

[REDACTED]

Sent: 09 February 2026 22:41
To: Planning
Subject: DC26/0035
Attachments: IMG_3062.jpeg
Categories: Comments Received

To whom it may concern.

I am writing to object to the planning application for a house on the land off the A24 and Spring Gardens.

My concerns are as follows:

There is nowhere on the application that gives details on the construction traffic that will access the site. This road is already tight when meeting vehicles. So having large vehicles constantly coming and going will cause problems for both pedestrians (school children will be walking past) and residents of spring Gardens. Causing safety issues.

The land is currently meant for grazing and is part of the countryside. Further building on the land would result in a reduce in character for the area. There is currently no horses grazing in the field and only seem to be put in the field for a short while when they put planning applications in.

The size and scales of the proposed house would be out of character with the other houses in the road. It will cause light blocking during the day to nearby houses (mainly number 1). The stable that is currently there has electric lighting on 24 hours a day, which is blinding to vehicles and other road users at night, some of the lights from the stables/yard and their current house shine in to our bedroom all night. I feel this new proposed building and the scale of it would worsen this and cause light pollution and affect our sleep even more. And with the movement of the stable block south to be opposite more houses again I believe this would affect our health through lack of sleep with the amount of bright lights they use.

There is limited parking in Spring Gardens and building the proposed house would make this even worse.

The hard standing that the current stable block sits on was once a pond and was marsh land, Since the pond was filled in to allow the stable block to be built as per previous applications the flooding at the bottom of Spring Gardens and round to the bus stop on the access road opposite the stable block has worsened significantly, not long ago the road was completely unpassable, but also having a residential building erected on a flooded/marshland area and on unstable land raises concerns to the proposed buildings strength, again considering the size of it.

I would like to bring to the attention of these previously three declined applications:

Erection of a stable & kennel building and laying of hardstanding.

Ref. No: DC/22/1023 | Status: Application Refused

Retention of existing entrance and stable block together with reduced area of hardstanding (permeable) and parking for a single works van / trailer.

Ref. No: DC/24/1327 | Status: Application Refused

Retention of existing entrance and stable block together with reduced area of hardstanding (permeable) and parking for a single works van / trailer (Retrospective)

Ref. No: DC/25/0183 | Status: Application Refused

All three applications were refused, but were built anyway, the kennel side was replaced with solid doors after the complaints of dogs howling all day and night. The stables have never housed a horse since they have been built, but used as storage and as a workshop for making wreaths at christmas.

The hand standing area is constantly used for parking several vans, cars and a seemly permanent skip. Construction materials also , mainly large amounts and lengths of timber piled up. Regular fires burning construction materials/waste at all times of the day with no thought to the neighbours causing health risks. When they enter/exit the current site they often block the road to open/shut the gate, this again causes safety issues.

In regards to this application

Reference DC/25/1009

Alternative Reference PP-14109271

Retention of existing entrance and stable block together with reduced area of hardstanding (permeable).(Retrospective)

They changed the position of the entrance/exit from what they put in previous planning applications, again all done without proper planning permission.

Therefore for all of these reasons, and also for all the previous applications that were refused but still built , I have to strongly object to this application.

I have attached a photo of previous flooding on the road in front of the proposed application, this part of the road never flooded like this before they filled in the pond area and put hard standing and a stable block in.

Kind regards



14 Spring Gardens

Washington

West Sussex

Rh20 3bs

Sent from [Outlook for iOS](#)