



Date: 07 October 2026

Our ref: 22234

Daniel Holmes
Horsham District Council
Parkside,
Chart Way,
Horsham,
West Sussex
RH12 1RL

By email only: Planning Department, planning@horsham.gov.uk

Thank you for requesting advice on this application from Place Services' ecological advice service. This service provides advice to planning officers to inform Horsham District Council planning decisions with regard to potential ecological impacts from development.

Application: DC/26/1359
Location: Pinkhurst Pinkhurst Lane Slinfold West Sussex RH13 0QR
Proposal: Erection of a mixed-use building for stabling and storage and the creation of a sandschool.

Thank you for consulting Place Services on the above application.

No ecological objection	☐
No ecological objection subject to attached conditions	☐
Further information required/Temporary holding objection on: • European Protected Species (bats)	☒
Recommend Refusal	☐
Subject to Natural England's formal comments on the conclusion of the LPA's Appropriate Assessment	☐

Summary

We have reviewed the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal and Roost Assessment Issue 1.1 (Arbtech Consulting Ltd., April 2026), relating to the likely impacts of development on designated sites, protected and Priority species and habitats and the identification of proportionate mitigation. Please note that comments on Biodiversity Net Gain are provided by Horsham District Council in-house.

We are not satisfied that there is sufficient ecological information available for determination of this application and recommend that additional information on bats is provided prior to determination.

European Protected Species: bats

This is because we note from the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal and Roost Assessment Issue 1.1 (Arbtech Consulting Ltd., April 2026) that one bat emergence/re-entry survey is required on Buildings B4 and B5 (both single storey timber outbuildings) between May and September. This is because both buildings have low bat roost potential and both buildings will be demolished for the development.

To fully assess the impacts of the proposal the LPA needs ecological information for the site, particularly for bats, which are European Protected Species, and protected species and habitats. These surveys are required prior to determination because [Government Standing Advice](#) indicates that you should “*Survey for bats if the area includes buildings or other structures that bats tend to use or there are trees with features that bats tend to use nearby*”.

The results of these surveys are required prior to determination because paragraph 99 of the ODPM Circular 06/2005 highlights that: “*It is essential that the presence or otherwise of protected species, and the extent that they may be affected by the proposed development, is established before the planning permission is granted, otherwise all relevant material considerations may not have been addressed in making the decision.*”

This information is therefore required to provide the LPA with certainty of likely impacts on legally protected species and be able to secure appropriate mitigation either by a mitigation licence from Natural England or a condition of any consent. This will enable the LPA to demonstrate compliance with its statutory duties, including its biodiversity duty under s40 NERC Act 2006 (as amended) and prevent wildlife crime under s17 Crime and Disorder Act 1998.

Furthermore, the Local Planning Authority, as a competent authority, should have regard to the requirements of The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended) when reaching planning decisions including the Favourable Conservation Status licensing test and must not leave this until the licence application stage. Therefore, if a European Protected Species Mitigation Licence is required for this application, appropriate mitigation measures to support the provision of the licence must also be outlined prior to determination to allow certainty to the LPA that a licence will likely be granted.

This is needed to enable the LPA to demonstrate its compliance with its statutory duties including its biodiversity duty under s40 NERC Act 2006 (as amended).

Additional comments

We note from the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal and Roost Assessment Issue 1.1 (Arbtech Consulting Ltd., April 2026) that Buildings B1 (main dwelling), B2 (cottage) and B3 (outbuilding) will be retained. In addition, we understand from the submitted documents that all 47 trees on site will be retained. Therefore, we agree that no further surveys for bats will be required for these three buildings or for trees.

The site lies approximately 10.1km northeast of The Mens Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and is therefore within the 12km Wider Conservation Area for the SAC within which significant impacts or severance to flightlines must be considered. This is because the Wider Conservation Area is the full extent of the range of foraging areas required by Barbastelle bats, which are the qualifying feature of the SAC. However, there are no records for this species within 2km of the site (Sussex Biodiversity Record Centre (SxBRC) – accessed under licence). There are approximately 94 records of bats within 2km of the site, including Serotine, Common Pipistrelle, Noctule and Brown Long-eared bat (SxBRC). Three European Protected Species Mitigation Licences have been granted within 2km of the site: a) 1.2km to the east for Common Pipistrelle bat and Soprano Pipistrelle in 2013; b) 1.4km to the west for Brown Long-eared in 2017; and c) 2.0km to the north for Brown Long-eared bat, Common Pipistrelle, Nathusius' Pipistrelle and Soprano Pipistrelle in 2020 ([MAGIC maps](#)). The site lies approximately 14.4km northeast of Ebernoe Common SAC and therefore outside the Wider Conservation Zone for this SAC and lies approximately 14.4km northeast of Arun Valley SAC, SPA and Ramsar site.

We understand from the submitted documents that the site comprises five buildings, sealed and unsealed surfaces, modified grassland, vegetated garden, a pond, two native hedgerows, one non-native hedgerow and scattered trees. All of the scattered trees and the hedgerows will be retained.

We support the Precautionary Method Statement for reptiles, common amphibians and mobile protected and Priority species (including Hedgehog, which is a threatened and Priority species) in the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal and Roost Assessment Issue 1.1 (Arbtech Consulting Ltd., April 2026). This needs to be secured by a condition of any consent and implemented in full.

If external lighting is required, we also support the implementation of a Wildlife Friendly Lighting Strategy (Preliminary Ecological Appraisal and Roost Assessment Issue 1.1 (Arbtech Consulting Ltd., April 2026)) to avoid impacts from light disturbance. This should be secured by a condition of any consent and implemented in full. Therefore, technical specification should be submitted prior to occupation, which demonstrates measures to avoid lighting impacts to foraging / commuting bats, which are likely to be present within the local area. This should summarise the following measures recommended by [Guidance Note:08/23 \(Institute of Lighting Professionals\)](#) will be implemented:

- Do not provide excessive lighting. Light levels should be as low as possible as required to fulfil the lighting need.
- All luminaires should lack UV elements when manufactured. Metal halide, compact fluorescent sources should not be used.
- Warm White lights should be used at <2700k. This is necessary as lighting which emits an ultraviolet component or that has a blue spectral content has a high attraction effect on insects. This may lead in a reduction in prey availability for some light sensitive bat species.
- Where appropriate, external security lighting should be set on motion-sensors and set to as short a possible a timer as the risk assessment will allow.
- Luminaires should always be mounted horizontally, with no light output above 90° and/or no upward tilt.
- Only if all other options have been explored, accessories such as baffles, hoods or louvres can be used to reduce light spill and direct it only to where it is needed. However, due to the lensing and fine cut-off control of the beam inherent in modern LED luminaires, the effect of cowls and baffles is often far less than anticipated and so should not be relied upon solely.

We also support the proposed reasonable biodiversity measures for protected, Priority and threatened species, which have been recommended in the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal and Roost Assessment Issue 1.1 (Arbtech Consulting Ltd., April 2026) to secure net gains for biodiversity, as outlined under the National Planning Policy Framework (August 2026) N2 Part F. The reasonable biodiversity measures should be outlined within a separate Biodiversity Measures Layout and should be secured by a condition of any consent.

Please note that we have no comments on Great Crested Newt as we have been instructed to leave comments on this European Protected Species to the [NatureSpace Partnership](#).

We look forward to working with the LPA and the applicant to receive the additional information required to support a lawful decision and overcome our holding objection.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any queries in relation to this advice.

Hayley Dean MCIEEM MSc BSc (Hons)
Senior Ecological Consultant
 Place Services at Essex County Council
 Email: PlaceServicesEcology@essex.gov.uk



Place Services provide ecological advice on behalf of Horsham District Council.

Please note:

This letter is advisory and should only be considered as the opinion formed by specialist staff in relation to this particular matter.

We are unable to respond directly to applicants/agents or other interested parties. Any additional information, queries or comments on this advice that the applicant/agent or other interested parties may have, must be directed to the Planning Officer at the relevant LPA, who will seek further advice from us where appropriate.

AI may have been used in the preparation of this response, but its use will be limited to basic proof-reading, grammar, and spelling correction. This response has been reviewed by the author(s). If you have any queries, please [contact us](#) for information about our AI guidance.