



**Horsham
District
Council**

DELEGATED APPLICATIONS - ASSESSMENT SHEET

APPLICATION NO./ADDRESS:

DC/26/1032

3 Oaklands, Redford Avenue, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2RD

DESCRIPTION:

Demolition of existing conservatory and erection of a single storey rear extension. (Retrospective).

RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY:

None

RELEVANT PLANNING POLICIES

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

Horsham District Planning Framework (2015):

Policy 32 - Strategic Policy: The Quality of New Development

Policy 33 - Development Principles

Horsham Blueprint Business Neighbourhood Plan 2019-2036

Policy HB3: Character of development

Policy HB4: Design of development

Horsham District Local Plan (2023-40) (Regulation 19):

Policy 9: Water Neutrality

Policy 17: Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity

Policy 19: Development Quality

Policy 20: Development Principles

REPRESENTATIONS AND CONSULTATIONS RESPONSES

Where consultation responses have been summarised, it should be noted that Officers have had consideration of the full comments received, which are available to view on the public file at

www.horsham.gov.uk.

Consultations:

Southern Water: No objection / advice.

Town Council Comments:

Support, advising that the comments from Southern Water should be noted and acted upon if relevant.

Representations:

None received

HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUALITY:

The application has been considered having regard to Article 1 of the First Protocol of the Human Rights Act 1998, which sets out a person's rights to the peaceful enjoyment of property and Article 8 of

the same Act, which sets out their rights in respect to private and family life and for the home. Officers consider that the proposal would not be contrary to the provisions of the above Articles.

The application has also been considered in accordance with Horsham District Council's public sector equality duty, which seeks to prevent unlawful discrimination, to promote equality of opportunity and to foster good relations between people in a diverse community, in accordance with Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010. In this case, the proposal is not anticipated to have any potential impact from an equality perspective.

PLANNING ASSESSMENT:

The application has been assessed on the basis of the submitted details, together with the planning history of the site and adjoining properties, available images and photographs supplied by the agent. These details were considered sufficient to allow the application to be properly considered and determined.

Character and Appearance

Policies 32 and 33 of the HDPF seek to ensure that development promotes a high standard and quality of design in order to enhance and protect locally distinctive characters. The policies also seek to ensure that the scale, massing and appearance of development relates sympathetically with the built surroundings, landscape, open spaces and routes within and adjoining the site, including any impact on the skyline and important views.

The extension is a clearly subservient addition and of a design, form and scale which is appropriate to the character and appearance of the existing dwelling, and which would not harm the character or appearance of the wider area. It is therefore considered that the visual impact of the proposal is acceptable and would accord with the above policies.

Impact on Neighbouring Amenity

Policy 33 of the HDPF states that permission will be granted for development that does not cause unacceptable harm to the amenity of the occupiers/users of nearby properties and land.

It is considered that the siting and layout of the single storey rear extension and the resulting relationship with adjoining properties is sufficient to prevent any unacceptable harm to neighbouring amenity, in accordance with the above policy.

Water Neutrality

There is no clear or compelling evidence to suggest the nature and scale of the proposed development would result in a more intensive occupation of the dwelling necessitating an increased consumption of water that would result in a significant impact on the Arun Valley SAC, SPA and Ramsar sites, either alone or in combination with other plans and projects. The grant of planning permission would not therefore adversely affect the integrity of these sites or otherwise conflict with policy 31 of the HDPF, NPPF paragraph 180 and the Council's obligations under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.

Biodiversity Net Gain

In accordance with the Biodiversity Gain Requirements (Exemptions) Regulations 2024, all householder applications are current exempt from the statutory requirement to demonstrate a 10% net gain in biodiversity.

Other Considerations

The comments from Southern Water are noted. The extension would not necessitate any changes to pre-existing drainage arrangements at the site and no conditions / informatives in this regard are considered necessary.

Conclusion

The proposal is considered to comply with relevant local and national planning policies and is therefore recommended for approval.

Recommendation: Application Permitted

Conditions:

- 2 **Regulatory Condition:** The materials and finishes of the development hereby permitted shall strictly accord with those indicated on the application form and approved plans.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity and in accordance with Policy 33 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015).

POSITIVE AND PROACTIVE STATEMENT

Statement pursuant to Article 35 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015. The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received, in order to be able to, where possible, grant permission.

Plans list for: DC/26/1032

(The approved plans will form Condition 1 on the Decision Notice of all Permitted applications)

Schedule of plans/documents **approved:**

Plan Type	Description	Drawing Number	Received Date
Plans	Proposed Axo	PR06 REV X	26.06.2026
Location & Site plan	Existing	EX00 REV X	26.06.2026
Floor plan	Existing ground	EX01 REV X	26.06.2026
Floor plan	Existing first	EX02 REV X	26.06.2026
Elevation plan	Existing front	EX03 REV X	26.06.2026
Elevation plan	Existing rear	EX04 REV X	26.06.2026
Elevation plan	Existing side	EX05 REV X	26.06.2026
Location & Site plan	Proposed	PR00 REV X	26.06.2026
Floor plan	Proposed ground	PR01 REV X	26.06.2026
Floor plan	Proposed first	PR02 REV X	26.06.2026
Elevation plan	Proposed front	PR03 REV X	26.06.2026
Elevation plan	Proposed rear	PR04 REV X	26.06.2026
Elevation plan	Proposed side	PR05 REV X	26.06.2026
Supporting Docs	Application Form	NONE	26.06.2026

DELEGATED

Case Officer sign/initial Steve Astles Date: 02.09.2026

Authorising Officer sign/initial Guy Everest Date: 09.09.2026