Woodside

Melrose Place

Storrington

RH20 3HH

Attn: Shazia Penne,

CC: Washington Ward Councillors Claudia Fisher, Joan Grech & Emma Beard

Dear Shazia Penne,

In addition to the .pdf document sent via e-mail earlier objecting to the 2-bay car-port at high Larches entitled “High Larches DC-24-1526 V2” I would like to add this document “High Larches DC-24-1526 V3” further illustrating our “Third Objection the potential loss of visual amenity from Woodside looking towards High Larches” in document “High Larches DC-24-1526 V2”.

Woodside is the property directly opposite High Larches on the opposite side of the road. All of the East facing windows from Woodside look in the direction of the High Larches street scene. So, retaining a pleasant street scene is highly desirable. Figure 1 is a photograph taken on the 27th October from a window looking over our front garden towards High Larches. Figure 2 is the same photograph as figure 1 but with the proposed roofline for the 2-bay car-port illustrated by the red line.



Figure 1 Photograph takes from a bedroom window looking over the front garden towards High Larches



Figure 2 The same photograph as figure 1 with the car-port roofline illustrated by the red line.

As you can see, the current High Larches beech hedge would do little to hide the size, scale and mass of the proposed car-port.

Should it then die in all or part because of:

- The additional building works change the micro-climate in which the beech hedge currently thrives.
- Or the additional building works add to soil compaction and/or damage the beech hedge roots.

Then the size, scale and mass of the car-port will become an even more prominent and unsightly feature of the street scene, and the view of the car-port roof from our windows would be extremely unpleasant. Our concerns arise because when the garage was build (DC/18/1628) and portions of the beech hedge died, they were not replanted contrary to a regulatory condition associated with the approval.

In summary, we would like to object to planning application DC/24/1526 for the following reasons:

- The site is already over developed compared to all other properties on Melrose Place, adding another structure will only increase the overdevelopment and will set a precedent for future development of other Melrose Place properties.
- The proposed 2-bay car port is only 10% smaller than the previously rejected car-ports, the development is not sympathetic to its surroundings and the character and appearance of Melrose Place and it is not in keeping with the character and street scene of Melrose Place.

- The potential loss of visual amenity from Woodside looking towards High Larches if sections of the beech hedge die as a consequence of the building works and are not replaced.
- The additional building traffic, noise, and disturbance.

Thank you and kind regards

[redacted]

Woodside

Melrose Place