded on occasions due to the variability inherent in site operations. To address this I would recommend that a condition requiring a noise management plan be applied to ensure the assumptions in the modelling remain valid through out the life of the development.

In response to Kevins point on noise assessment of the fixed plant installation the Technical note advises that at a distance of 80m the wash plant is generally broadband in nature with no clear tones. It is concluded that:

"The proposed wash plant does not introduce a new or novel source to the site or locality, which has a long history of quarrying works including used of mobile and fixed plant and machinery."

Again to ensure this comment remains valid, a noise management plan should be submitted to and approved by the planning authority as for condition 6 to approved application WSCC/044/18/SR.

Regards

Lee

From: Stephanie.Bryant [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 September 2026 08:17
To: Lee.Money [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: NC/26/0029 Sandgate Quarry

Hi Lee,

Thank you for picking this up for me [REDACTED]

Background context

Kevin's comments on initial consultation:

1. We note the comment in section 2.2 'there is a requirement for the filter press to operate beyond the regular hours, up until 20:00 Monday to Friday inclusive'. It is however not clear from the supporting information why the filter press is required to operate up until 20:00 hours Monday to Friday i.e. beyond the currently permitted hours – we would be grateful for some clarity on this.
2. From reviewing Table 5.1 we note that the calculated noise levels (Scenario 1) at Wood End and Brambledown are only marginally below the Planning Limit of 55dB. With this in mind we note that

calculations have been provided in the appendices which is welcomed, it is however not entirely clear how the 54dB value which has been calculated for these two properties has been derived.

3. It is also not clear what noise levels these two properties currently experience in the 0700 to 1800 hours period and how much the proposed plant will contribute to noise levels during this time. The character of the noise associated with the wash plant may also be different and potentially more noticeable, it is however not clear if this has been taken into account as part of the assessment.
4. From reviewing Table 5.1 we also note that the highest noise level out of hours is calculated to be 35dB at Sandgate Lodge, similar to comment 3 above it is entirely clear how this figure has been derived.
5. Whilst we fully appreciate that comparing noise levels with the planning limit and current Government minerals planning guidance is entirely relevant, given the proposals include fixed plant it is however not clear why an assessment in accordance with BS4142 'Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound' has also not formed part of the overall noise assessment as an additional line of evidence.

And in response the applicant has provided additional information (clarifications and email note), viewable on West Sussex register here: <https://westsussex.planning-register.co.uk/Planning/Display/WSCC/019/26#undefined>

I am looking for an updated response on whether the above points have now been addressed.

Please can you reply by midday today so I can respond before I finish at 2pm?

Any queries, drop me a call.

Kind regards,
Steph