[redacted]
Sent: 03 July 2024 09:57
To: Planning
[redacted]

Categories: Comments Received

This is our view regarding DC/24/0845 application for the occupancy of the house only.

The house has been for sale for many months as there is no demand for agricultural occupancies for houses.

We 100% support the condition being changed to equestrian in which there is more demand.

As residents of Figtree's, RH14 9HH who live and work close by, We have **NO** objection to the change to include equestrian occupancy related to the house. This makes sense to us.

The Equestrian world is thriving in this area and unfortunately, agriculture is in decline, which is a good reason to support the condition to include equestrian.

We have read the planning statement/supporting letter on the planning website and from our experience, there is absolutely no demand for agricultural tied houses.

It would be beneficial for the condition to be changed to include other rural workers i.e. equestrian.

Kind Regards

[redacted]
Fig trees, RH14 9HH