AEWC Ltd

Animal Ecology & Wildlife Consultants

Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment

Land to West of Hurston Lane

Hurston Lane
Storrington
Pulborough
West Sussex

Natalie Arscott

23-250
July 2024

AEWC Ltd Birch Walk, Lower Street, Fittleworth, West Sussex, RH20 1JE
Tel:08452 505585, info@aewc.co.uk , www.aewc.co.uk

Registered in England and Wales No. 06527840

Contents

Summary 2
1. Introduction 3
2. Background 3
3. Method and Constraints 5
4. Habitat data 6
5. Results 7
6. Conclusions & Recommendations 8
7. Wildlife Enhancements 8
References 12

FIGURE 1: SHOWING THE SITE LOCATION 3
FIGURE 2: AERIAL VIEW OF THE SITE SHOWING THE BOUNDARY 4
FIGURE 3: PROPOSED WORKS 5
FIGURE 4: EXISTING ON SITE HABITATS 6
FIGURE 5: POST-DEVELOPMENT ON SITE HABITATS 7

Author	Natalie Arscott
Authorised by	Annika Binet
Report and version number	23-250-BNG-v1
Survey Date	02/04/2024

Summary

- AEWC Ltd were commissioned by 'Batcheller Monkhouse on behalf of their client to undertake a Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment Land to West of Hurston Lane, Storrington, Pulborough, West Sussex at central grid reference TQ 07519 15695 to help inform the proposed development of the site.

- The estimated existing and post-development biodiversity value of the habitats on the site is calculated using the Defra Statutory Biodiversity Small Site Metric Calculation Tool.

- The development includes involves construction of a stable block and sand school for equestrian use in the west of the site. This will involve the removal of areas of modified grassland and bare ground.

- Ecological enhancements built into the development design include the enhancement of areas of modified grassland to other neutral grassland, planting of 35 new native trees, and planting of a 30m length of native hedgerow. In terms of the BNG metric, these are sufficient to offset the loss of the existing habitats on the site.

- **The headline results indicate that there is an estimated positive (i.e. gain) BNG of 10.22% for habitat units and 10.92% for hedgerow units. The 10% BNG target is therefore met.**

This report has been prepared by AEWC Limited, with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Contract with the client. We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above. This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies on the report at their own risk.

The information and data which has been prepared and provided is true and has been prepared and provided in accordance with the 'Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal' and 'Code of Professional Conduct' issued by the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM). We confirm that the opinions expressed are our true and professional bona fide opinions.

1. Introduction

1.1 AEWC Ltd were commissioned by Batcheller Monkhouse on behalf of their client to undertake a Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment at Land to West of Hurston Lane, Storrington, Pulborough, West Sussex to help inform the proposed development of the site.

1.2 The purpose of this report is to give an estimate of the BNG units that may be achieved under the current development proposals, where a BNG of +10% is not achieved suggestions for additional ecological enhancement are provided.

2. Background

2.1 A Preliminary Ecological Appraisal was conducted by AEWC Ltd in April 2024.

2.2 The proposed development site is located at Land to West of Tacama off Hurston Lane, Storrington, Pulborough, West Sussex at central grid reference TQ 07519 15695. The site is located in site is located a rural area to the northwest of Storrington, approximately 820m to the east of the A283. The surrounding landscape comprises mostly pasture, small blocks of woodland, and scattered residential properties, with more built-up areas to the northeast and southeast. There is a large block of woodland just under 500m to the west. See Figure 1.



FIGURE 1: SHOWING THE SITE LOCATION

2.3 The proposed development site is 3,393m^2 including the access track and comprises a field of modified grassland with small patches of bramble scrub, a field shelter over bare ground, and an access track that comprises modified grassland, bare ground, and developed land; sealed surface. There is an ecologically valuable line of trees along the northern boundary of the field. See Figure 2 and photos 1 and 2.



FIGURE 2: AERIAL VIEW OF THE SITE SHOWING THE BOUNDARY



Photo 1: modified grassland covering most of the site.



Photo 2: line of trees on the northern boundary.

2.4 The proposed development involves construction of a stable block and sand school for equestrian use in the west of the site. This will involve the removal of areas of modified grassland and bare ground. See Figure 3.




FIGURE 3: PROPOSED WORKS

3. Method and Constraints

3.1 The estimated existing and post-development biodiversity value of the habitats on the site is calculated using the Defra Statutory Biodiversity Small Site Metric Calculation Tool.

3.2 The following assumptions have been made and therefore associated constraints should be considered when looking at BNG unit values obtained:

- The potential for protected and notable species is not covered within the scope of this report;
- Existing habitats on-site are taken from those identified within the survey undertaken in April 2024;
- Post-development habitats have been inferred from those given with the Proposed Site Layout as shown in Figure 3;
- All areas and lengths are approximate;
- Areas in metres squared and lengths in metres are both given to the nearest whole value, therefore rounding errors and occasional adjustments to values, to ensure consistency of total areas in existing and post-development habitat size, are unavoidable;
- Habitat quality has been estimated in some instances (i.e. for post-development habitats);
- Tree areas are calculated using the tree helper tool within the metric;

- The area of planted trees in the open space was estimated using small trees in the tree helper tool.

3.3 Given the above constraints the values for BNG obtained should be considered to be an **estimate** only.

3.4 Calculations may need to be adjusted in future should the BNG metrics or requirements be revised.

3.5 It is noted that the River Store runs to the north of the site. The site boundary is along the tree line, that sits at the top of a steep bank, with the river at the bottom of this bank and outside of the site ownership. As such there is no riparian habitat within the site, with modified grassland right up to the northern site boundary. There will be no encroachment on the watercourse or riverbanks. The watercourse has therefore not been included in the Biodiversity Metric assessment.

4. Habitat data

4.1 The values for existing and post-development habitats and their areas and lengths used for this assessment are illustrated in Figures 4 and 5 respectively.



FIGURE 4: EXISTING ON SITE HABITATS



FIGURE 5: POST-DEVELOPMENT ON SITE HABITATS

5. Results

5.1 The headline results using the above habitats and calculations are given below (refer to the metric for full details).

Table 1: Headline estimated BNG values

Headline		**BNG Targets Met ✓**	
Trading Rules		**Trading Rules Satisfied ✓**	
Baseline Units	***Habitat units***	**3.7092**	
	Hedgerow units	**0.9200**	
	Watercourse units	**Zero Units Baseline**	
Post-development Units	***Habitat units***	**4.0884**	
	Hedgerow units	**1.0204**	
	Watercourse units	**0.0000**	
Total net unit change	***Habitat units***	**0.3792**	✓
	Hedgerow units	**0.1004**	✓
	Watercourse units	**0.0000**	
Total net % change	***Habitat units***	**10.22%**	✓
	Hedgerow units	**10.92%**	✓
	Watercourse units	**% target not appropriate**	

6. Conclusions & Recommendations

6.1 The development includes the loss of areas of modified grassland from the field and access track, and loss of bare ground from the access track. The access track, where there is currently modified grassland and bare ground, is to be resurfaced with artificial unvegetated; unsealed surface. Within the field, an artificial unvegetated; unsealed surface will replace modified grassland to create an extended access track, a yard area, and sand school. Developed land; sealed surface will replace modified grassland to create a stable block. Areas of modified grassland will be retained as grazing paddocks. The existing bramble scrub, bare ground beneath the field shelter, and line of trees on the northern boundary will be retained in their entirety.

6.2 Ecological enhancements built into the development design include the enhancement of areas of modified grassland to other neutral grassland, planting of 35 new native trees, and planting of a 30m length of native hedgerow. In terms of the BNG metric, these are sufficient to offset the loss of the existing habitats on the site.

6.3 The headline results indicate that there is an estimated positive (i.e. gain) BNG of 10.22% for habitat units and 10.92% for hedgerow units. The 10% BNG target is therefore met.

6.4 In England BNG is becoming mandatory under Schedule 7A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as inserted by Schedule 14 of the Environment Act 2021). This came into force in February 2024 for major developments and April 2024 for minor developments. Under the legislation developers must deliver a biodiversity net gain of 10%.

7. Wildlife Enhancements

Bats and Birds

7.1 To enhance the site for bats and birds known to be present within the local area it is recommended that two bat boxes and two bird boxes be installed within the site. Boxes could be installed on existing retained trees within the site or integrated into the new development.

7.2 Ideally bat boxes would be woodcrete or similar hard-wearing material (rather than the less durable wooden boxes) and should be installed at least 3m above the ground (where safe installation is possible), sheltered from strong winds and exposed to the sun for part of the day (usually south or south-west facing).

7.3 Example tree-mounted bat boxes are shown below: Schwegler 1FF bat box (below left, suitable for pipistrelle bats *Pipistrellus sp*.), and a Schwegler 2F bat box (below right, suitable for long-eared bats *Plecotus sp.*), or similar bat boxes.



7.4 Example integrated bat boxes are shown below: Integrate Eco Bat Box (below left), Habibat Bat box - Plain for rendering (below centre) and a Schwegler 1WI Summer and Winter bat box (below right) or similar bat boxes.



7.5 Tree-hung bird boxes should comprise a mix of traditional ‘32mm round-holed’ (below left: which are suitable for tits, sparrows, redstarts and nuthatches) and open-fronted boxes (below right: these are suitable for pied wagtails, robins and wrens) and also ideally be woodcrete or similar hard wearing material (rather than the less durable traditional wooden boxes). Boxes should be installed with an aluminium nail or screw to prevent tree damage between 2m and 4m above ground for round-holed and low down, below 2m, well hidden in vegetation for open-fronted boxes and (unless shaded by buildings or trees) be facing north or east.



7.6 Integrated bird boxes should comprise of swift bricks which are suitable for a range of species (below left), these should be installed at a minimum of 4m above the ground, north or east facing and with open flight access, or sparrow terraces (below centre) which should be installed in line with vegetation such as trees or hedge lines to allow the birds the use of jumping off points and be installed a minimum of 3m above the ground on a north or east elevation. Where suitable overhanging eaves are present house martin cups (below right) may also be suitable.







Reptiles and amphibians

7.7 **To enhance the site for reptiles and amphibians a 'hibernaculum' could be created in a sunny corner of the site.** This will use materials such as logs, inert hardcore, bricks or building rubble to form the body of each hibernaculum, ensuring that materials likely to decompose are not placed beneath bricks or rubble to avoid collapse. Woodchips or soil may be incorporated to fill some of the larger cavities within the structure.





Diagram from Great Crested Newt Conservation Handbook



Stag Beetles

7.8 **A log stack could be created in a discrete corner of the site to provide dead wood habitat features for stag beetle another species.**

7.9 A suitable logpile comprises of a variety of lengths of hard wood at least 5cm in diameter, this should be sighted in partial shade and the wood partially buried to prevent it drying out. See below diagram and picture below from the PTES 'how to build a loggery' factsheet, (*https://ptes.org/get-involved/wildlife-action/help-stag-beetles/*)


Log pyramid
suitable for
small gardens
Approx.
50cm deep



References

CIEEM (2013) *Competencies for Species Survey guidance documents*. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester

CIEEM (2017) *Guidelines on Ecological Report Writing*. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester

CIEEM (2021) *Good Practice Guidance for Habitats and Species*. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester

CIEEM (2022) *Code of Professional Conduct*. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester

Department for Communities and Local Government (2012). *National Planning Policy Framework.* Department of Communities and Local Government, London.

DEFRA (2023) *Defra Biodiversity Statutory Metric Auditing and accounting for biodiversity calculation Tool*

UKHab Ltd (2023). *The UK Habitat Classification Version 2.0 (at https://www.ukhab.org)*