From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 20 May 2025 17:54:15 UTC+01:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/25/0470
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 20/05/2025 5:54 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Fernbank Hampers Lane Storrington West Sussex RH20 3HU
Proposal:	Erection of side and rear extensions, first floor roof extension and detached garage with accommodation above.
Case Officer:	Steve Astles

Click for further information

Customer Details

Address:	Mulberry Hazelwood Close Storrington

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Loss of General Amenity - Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	The recently added garden plan drawing proposes screening on the northern boundary at 1.5 -1.8m which is less than the fence height so growth may be slow, and during this time there remains a major view from my lounge and conservatory into the lounge of Fernbank (and vice versa). I suggest that screening is planted at 2-2.25m and allowed to grow up to 3m max. The proposed screening on the eastern boundary only extends

along half of the boundary due to decking having been installed in the corner of the garden approximately 12 months ago. Removing a few decking boards in order to plant screening should not compromise the overall decking space significantly. It should also be noted that the additional decking at rear of the new house design should also compensate for this small change at the bottom of the garden. Again, I would request the screening at 2-2.25m ie above current fence height, and along the full length of the boundary.

I would also like to add a comment wishing to bring to the attention of relevant reviewers associated with Heath Common design guidelines, and local district governance, concerning the size of property in particular the ridge height, which I estimate by rough scale from drawing is 2-2.8m from existing ridge height and now extended across full property width for a property plan area some 2-2.5 times the current plan area.

For comparison, I did review a set of elevations for the neighbouring house, which was inadvertent put onto this current application for a few days (developed in 2017 ref DC/17/1615) and it is apparent the design of this neighbouring property was only a 2m increase at its highest level, with ridge at wings only 1.2m increase and eaves ridge at same or lower than the original height of the previous property Enigma. Is it not possible to accommodate something similar in this design please, especially noting the ridge height is across full width? It is also of note that the new garage was previously approved for development last year at a lower height and now has also been increased to the new ridge height design.

Kind regards

Telephone:

Email: planning@horsham.gov.uk

Horsham District Council