From: [redacted]
Sent: 14 May 2025 00:00
To: Planning
Subject: URGENT Steve Astles ref DC/25/0470

Categories: Comments Received

Hello Mr Astles

I am writing as a neighbour to the above application for which I already made comments. By the designated deadline 24 April only myself had made comment on the application, shortly followed by Washington Parish council's comments

I received notice today of the "pending decision" status of the application yet I see there are two new documents submitted by the applicants' agent after the review deadline. The dates may be the date of the document submittal but I can assure you they have not been available for view by the public on those dates or in sufficient time for review within the consultation period.

One of these documents shows the proposed tree screening and says, "as agreed with neighbours" . I would like you to be aware that apart from the principle of screening, which was mentioned in my own comments, there have been no details submitted to me or agreed by other neighbours to my knowledge, so this is potentially a fraudulent statement on the drawing ? I ask you to investigate this please

I would also ask you to take into consideration that any screening should be not only properly and reasonably agreed by neighbours but also installed prior to start of construction, during which views from neighbours will be just as bad. It is not reasonable that after removing previous screening I can now see the residents TV programmes from my driveway and from my conservatory? As well as movements within their lounge?

Also there is an additional document showing detailed elevations, I don't know what is the purpose of the document but it clearly shows the ridge height of the new building is a whopping 2.13m above the existing ridge line, and this continues right across the property width in comparison to the reduced height on main building and specifically on one side today. Again I must stress my previous comment that a full loft access may not be necessary for this new property and the design could be accommodated with an "eaves access only " consistent with other neighbouring properties, with resulting reduced ridge height. Why is the full loft access essential for this design

Could I request please confirmation of receipt of these comments as well as your review of the process which seems to have allowed additionals document to creep into the submission without reasonable review by neighbours and with comments inferring we have reviewed

Many thanks
[redacted]

Mulberry
Hazelwood Close
RH20 3HZ