

From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 18 April 2026 09:45:46 UTC+01:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/26/0383
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 18/04/2026 9:45 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	Priory Fields Monastery Lane Storrington West Sussex
Proposal:	Erection of a gardeners cottage and garage.
Case Officer:	Daniel Holmes

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	Norbert House Kithurst Lane Storrington
----------	---

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Highway Access and Parking - Loss of General Amenity - Other - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise - Trees and Landscaping
Comments:	The applicant in his design and access statement clearly states this is the same application as DC/20/1710 that was refused by the inspector after an appeal. The applicant has a long list of grievances with regard to the inspector's decision in support for this new application.

The applicant should be reminded that the only way to challenge an inspectors decision is by way of judicial review in the high court with a strict deadline of 6 weeks.

No challenge to his decision was made at the time, therefore all the points the applicant has listed in his design and access statement are not relevant and should not be used as supporting material for this application.

This new application has no merit as no significant changes in the design and plans for the property have been made since the refusal of DC/20/1710.

Whilst there have been some changes to the overall NPPF since 2020 this does not mean that the applicant's determination to use these changes as green light to build anything anywhere is justified and he should be reminded that each application will be considered on its own merit and go through a strict application process. Regurgitating an already refused application is not the way to apply for planning.

The applicant's disregard for the correct and proper process is highlighted by the inclusion of a hand drawn map of where he thinks the "more logical" BUAB should be which now includes the site of this application. The BUAB stops the sprawl of villages and towns spreading into the countryside which is exactly where this application is sited, in the countryside.

This site has had numerous applications on it over the years all but two have been refused and some refused on appeal after an inspection. This site is not suitable for this development as has been found many, many times before.

Should this building application be granted it will infringe upon my privacy as any north facing windows will look directly over my property. The noise and light pollution will affect my day to day enjoyment of general amenity.

The applicant has not decided which access point is to be used, this is a fundamental point that needs to be firmly included in the plans.

This building is an overdevelopment of the greenfield space in which it is sited.

The building will be clearly viable from numerous public areas resulting in an overly urban characteristic in an otherwise rural area.

This application should be refused due to the points I have raised.

Kind regards

Telephone:

Email: planning@horsham.gov.uk



**Horsham
District
Council**



Horsham District Council, Alberty House, Springfield Road, Horsham, West Sussex RH12 2GB
Telephone: 01403 215100 (calls may be recorded) www.horsham.gov.uk Chief Executive: Jane E
aton