

From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 21 June 2026 15:01:56 UTC+01:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/25/2057
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 21/06/2026 3:01 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land North of Little Slaughterford Chapel Road Barns Green West Sussex
Proposal:	Proposed development of 68 dwellings with vehicular and pedestrian accesses, public open space, hard and soft landscaping and associated works including supporting foul and surface water drainage works, and works to existing culverted watercourse on site.
Case Officer:	Alice Johnson

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: Chapel House Chapel Road Barns Green, Horsham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Highway Access and Parking - Other - Overdevelopment
Comments:	Further to my previous submissions as objections to the above development I wish to amplify two further points of objection as information posted here constantly changes the situation:

Highways

Miller Homes continued to "Tinker" with the parking arrangements and highway plan in a vain hope that it will mitigate for the abject failure to give serious consideration to alternative options, such as an entrance opposite The Hordens which would allow for the following: A significant reduction in the destruction of the hedge along Chapel Road, a reduction in the number of roads entering Chapel Road close by the proposed entrance and the need for a total reorganisation of established, current and workable parking arrangements around the shop and pub.

In their latest revised transport submission they state that the current arrangements will cause considerable problems for Lorries entering and leaving the site during construction. If one were cynical it could be considered that this is the only reason for the extensive redrawing of the highway which has absolutely ZERO advantage to the community. As a resident of Chapel Road I have lived with 2 years with the disruption caused by construction of the Sumners Field Site which will be nothing compared to what we can expect if this development in its current state is approved. The plan is just unworkable and tinkering brings no advantage to the community nor solves the problem Miller have created.

In addition in none of the Miller Homes highways proposals or plans is any reference to the additional traffic exit onto Chapel Road that will result from the already approved access to the new dwelling behind the current shop which has been approved by HDC Planning and with the dwelling nearing completion. This will be almost directly opposite the entrance to the development and contained within the pinch points recently proposed. How can HDC accept any endorsement from WSCC to the recently proposed road layout changes without consideration of an approval already granted by HDC?

Finally with regard to Highways: I fully understand and accept that each proposal is brought before the officers or committee as a "Stand Alone" proposal. However HDC planning have been aware for some months of the pre-proposal from Shanley Homes for the adjacent field. The Parish Council and many residents were directly mailed by Shanley Homes some months ago and one assumes before they reached this point there would have been meetings and discussions with HDC planning. Their clear plan shows the single development exit onto Chapel Road within 75 yards of the current Miller Proposal. Have WSCC commented on this or indeed are they yet aware of this proposal given that the field in question is already in the revised Horsham District Plan currently under consideration by the Inspectors.

All these entrances onto Chapel Road within 100m of each other MUST be considered together not sequentially as separate planning decisions.

Not addressing the reality of the material impact of two adjacent developments is not acceptable to the local community from the Local Authority to whom we pay our Council Tax.

Southern Water

From many objections submitted, HDC must be aware of the substantial level of data and information submitted that counters the continued assertion of Southern Water (SW) that the Barns Green Sewage works can absorb the increased load. Southern Water are clearly lying, there is no other way to state this, about the facts and the reality that we SEE in our community regularly. BG Sewerage Works is currently operating well over the capacity it was designed for.

However we have now become aware that SW proposes to close its Itchingfield Substation and direct the subsequent flow towards Barns Green (SW reference 870357) proposed routes under consideration we understand include directly under the Miller Homes development.

First - this must have an implication on the ability of the BG treatment plant capacity if it is to absorb the addition of Itchingfield. SW must have been aware of their own internal proposal before submitting their latest letter of support. But did not refer to this. This could be considered, at the least misleading if not misrepresentation.

Second surely such a proposed pipeline below the Miller development has a material impact on the plans already submitted.

I am aware that the responsibility for all of this rests with Southern Water but HDC Planning has a duty to its community to ensure that it is not being at a minimum manipulated and at worse being lied to by an important contributor to the potential development.

Kind regards

Telephone:

Email: planning@horsham.gov.uk



**Horsham
District
Council**

Horsham District Council, Albery House, Springfield Road, Horsham, West Sussex RH12 2GB
Telephone: 01403 215100 (calls may be recorded) www.horsham.gov.uk Chief Executive: Jane E aton