

From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 20 July 2026 17:20:46 UTC+01:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/26/0671
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 20/07/2026 5:20 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land Off Old Crawley Road Faygate West Sussex
Proposal:	Outline Application for a development of up to 120 dwellings (including 45% affordable) with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Sam Whitehouse

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	28 WOOD END ROFFEY HORSHAM
----------	----------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Overdevelopment
Comments:	I wish to object to application DC/26/0671 for the following planning-related reasons: 1. Severe traffic impact at Moorhead Roundabout and surrounding roads The proposed development would significantly increase traffic on an already congested network. Moorhead Roundabout is frequently backed up at peak times, and adding up to 120 dwellings will worsen queueing, delays, and safety risks. No

evidence has been provided that the local road system can absorb this additional pressure.

2. Harm to the High Weald National Landscape

The site lies within the setting of the High Weald National Landscape, an area recognised for its historic character, tranquillity, and ecological value. Development of this scale would erode the landscape's rural qualities and undermine the purposes of its designation.

3. Loss of wildlife habitat and ecological disruption

The fields and hedgerows support a range of wildlife. Increased noise, light pollution, and vehicle emissions will damage local biodiversity and fragment habitats. Once this land is lost, it cannot be restored, and the cumulative ecological harm would be permanent.

4. Insufficient local infrastructure

Local services are already under strain. Schools, GP surgeries, hospitals, and emergency services are operating at or near capacity. The proposal does not demonstrate how these essential services will cope with the additional population. Without adequate infrastructure, the development is unsustainable.

5. Overdevelopment and cumulative impact on the town

Horsham and the surrounding area have experienced extensive housebuilding in recent years, resulting in overcrowding and pressure on roads, services, and green spaces. While I recognise the need for housing, this location is not appropriate. Approving this scheme risks setting a precedent for further development on adjacent fields, leading to incremental loss of countryside and further erosion of the town's character.

6. Risk of future expansion into neighbouring fields

If this application is approved, it will open the door for developers to target the remaining fields. The destruction of habitat caused by this proposal would make it easier for subsequent applications to argue that the landscape is already compromised. This is a slippery slope that must be avoided.

In summary, this development is in the wrong place, at the wrong scale, and at the wrong time. The cumulative harm to landscape, wildlife, infrastructure, and community wellbeing outweighs any benefits. I therefore respectfully request that the Council refuse this application

Kind regards

Telephone:

Email: planning@horsham.gov.uk



Horsham District Council, Alberty House, Springfield Road, Horsham, West Sussex RH12 2GB
Telephone: 01403 215100 (calls may be recorded) www.horsham.gov.uk Chief Executive: Jane Eaton