Horsham District Council

DELEGATED APPLICATIONS - ASSESSMENT SHEET

APPLICATION NO./ADDRESS:
DC/24/0865
94 Lambs Farm Road, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 4LR

DESCRIPTION:
Erection of a single storey front, two storey side, and single storey rear extensions.

RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY:

DC/23/0999	Erection of single storey front, two storey side, and single storey rear extensions	Application Permitted on 05.07.2023.

RELEVANT PLANNING POLICIES
The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

Horsham District Planning Framework (2015):
Policy 31- Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity
Policy 32- Strategic Policy: The Quality of New Development
Policy 33- Development Principles

Horsham Blueprint Business Neighbourhood Plan (2019-2036)
Policy HB4 – Design of Development

REPRESENTATIONS AND CONSULTATIONS RESPONSES

Consultations:
Natural England: Standing Advice: -

It cannot be concluded that existing abstraction within the Sussex North Water Supply Zone is not having an adverse effect on the integrity of the Arun Valley SAC/SPA/Ramsar sites. Developments within Sussex North must therefore must not add to this impact and one way of achieving this is to demonstrate water neutrality. The definition of water neutrality is the use of water in the supply area before the development is the same or lower after the development is in place.

To achieve this Natural England is working in partnership with all the relevant authorities to secure water neutrality collectively through a water neutrality strategy. Whilst the strategy is evolving, Natural England advises that decisions on planning applications should await its completion. However, if there are applications which a planning authority deems critical to proceed in the absence of the strategy, then Natural England advises that any application needs to demonstrate water neutrality.

Parish Comments:
No Objection.

Representations:
None received.

HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUALITY:
The application has been considered having regard to Article 1 of the First Protocol of the Human Rights Act 1998, which sets out a person's rights to the peaceful enjoyment of property and Article 8 of the same Act, which sets out their rights in respect to private and family life and for the home. Officers consider that the proposal would not be contrary to the provisions of the above Articles.

The application has also been considered in accordance with Horsham District Council's public sector equality duty, which seeks to prevent unlawful discrimination, to promote equality of opportunity and to foster good relations between people in a diverse community, in accordance with Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010. In this case, the proposal is not anticipated to have any potential impact from an equality perspective.

PLANNING ASSESSMENT
The application has been assessed on the basis of the submitted details, together with the planning history of the site and adjoining properties, available images and photographs supplied by the agent. These details were considered sufficient to allow the application to be properly considered and determined.

Character and Appearance

Policies 32 and 33 of the HDPF seek to ensure that development promotes a high standard and quality of design in order to enhance and protect locally distinctive characters. The policies also seek to ensure that the scale, massing, and appearance of development relates sympathetically with the built surroundings, landscape, open spaces, and routes within and adjoining the site, including any impact on the skyline and important views.

The application seeks permission to erect a single storey front, two storey side and single storey rear extensions. The application is a resubmission following a grant of planning permission in 2023 for a similar proposal, ref: DC/23/0999. This current application essentially seeks to increase the projection of the single storey rear extension by 600mm (in comparison to the existing permission).

The hipped roof with lowered ridge and the single storey nature of the front and rear extensions ensures that the development appears as sympathetic and subservient additions to the main dwelling, with the layout of the site and surrounds such that there would be no harmful loss of openness as a result of the side extension. The increased depth to the rear extension would have a limited visual impact on the host building and surrounding area, with the overall projection beyond the rear considered modest. It is acknowledged that there are examples of similar extensions present within the street scene, namely no.96 Lamb Farm Road, and the proposal would be seen in this immediate context. Therefore, the proposed development would not cause harm to the character of the street scene.

Overall, it is considered that the design, form, and scale would be appropriate to the character and appearance of the existing dwelling. The development would not harm the character nor appearance of the wider area. It is therefore considered that the visual impact of the proposal is acceptable and would accord with the above policies.

Impact on Neighbouring Amenity

Policy 33 of the HDPF states that permission will be granted for development that does not cause unacceptable harm to the amenity of the occupiers/users of nearby properties and land.

Whilst the proposal would bring the host dwelling closer to the eastern neighbour, there are no habitable windows located on the flank wall of the neighbouring property, and there are no windows proposed on the dwelling that would result in overlooking of loss of privacy. There would be sufficient separation distance retained between the eastern shared boundary. It is considered that the siting and layout of the proposed extension and the resulting relationship with adjoining properties would be sufficient to prevent any unacceptable harm to neighbouring amenity. No representations were received as part of the application.

Water Neutrality

There is no clear or compelling evidence to suggest the nature and scale of the proposed development would result in a more intensive occupation of the dwelling necessitating an increased consumption of water that would result in a significant impact on the Arun Valley SAC, SPA and Ramsar sites, either alone or in combination with other plans and projects. The grant of planning permission would not therefore adversely affect the integrity of these sites or otherwise conflict with policy 31 of the HDPF, NPPF paragraph 180 and the Council's obligations under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.

Conclusion

The proposal is considered to comply with relevant local and national planning policies and is therefore recommended for approval.

Recommendation: Application Permitted

Conditions:

2 **Standard Time Condition**: The development hereby permitted shall begin before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

3 **Regulatory Condition**: The materials and finishes of all new external walls, windows and roofs of the development hereby permitted shall match in type, colour, and texture those of the existing building.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity and in accordance with Policy 33 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015).

POSITIVE AND PROACTIVE STATEMENT
Statement pursuant to Article 35 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015. The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received, in order to be able to, where possible, grant permission.

Plans list for: DC/24/0865
(The approved plans will form Condition 1 on the Decision Notice of all Permitted applications)

Schedule of plans/documents **approved**:

Plan Type	Description	Drawing Number	Received Date
Location plan		NONE	06.06.2024
Location & Block plan		01	06.06.2024
Floor plan	Ground and First, as existing	03	06.06.2024
Floor plan	Ground and First, as proposed	05	06.06.2024
Elevation plan	As existing	02	06.06.2024
Elevation plan	As proposed	04 REV C	06.06.2024

Supporting Statement	Design	NONE	06.06.2024

DELEGATED

Case Officer sign/initial Bethan Tinning Date: 22.07.2024

Authorising Officer sign/initial Guy Everest Date: 26.07.2024