

[REDACTED]
Sent: 21 May 2026 14:10

To: Planning <planning@horsham.gov.uk>; Matthew.Porter [REDACTED]

Subject: DC/26/0049 - Factual Error in the Committee Report.

Importance: High

Hello Mr Porter / Planning Team,

In response to the committee report for DC/26/0049, uploaded 20th May 2026, I wanted to point out an item that is factually incorrect, which could unfairly bias the decision making for the outcome of this decision.

In paragraph 6.9, it states:

The sales cabin building will be single storey with a flat roof and due to its distance and orientation to existing/proposed neighbouring properties, will not result in adverse overlooking, overbearing, loss of light or sense of enclosure to neighbouring residents. The properties to the north are separated by 1.8 close boarded fencing which prevents direct overlooking, whilst the proposals seek to improve the young hedge along the same boundary.

Further fencing is proposed within the site that will also help minimise any potential amenity impacts.

This is not true. Only 3 of the 4 properties that directly border the site to the north are separated by 1.8 close boarded fencing. A section of my property borders this application site to the north for a width of 7.2 metres and has 1.75m open metal fencing, NOT 1.8m close boarded fencing as you've described in your committee report.

The houses in Dadswell Drive from my property and beyond have 1.75m open metal fencing as stipulated by the HDC landscape architects consultation when our estate was first granted planning approval. There is also no existing hedgerow along this section of fence either as this would negate the point of having open fencing. This is a fact that has been overlooked throughout this entire application and not acknowledged in any of the drawings, consultations, revised plans, and now the committee report despite multiple requests from myself.

I have attached some clear diagrams which I have overlayed the applicants submitted drawings, showing the correct fencing that is in place, as well as a photo taken from my garden for context.

I ask you to please amend paragraph 6.9 in this document before it goes to committee, as I fear this inaccuracy will unfairly bias the final decision and would have a detrimental affect on our privacy and feel overbearing for this commercial premises to tower over our garden. I just want to be fairly represented when the decision is made. The whole point of this open fencing was to enjoy the view of the rural belt beyond our gardens, so even the inclusion of a new hedge, let alone a sales building would absolutely spoil this.

Many thanks,

6 Dadswell Drive, Billingshurst.





