[redacted] [redacted]
Sent: 22 June 2025 13:16
To: Planning
Subject: Ref. DC/25/0817 - 2 Hillside Road, Storrington, RH20 3LZ
Attachments: 20250619_112655.jpg

Categories: Comments Received

For attention of Emma Parkes

This email is by way of response to your communication dated 5/6/25 and I write on behalf of myself and my wife as joint legal owners of 4 Hillside Road.

Our comments on the planning application will be confined to the proposed single storey side and rear extension, this being the structure which would most directly affect our property.

It goes without saying but we are willing to accept that the building work itself would adversely impact our right to quiet enjoyment of our property. However. there are two features which we are not happy to accept. The first is the altered appearance of the front elevation of No.2 as illustrated in drawing 3.05. The second is the prospective presence of a large brick wall (and door) immediately outside the side window of our lounge, I.e. the window in the attached photograph.

As to the first of the above concerns, our point very simply is that the proposed structure is both ugly in itself and out of keeping with the style and character of both the building and the neighbourhood generally. As for the window issue the point is we think obvious, namely that a large brick wall only 2 metres or so away (and immediately behind it a substantial pitched roof) would very seriously overshadow the area and impair the view out - as well incidentally as requiring the removal of one or more established trees/shrubs which currently screen our property from its neighbour.

It follows from the above that ideally we would prefer any planning consent to exclude the side/rear extension, or at any rate the side part thereof. However, being realistic - and in the spirit of neighbourliness - we would be willing to accept the situation if consent were granted in the form applied for but with the side section starting to the left, I.e. to the south of our side window. This would not only meet our concerns as outlined but would at the same time substantially preserve the present appearance (and security) of the north face of No.2.

I have intimated to Mr Amy that I would welcome a discussion with him but he has so far not been available and I am conscious of the fast approaching deadline of 26 June.

[redacted]

