



Environmental Assessment Services Limited

Unit 4b, The Courtyard, Holmsted Farm, Cuckfield, West Sussex RH17 4JT
Tel: +44 (0) 1444 882 552 email: info@easltd.co.uk
web site: www.easltd.co.uk

Leonard Warner and Penelope Warner

3 September 2026

Dear Mr and Mrs Warner

Further to the feedback provided by HDC (Ref: DISC/26/0190) in regard to the proposed development of Wappingthorn Lodge, we are pleased to provide an updated CRA report which includes information relating to EHOs eight points.

In summary, these points are addressed within the report as follows:

1. The sampling strategy targeted potential contamination hotspots and areas of the site where future site users are considered most likely to come into direct contact with soils on site post development i.e. proposed garden areas. Potential contamination hotspots in this case, were limited to the area of bonfire residues, where it appears that green waste has been burnt on site. Soils from the proposed garden / soft landscaping areas to the east, south, southwest and northwest of the dwelling have been covered.
2. The trial pit logs have been updated for clearer identification of material including all made ground shown as cross hatch and new hatching for topsoil.
3. The photo provided is of the north side of the pit, the chalk fill is difficult to see as has mixed in part with the topsoil during excavation. The chalk fill has been highlighted in the appendix photograph for TP2.
4. Additional information regarding the sampling strategy has been provided in section 5 of the report.
5. Photo of chalk on north side of TP4 provided.
6. Additional information regarding the sampling strategy has been provided in section 5 of the report.
7. Trial pit log for TP6 provided. TP6 is located within a vegetated area between an unvegetated track and gateway into the field to the south. The trial pit was excavated to confirm what and if any surfacing was present in this location. It was found that the materials present were similar to those observed elsewhere within the site (i.e. topsoil over chalk over the natural underlying clay).

8. No sampling was undertaken in this case, as it was not deemed that this area is at any significant risk of contamination. Post development it is understood this area will remain generally as existing. Given that no contamination has been identified across the more actively used areas of the site, and the materials present show no variation, we are not concerned that any significant contamination is present at this location within the site.

We trust this additional information is of assistance.

Yours sincerely



Daniel Milford
Environmental Consultant