From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 21 November 2024 15:37:21 UTC+00:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/24/1677
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 21/11/2024 3:37 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Daisy Cottage Bonnetts Lane Ifield West Sussex RH11 0NX
Proposal:	Removal of Conditions 3 and 4 of previously approved application RS/11/01 (Conversion of buildings to dwelling and garage retention of access removal of hardstanding to form paddock), to remove permitted development right restrictions.
Case Officer:	Alice Johnson

Click for further information

Customer Details

Address:	Rusper Stores High Street Rusper Horsham West Sussex

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Parish Council
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Other
Comments:	The council objects to this application. These restrictions just remove permitted rights and require any future changes to need specific permission. This is not dissimilar to restrictions on Listed Buildings. The supporting reasons for the removal of conditions are spurious and list points such as "amenity" not being valid, however the definition of amenity as "the pleasantness or attractiveness of a place" is wholly relevant as was the main reasons for both conditions 3 & 4 being imposed. Changes in

planning policy have made conversion of buildings easier, but the requirements for subsequent development after the conversion can still have similar conditions imposed and no legal planning change (that RPC are aware of) has altered this. The rules for conditions were met at the time and the council feel are reasonable against the criteria they list in their document and are also reasonable against the latest NPPF guidance [(a) necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms; (b) directly related to the development; and (c) fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development]. Given the rural nature of the location, requiring planning consent for any further changes is not unreasonable.

Kind regards