

[REDACTED]

---

**Sent:** 05 June 2026 15:00  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** OBJECTION TO OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION Ref: DC/26/0671  
**Attachments:** DC-26-0671-78-Sycamore-Avenue.pdf

**Categories:** Comments Received

Dear Sir/Madam,

**OBJECTION TO OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION Ref: DC/26/0671**

I wish to object to planning application DC26/0671 for the development of up to 120 dwellings on land east of Crawley Road and south of Old Crawley Road, Horsham.

My objection is based on the planning history of the site, the findings of the Planning Inspector in Appeal Ref. APP/Z3825/W/21/3266503, and the continuing conflict of the proposal with landscape protection and countryside policies.

1. Harm to the High Weald National Landscape

The application site lies within the nationally protected High Weald National Landscape (formerly the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty). The Planning Inspector previously undertook a detailed assessment of this site and concluded that residential development would not conserve and enhance the landscape and scenic beauty of the protected landscape.

The Inspector found that the site forms part of an important mosaic of fields, woodland and historic routes that contribute to the character and natural beauty of the High Weald. Importance was attached to the eastern field, which was identified as having a strong rural character, a close relationship with the wider landscape and an important function as a gateway into the countryside.

The Inspector concluded that development of the site would:

- Result in a significant incursion into a rural and sensitive landscape.
- Cause moderate to major adverse effects on landscape character and visual amenity.
- Diminish the appreciation of the area's natural beauty.
- Erode the sense of tranquility experienced by users of the public rights of way crossing the site.
- Fail to conserve and enhance the landscape and scenic beauty of the protected landscape.

Although the current proposal is smaller than the previous 473-dwelling scheme, the fundamental planning issue remains unchanged. The proposal would still introduce substantial residential development into a protected landscape where the Inspector found the principle of development to be harmful.

2. Conflict with National Landscape Protection Policies

The Inspector specifically found conflict with policies designed to protect the High Weald landscape and countryside. The site remains within the same protected designation and continues to perform the same landscape function.

National policy affords the highest status of protection to landscapes of this importance. Major development should only be permitted in exceptional circumstances where it can clearly be demonstrated to be in public interest.

The applicant has not demonstrated any exceptional circumstances that outweigh the significant and acknowledged landscape harm associated with developing this site.

### 3. Inappropriate Encroachment into the Countryside

The Inspector concluded that the eastern part of the site has only a tenuous relationship with the existing settlement edge and that development would amount to a clear incursion into the countryside. That conclusion remains highly relevant. The site continues to form part of the rural setting of Horsham and contributes to the transition between the built-up area and the wider High Weald landscape. Development would urbanise an open greenfield site that currently provides visual separation, landscape character and recreational value.

### 4. Harm to Public Rights of Way and Recreational Enjoyment

The Inspector recognised that Public Right of Way 1587 and associated routes are highly valued by residents and provide opportunities to experience the rural qualities of the landscape. The previous appeal decision concluded that residential development would fundamentally alter the character of these routes and diminish their tranquility and enjoyment. The same concerns apply to the current proposal. Increased urbanisation, housing, roads, lighting and associated infrastructure would materially change the experience of users of these well-used countryside routes.

### 5. Conflict with the Spatial Strategy for Horsham District

The Inspector found that development on this site conflicted with the district's spatial strategy because it lay outside the built-up area boundary, was not allocated for development, and would fail to protect landscape character. The application remains contrary to the principles of plan-led development and would extend housing into countryside that has not been allocated for this purpose. Approval would undermine the strategic approach to managing growth and protecting the countryside around Horsham.

### 6. Cumulative Landscape Impact

The Inspector specifically considered the relationship between this site and the wider pattern of development around Horsham, including strategic growth to the north. Granting permission on this site would further extend urban development into the High Weald landscape and contribute to the gradual erosion of the countryside setting that planning policy seeks to protect.

### Conclusion

The Planning Inspector's detailed assessment of this site remains a highly material consideration. The appeal was dismissed because the development would fail to conserve and enhance the landscape and scenic beauty of the High Weald, would represent an inappropriate encroachment into the countryside, and would conflict with the spatial strategy for the district. While the number of dwellings has been reduced, the proposal still seeks residential development on the same sensitive site within the High Weald National Landscape. The key landscape, countryside and policy objections identified by the Inspector remain applicable.

### Additional Comments

The planned building project would result in additional congestion on the A264, resulting in possible road traffic accidents, as motorists would need to slow down to join a slip road to the housing estate. This would be at a dangerous proximity to the Moorhead Roundabout, which is often very crowded during rush hours. Construction of a new road and any further reorganization of the roads would result in considerable highways costs.

For these reasons I respectfully request that Horsham District Council refuse planning application DC26/0671.

I look forward to hearing from you on these matters.

Yours Faithfully,



78, Sycamore Avenue,  
Horsham,  
West Sussex, RH12 4TT.

  
78, Sycamore Avenue,  
Horsham,  
West Sussex, RH12 4TT.  
5th June 2026

Horsham District Council,  
Albery House  
Springfield Road  
Horsham,  
West Sussex, RH12 2GB

Dear Sir/Madam,

**OBJECTION TO OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION Ref: DC/26/0671**

I wish to object to planning application DC26/0671 for the development of up to 120 dwellings on land east of Crawley Road and south of Old Crawley Road, Horsham. My objection is based on the planning history of the site, the findings of the Planning Inspector in Appeal Ref. APP/Z3825/W/21/3266503, and the continuing conflict of the proposal with landscape protection and countryside policies.

**1. Harm to the High Weald National Landscape**

The application site lies within the nationally protected High Weald National Landscape (formerly the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty). The Planning Inspector previously undertook a detailed assessment of this site and concluded that residential development would not conserve and enhance the landscape and scenic beauty of the protected landscape.

The Inspector found that the site forms part of an important mosaic of fields, woodland and historic routes that contribute to the character and natural beauty of the High Weald. Importance was attached to the eastern field, which was identified as having a strong rural character, a close relationship with the wider landscape and an important function as a gateway into the countryside.

The Inspector concluded that development of the site would:

- Result in a significant incursion into a rural and sensitive landscape.
- Cause moderate to major adverse effects on landscape character and visual amenity.
- Diminish the appreciation of the area's natural beauty.
- Erode the sense of tranquility experienced by users of the public rights of way crossing the site.
- Fail to conserve and enhance the landscape and scenic beauty of the protected landscape.

Although the current proposal is smaller than the previous 473-dwelling scheme, the fundamental planning issue remains unchanged. The proposal would still introduce

substantial residential development into a protected landscape where the Inspector found the principle of development to be harmful.

## 2. Conflict with National Landscape Protection Policies

The Inspector specifically found conflict with policies designed to protect the High Weald landscape and countryside. The site remains within the same protected designation and continues to perform the same landscape function.

National policy affords the highest status of protection to landscapes of this importance. Major development should only be permitted in exceptional circumstances where it can clearly be demonstrated to be in public interest.

The applicant has not demonstrated any exceptional circumstances that outweigh the significant and acknowledged landscape harm associated with developing this site.

## 3. Inappropriate Encroachment into the Countryside

The Inspector concluded that the eastern part of the site has only a tenuous relationship with the existing settlement edge and that development would amount to a clear incursion into the countryside.

That conclusion remains highly relevant. The site continues to form part of the rural setting of Horsham and contributes to the transition between the built-up area and the wider High Weald landscape.

Development would urbanise an open greenfield site that currently provides visual separation, landscape character and recreational value.

## 4. Harm to Public Rights of Way and Recreational Enjoyment

The Inspector recognised that Public Right of Way 1587 and associated routes are highly valued by residents and provide opportunities to experience the rural qualities of the landscape.

The previous appeal decision concluded that residential development would fundamentally alter the character of these routes and diminish their tranquility and enjoyment.

The same concerns apply to the current proposal. Increased urbanisation, housing, roads, lighting and associated infrastructure would materially change the experience of users of these well-used countryside routes.

## 5. Conflict with the Spatial Strategy for Horsham District

The Inspector found that development on this site conflicted with the district's spatial strategy because it lay outside the built-up area boundary, was not allocated for development, and would fail to protect landscape character.

The application remains contrary to the principles of plan-led development and would extend housing into countryside that has not been allocated for this purpose. Approval would undermine the strategic approach to managing growth and protecting the countryside around Horsham.

## 6. Cumulative Landscape Impact

The Inspector specifically considered the relationship between this site and the wider pattern of development around Horsham, including strategic growth to the north.

Granting permission on this site would further extend urban development into the High Weald landscape and contribute to the gradual erosion of the countryside setting that planning policy seeks to protect.

### Conclusion

The Planning Inspector's detailed assessment of this site remains a highly material consideration. The appeal was dismissed because the development would fail to conserve and enhance the landscape and scenic beauty of the High Weald, would represent an inappropriate encroachment into the countryside, and would conflict with the spatial strategy for the district.

While the number of dwellings has been reduced, the proposal still seeks residential development on the same sensitive site within the High Weald National Landscape. The key landscape, countryside and policy objections identified by the Inspector remain applicable.

### Additional Comments

The planned building project would result in additional congestion on the A264, resulting in possible road traffic accidents, as motorists would need to slow down to join a slip road to the housing estate. This would be at a dangerous proximity to the Moorhead Roundabout, which is often very crowded during rush hours. Construction of a new road and any further reorganization of the roads would result in considerable highways costs.

For these reasons I respectfully request that Horsham District Council refuse planning application DC26/0671.

I look forward to hearing from you on these matters.

Yours Faithfully,

