

From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 07 September 2026 15:04:57 UTC+01:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/26/1182
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 07/09/2026 3:04 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Limekiln Farm Ghyll House Farm Broadwater Lane Copsale Horsham West Sussex RH13 6QW
Proposal:	Variation of Condition 1 of previously approved application DC/23/1325 (Construction of a stable block with associated storage and staff room facilities. Construction of machinery/hay storage barn and a water borehole plant room. Construction of a sand school) for variations to the machinery barn and stable block designs.
Case Officer:	Daniel Holmes

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: Knights House, Nuthurst Street Nuthurst Horsham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design
Comments:	I have a number of objections to this application because what has been built is a significant departure from the approved drawings under DC/23/1325, namely. 1. Within the approved document "Planning Statement" it states in

3.10. "The barn and the stable block are the only significant structures within the proposal. In each case, timber cladding is employed on all exterior faces, stained down to dark grey/black so as to blend in with their surroundings. The barn cladding will take the form of vertical "Yorkshire" timber planking so as to provide good ventilation to the hay stored there".

The as built barn comprises a breeze block plinth sitting below black plastic covered metal cladding, for which the applicant is seeking retrospective permission. Hardly in keeping with the Sussex countryside

2. Approved Block plan drawing MBP/0523/02B shows the stable block courtyard facing Flood Zone 3.

The current application makes no mention of the fact that the new block plan shows the courtyard reorientated by 90 degrees.

3. Permission is sought for a mezzanine floor to "store 1,000 bales of hay/straw and an office.

The approved drawings show a headroom of only 1.75m from the eaves to the ridge height making this the maximum height available along the centre line giving insufficient clearance for office use.

4. The new application seeks permission for an extra stable. The approved drawing MBP/0523/09A shows the footprint to be a symmetrical U shape of two 11.70 metre legs and a rear elevation measuring 21.20m.

The revised drawing is for an as built U shaped building of one leg 12.87m and the other of 18.6 metres with a rear elevation of 20.36m

No mention of a much larger footprint being built than that approved an increase of approximately 30% floor area.

For the reasons above I strongly object to this application which demonstrates a complete contempt for the approved scheme and should not be sanctioned by HDC by the granting of this retrospective application.

Kind regards

Telephone:

Email: planning@horsham.gov.uk



**Horsham
District
Council**



Horsham District Council, Alberty House, Springfield Road, Horsham, West Sussex RH12 2GB
Telephone: 01403 215100 (calls may be recorded) www.horsham.gov.uk Chief Executive: Jane E
aton