Horsham District Council

DELEGATED APPLICATIONS - ASSESSMENT SHEET

APPLICATION NO./ADDRESS:
DC/24/0273
Redgates, Burnthouse Lane, Lower Beeding, West Sussex, RH13 6NN

DESCRIPTION:
Change of use of land to allow the siting of a single gypsy pitch (Retrospective).

RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY:

DC/21/1233	Change of use of land to allow for the siting of a single gypsy pitch.	Application Refused on 17.12.2021
DC/19/2530	Siting of caravan/mobile home for a period in excess of ten years (Certificate of Lawful Development - Existing)	Application Refused on 12.02.2020
DC/19/1786	Retrospective application for the use of existing caravan as living accommodation for an agricultural worker for a period of 3 years in connection with pig breeding on the holding	Application Refused on 30.10.2019
DC/16/1717	Prior Notification for Change of Use from barn to dwelling	Prior Approval Required and REFUSED on 26.09.2016
DC/14/1428	Temporary permission for the placement of mobile home for use as live/work unit on current hardstanding	Application Refused on 01.09.2014
LB/15/96	Retention of 1 mobile home for an agricultural worker Site: Redgates Burnt House Lane Lower Beeding	Application Refused on 21.08.1996
LB/5/96	Erection of agricultural building Site: Redgates Burnt House Lane Lower Beeding	Application Refused on 20.11.1996
LB/18/95	Retention of agricultural workers mobile home Site: Redgates Burnt House Lane Lower Beeding	Application Refused on 20.12.1995
LB/36/94	Retention of agricultural/horticultural mobile home & septic tank Site: Redgates Burnt House Lane Lower Beeding	Application Refused on 19.01.1995
LB/15/94	Retention of mobile home and septic tank Site: Redgates Burnt House Lane Lower Beeding	Application Refused on 18.05.1994
LB/2/93	Erection of polytunnels and barn and retention of hardstanding Site: Redgates Burnthouse La Lower Beeding	Application Permitted on 17.03.1993
LB/28/92	Retention of polytunnel & hardstanding & erection of small barn Site: Red Gates Burnthouse La Lower Beeding	Application Refused on 19.08.1992
LB/8/92	Retention of field shelter & construction of hardstanding Site: Burnthouse La (Plot 5825) Lower Beeding	Application Refused on 22.04.1992

SITE AND SURROUNDS

The application site is located to the east of Burnthouse Lane, outside of any designated built-up area boundary. The site is therefore located within a countryside location in policy terms. The site is sitiuated around 2.3miles from Cowfold (driving route) and 2.2miles from Lower Beeding (driving route). The wider surroundings are characterised by agricultural fields, with sporadic residential development and farmsteads located along the surrounding road frontages. The development subject of the application has been completed and is currently occupied, with the application retrospective.

The application site comprises an area of hardstanding and barn located to the south-west, with mess accommodation comprising kitchen and w.c facilities located to the north of the mobile home. A residential garden has been laid out to the side of the mobile home surrounded by post and rail fence and beyond that are grazing field and small agricultural structures dotted within the fields.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

This application seeks the change of use of the site to allow for the siting of a single gypsy pitch. The mobile home is located to the south of the site, and measures to a length of 9.5m and a depth of 4.8m. The mobile home is raised above the ground and sits on concrete blocks, and is finished in stained cladding. The mobile home is currently occupied by the Applicant and his family who meet the definition of 'Gypsy or Traveller' for planning purposes as they travel for work purposes during the summer months, and cease to travel throughout the rest of the year to allow their children to attend school.

An application for the same development was refused in 2021 and dismissed at appeal. This is discussed further below.

RELEVANT PLANNING POLICIES
The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)
Horsham District Planning Framework (2015):
Policy 1 - Strategic Policy: Sustainable Development
Policy 2 - Strategic Policy: Strategic Development
Policy 3 - Strategic Policy: Development Hierarchy
Policy 4 - Strategic Policy: Settlement Expansion
Policy 15 - Strategic Policy: Housing Provision
Policy 16 - Strategic Policy: Meeting Local Housing Needs
Policy 22 - Gypsy and Traveller Sites
Policy 23 - Strategic Policy: Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation
Policy 24 - Strategic Policy: Environmental Protection
Policy 25 - Strategic Policy: The Natural Environment and Landscape Character
Policy 26 - Strategic Policy: Countryside Protection
Policy 31 - Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity
Policy 32 - Strategic Policy: The Quality of New Development
Policy 33 - Development Principles
Policy 40 - Sustainable Transport
Policy 41 - Parking

Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (August 2015)

Lower Beeding Neighbourhood Plan (pre-referendum)

Paragraph 33 of the NPPF requires that all development plans complete their reviews no later than 5 years from their adoption. Horsham District Council is currently in the process of reviewing its development plan however at this stage the emerging policies carry only limited weight in decision making. As the HDPF is now over 5 years old, the most important policies for the determination of this application must be considered as to whether they are 'out of date' (NPPF paragraph 11d). This includes, for applications involving the provision of housing, whether the Council can demonstrate a five year supply of deliverable housing sites (NPPF footnote 8).

The Council is currently unable to demonstrate a five year supply of deliverable housing sites, with the supply currently calculated as being 2.9 years. The presumption in favour of development within Paragraph 11d) of the NPPF therefore applies in the consideration of all applications for housing development within the District (unless footnote 7 or Paragraph 14 applies to relevant applications), with Policies 2, 4, 15 and 26 now carrying only moderate weight in decision making.

All other policies within the HDPF as itemised above have been assessed against the NPPF and are considered to be consistent such that they continue to attract significant weight in decision making.

Planning Advice Notes:
Facilitating Appropriate Development
Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure

REPRESENTATIONS AND CONSULTATIONS RESPONSES
Where consultation responses have been summarised, it should be noted that Officers have had consideration of the full comments received, which are available to view on the public file at www.horsham.gov.uk

Consultations:

HDC Environmental Health: No objection
We recommend conditions with regard to the water neutrality, occupancy, sewage treatment and surface water and some conditions to prevent nuisance.

WSCC Highways: No Objection

Natural England: No Objection
We concur with the conclusion of your authority's HRA appropriate assessment, insofar that we have no objections subject to the delivery, management and maintenance of measures identified in the water neutrality statement, and subject to relevant pre-commencement conditions identified in the appropriate assessment being put in place, to achieve water neutrality.

Parish Comments: Objection
LBPC objected to the previous change of use application DC/21/1233 on this site on the basis that the proposed development was not part of the emerging neighbourhood plan, and was outside the parish BUAB. This application was subsequently refused by HDC on the grounds that the proposed development was in an unsustainable location, remote from local services and facilities and with restricted access to safe and sustainable pedestrian travel options; and because the application hadn't demonstrated water neutrality for the development. However, HDC also noted that they were currently unable to demonstrate an up-to-date 5-year supply of deliverable traveller sites.

The applicant appealed the refusal. The appeal was dismissed, although the inspector concluded that although the site is not readily accessible by non-car modes of transport, it is within a reasonable distance of a range of local services and facilities. The inspector also gave weight to the need for local traveller sites, and HDC's lack of future provision of such sites. In conclusion however the inspector supported HDC's refusal of the application on water neutrality grounds.

Turning to the current application DC/24/0273, LBPC maintains its objection to the current application for the same reasons as DC/21/1233 ie the proposed development is not part of the emerging neighbourhood plan, and is outside the parish BUAB. It is noted that the current application addresses the water neutrality point omitted from the previous application. If HDC are therefore minded to approve the current application on the basis that there is a shortfall of deliverable traveller sites in the district, it is essential that the site is conditioned to restrict the change of use to a single pitch traveller site only, and any future residential development on the site should be expressly disallowed. (It can clearly be seen that if this application is permitted only on the basis of a shortfall of deliverable traveller sites in the district, then allowing any future residential development that would result in the loss of this traveller site would direct contradict the policy objective of the approval).

Representations:
8 letters of representation received from 6 separate addresses (including the Parish Council) objecting to the proposal on the following grounds:

- Agricultural land
- Over Development
- Noise and Disturbance
- Impact on highway

3 letters of representation received from 3 separate addresses supporting the application.

Member Comments:
None received

HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUALITY:

The application has been considered having regard to Article 1 of the First Protocol of the Human Rights Act 1998, which sets out a person's rights to the peaceful enjoyment of property and Article 8 of the same Act, which sets out their rights in respect to private and family life and for the home. Officers consider that the proposal would not be contrary to the provisions of the above Articles.

The application has also been considered in accordance with Horsham District Council's public sector equality duty, which seeks to prevent unlawful discrimination, to promote equality of opportunity and to foster good relations between people in a diverse community, in accordance with Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010. In this case, the proposal is not anticipated to have any potential impact from an equality perspective.

PLANNING ASSESSMENT

Principle of Development:

Application DC/21/1233 was refused by the Council on 17.12.2021 for the following reasons:

1 *The development is in an unsustainable location, remote from local services and facilities and with restricted access to safe and sustainable pedestrian travel options. The proposal is therefore contrary to Policies 23, 26 and 40 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015). In addition, the proposed development would not comply with the aims of the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites, which advises new traveller sites to be suitable for safe access to local services and facilities.*

2 *Insufficient information has been provided to demonstrate with a sufficient degree of certainty that the development would not contribute to an existing adverse effect upon the integrity of the internationally designated Arun Valley Special Area of Conservation, Special Protection Area and Ramsar sites by way of increased water abstraction, contrary to Policy 31 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015), Paragraphs 179 and 180 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2021), its duties under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended), and s40 of the NERC Act 2006 (Priority habitats & species).*

This proposal was subsequently appealed, and the Planning Inspector dismissed the planning appeal but found that the only reason for refusal was reason 2- the adverse effects on the integrity of the Arun Valley (water neutrality) effectively removing the Council's first reason for refusal. The Inspector concluded that:

Although I have found that the site is not readily accessible by non-car modes of transport, it is within a reasonable distance of a range of local services and facilities. However, adverse effects on the integrity of the Arun Valley EPS, in combination with other plans and projects, cannot be excluded. Consent can only be granted if the remaining tests in the Habitats Regulations can be met, but I have seen no evidence that this is the case. The development is therefore in conflict with the development plan as a whole.

The local need for sites exceeds local supply, and the Council has not demonstrated that it will make provision for increased supply in the near future. It is beneficial in terms of having a settled base for education for the appellants and their family to remain on the site. I give these considerations significant weight. However, in view of the potential for the development to have a long-term effect on the integrity of the EPS, in-combination with other plans and projects, I do not find that the conditions are present for a permanent permission to be granted. The 'tilted balance' set out in paragraph 11(d)(ii) does not apply as the EPS are habitats sites as set out in Footnote 7 to paragraph 11(d)(i) and the NPPF provides a clear reason for refusing the development.

It is therefore considered that in light of the recent Inspector's decision, and the planning balance that has been explored, the principle of the proposal in this location is considered to be acceptable, subject to all other material considerations.

Landscape Impact and Design and Appearance:

Policies 25, 32, and 33 of the HDPF promote development that protects, conserves and enhances the landscape character from inappropriate development. Proposal should take into account landscape characteristics, with development seeking to provide an attractive, functional and accessible environment that complements the locally distinctive character of the district. Buildings should contribute to a sense of place, and should be of a scale, massing, and appearance that is of a high standard or design and layout which relates sympathetically to the landscape and built surroundings.

The mobile home is of a relatively standard design and form, and is positioned adjacent to other structures on the site. Given the modest scale and proportions of the mobile home, and its physical relationship with other built form on the site, it is not considered that the proposal would result in harm to the visual amenities of the rural setting. The boundary treatment has mostly been constructed sympathetically to the countryside location with post and rail fencing and hedging and does not appear out of character with ethe surrounding agricultural holdings.

Furthermore, given the relatively enclosed nature of the wider site, which is bound by mature trees and hedging, it is not considered that the proposal would result in wider landscape impact.

Amenity Impacts:

Policy 33 states that development should consider the scale, massing and orientation between buildings, respecting the amenities and sensitivities of neighbouring properties.

Whilst it is acknowledged that the neighbours have raised some amenity concerns, given the spatial context of the site, and the distance between the proposal and the adjacent neighbouring property, it is not considered that the proposal for a residential gypsy and traveller pitch would result in harm to the amenities or sensitivities of neighbouring properties.

Highways Impacts:

Policy 41 of the HDPF promote development that provides safe and adequate access, suitable for all users.

The site benefits from an existing access to the south-west which extends from Burnthouse Lane, an unclassified road subject of national speed limit. No alterations are proposed to the existing access. Following consultation with WSCC Highways, while visibility does appear restricted in this location, weight is given to the fact that this is an existing access that has operated under an agricultural use for some time. Furthermore, due to the road geometry in this location and narrow nature of the road, vehicles are not anticipated to be travelling at the posted speed limit in this location. In addition, there is no evidence to suggest that the existing access is operating unsafely or that the proposal would exacerbate an existing safety concern.

On this basis, it is not considered that the proposal would have an unacceptable impact on highway safety or result in severe cumulative impacts on the operation of the highway network. The proposal is therefore considered to accord with Policy 41 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015).

Water Neutrality:

The application site falls within the Sussex North Water Supply Zone as defined by Natural England which draws its water supply from groundwater abstraction at Hardham. Natural England has issued a Position Statement for applications within the Sussex North Water Supply Zone which states that it cannot be concluded with the required degree of certainty that new development in this zone would not have an adverse effect on the integrity of the Arun Valley SAC, SPA and Ramsar sites.

Natural England advises that plans and projects affecting sites where an existing adverse effect is known will be required to demonstrate, with sufficient certainty, that they will not contribute further to an existing adverse effect. The received advice note advises that the matter of water neutrality should be addressed in assessments to agree and ensure that water use is offset for all new developments within the Sussex North Water Supply Zone.

The application seeks planning permission for Change of use of land to allow the siting of a single gypsy pitch (Retrospective). A previous application was refused on water neutrality grounds (and the appeal was dismissed solely on these grounds), and this strategy has been received to seek to overcome the reason for refusal.

The submitted strategy indicates an existing baseline water demand of 0l/d. This is because, although the application is retrospective, the use is currently unlawful.

The proposed development would comprise a water demand of 219.9 litres per day with water efficient appliances retrofitted. Through the use of rainwater harvesting and the onsite efficiencies at the unit, the proposed water demand would be reduced to 0l/d.

The strategy therefore demonstrates that the proposed use would be water neutral.

The strategy proposes 100% rainwater for the development. The calculations show sufficient roof area to yield the amount of water required plus a 50 day drought requirement for the residential unit, taken from the new unit itself. A 13,500l below ground rainwater harvesting tank(s) will be installed alongside a filtration system to bring the water to drinking water standards.

The applicant confirmed in writing on 24.04.2024 that the mains water connection would be turned off once the rainwater harvesting has been installed. The mains water will be installed with a meter, and a reading shall be taken once installed and submitted as part of the discharge of conditions process. This will thus create a record of the use of the main to ensure that it is used in emergencies only, and that the main source of water is via rainwater harvesting as proposed.

Conditions are recommended to ensure the rainwater harvesting system is installed in accordance with the strategy submitted, maintained as such and to ensure that the water provided meets the water quality requirements. A full Private Water Supply Management Plan is required to be submitted to the LPA within three months of this decision, that is maintained in perpetuity. Conditions are also attached requiring full sampling prior to consumption by a person who has undertaken the DWI certification for sampling water supplies.

An Appropriate Assessment has been carried out with the above strategy in mind, where it has been concluded that the mitigation measures proposed would result in a water neutral development, and Natural England have been consulted. A response was received on 25/03/2024 confirming that the strategy was acceptable, raising no objection. Therefore, it has been concluded that with mitigation the proposed development would result in no greater demand than existing, and Water Neutrality has been achieved. On this basis, the grant of planning permission would not therefore adversely affect the integrity of these sites or otherwise conflict with policy 31 of the HDPF with regards to Water Neutrality, NPPF paragraph 180 and the Council's obligations under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.

Conclusions:

The Council recognises that it is currently unable to demonstrate an up-to-date 5-year supply of deliverable sites, and that para 27 of the 'Planning Policy for Traveller Sites' (PPTS) sets out that if a local planning authority cannot demonstrate an up-to-date 5 year supply of deliverable sites, this should be a significant material consideration. In light of the Inspector's decision and report, it is considered that the previous 1st reason for refusal has now fallen away and the principle of the proposal in this location is considered to be acceptable, with no conflict with policies 23,26 and 40 if the HDPF or the PPTS or the NPPF, subject to all other material considerations.

The proposal is now considered to represent a water neutral development, subject to the recommended conditions. On this basis, the grant of planning permission would not therefore adversely affect the integrity of these sites or otherwise conflict with policy 31 of the HDPF with regards to Water Neutrality, NPPF paragraph 180 and the Council's obligations under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.

It is therefore considered that the application can be recommended for approval, subject to the conditions below.

Recommendation: Application Permitted

Conditions:

2 **Regulatory Condition:** Within 3 months of the date of the decision hereby granted, a drainage strategy detailing the means of foul and surface water disposal shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved scheme.

Reason: As this matter is fundamental to ensure that the development is properly drained and to comply with Policy 38 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015).

3 **Regulatory Condition**: Within 3 months of the date of this decision, a Management Plan detailing all measures and ongoing maintenance and sampling necessary to ensure the water supplied is safe and wholesome and to ensure continuity of supply shall have been submitted to the Local Planning Authority for its approval in writing. The management and maintenance plan shall include the following details:

- The sampling regime and parameters etc, recognising that the sampling will need to be undertaken a DWI certified sampler and analysed by a UKAS accredited lab.
- Detail on how any failure of any samples will be investigated and managed.
- Details, including a plan or schematic, showing the supply - storage tanks, treatment etc, and means to record the total water consumption of each unit
- Detail on what type of treatment that will be installed on the supply with information clearly indicating that it is appropriate for the amount of water being used.
- Detail on how the treatment system, pipework, tanks etc will be cleaned and maintained and who will maintain them for the lifetime of the development. This should include any re-activation of the system after it has been out of use due to lack of rainfall/use.
- The completion and sharing of the Regulation 6 risk assessment by a suitably competent person (as required by the Private Water Supply (England) Regulations 2016) prior to the water supply being put into use.
- Detail on the continuity of supply during dry periods extending beyond 35 days.
- Arrangements for keeping written records of all sampling, results of analysis, inspection, cleaning, and maintenance.
- Details of contingency plans to ensure any failure's or reported concerns with the supply are investigated and rectified as soon as possible, including timeframes. This should include notification of the investigation and corrective actions to the Local Planning Authority

Within one month of the Local Planning Authority's written approval the scheme shall be implemented in full accordance with the agreed details. No alterations or revisions to the approved management plan shall be implemented without the written approval of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure the development is water neutral to avoid an adverse impact on the Arun Valley SACSPA and Ramsar sites in accordance with Policy 31 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015), Paragraphs 179 and 180 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2021), and to allow the Local Planning Authority to discharge its duties under the Conservation of

Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended), and s40 of the NERC Act 2006 (Priority habitats & species).

4 **Regulatory Condition:** No collected water shall be consumed until evidence has been submitted to and been approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority that water taken from the tap has been sampled by a person who has undertaken the DWI certification of persons scheme for sampling private water supplies, analysed by a laboratory that is accredited to the ISO 17025 Drinking Water Testing Specification and the findings submitted to the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: To ensure the development is water neutral to avoid an adverse impact on the Arun Valley SACSPA and Ramsar sites in accordance with Policy 31 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015), Paragraphs 179 and 180 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2021), and allow the Local Planning Authority to discharge its duties under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended), and s40 of the NERC Act 2006 (Priority habitats & species).

5 **Regulatory Condition**: Within 3 months of the date of the decision hereby granted, details for the provision for the storage of refuse and recycling facilities shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. These facilities shall be retained for use at all times.

Reason: To ensure the adequate provision of recycling facilities in accordance with Policy 33 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015).

6 **Regulatory Condition**: Within 3 months of the date of the decision hereby granted, the necessary in-building physical infrastructure and external site-wide infrastructure to enable superfast broadband speeds of 30 megabits per second through full fibre broadband connection shall be provided to the premises.

Reason: To ensure a sustainable development that meets the needs of future occupiers in accordance with Policy 37 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015).

7 **Regulatory Condition**: Within 3 months of the date of the decision hereby granted, details of secure and covered cycle parking facilities for the occupants of, and visitors to, the development shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The provision for cycle parking shall thereafter be retained for use at all times.

Reason: To ensure that there is adequate provision for the parking of cycles in accordance with Policy 40 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015).

8 **Regulatory Condition**: The development hereby permitted shall be undertaken in full accordance with the water neutrality strategy (CGS Civils received 19.02.2024). Within three months of the date of this permission, evidence shall be submitted to and been approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority that the approved water neutrality strategy for the proposal has been implemented in full. The evidence shall include the specification of fittings and appliances used (if necessary), evidence of their installation, completion of the as built Part G water calculator or equivalent, in addition confirmation that the rainwater harvesting system has been installed as proposed, and that a water meter has been installed to the mains intake (including evidence of its initial reading post-installation of the rainwater harvesting). The installed measures shall be retained as such thereafter.

Reason: To ensure the development is water neutral to avoid an adverse impact on the Arun Valley SACSPA and Ramsar sites in accordance with Policy 31 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015), Paragraphs 179 and 180 of the National Planning Policy Framework (2021), and to allow the Local Planning Authority to discharge its duties under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended), and s40 of the NERC Act 2006 (Priority habitats & species).

9 **Regulatory Condition**: No external lighting or floodlighting shall be installed other than with the permission of the Local Planning Authority by way of formal application.

Reason: In the interests of the amenities of the locality and in accordance with Policy 33 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015).

10 **Regulatory Condition**: There shall be no more than one pitch on the site with no more than one mobile home/static caravan (as defined in the Caravan Sites and Control of Development Act 1960 and the Caravan Sites Act 1968) stationed on each pitch at any time. There shall be no more than one touring caravans stationed on site at any time and these shall not be occupied by any person at any time whilst stationed on the application site.

Reason: To avoid an overcrowded appearance and to secure satisfactory standards of space and amenity and to enable the Local Planning Authority to control the use of the site, in accordance with Policy 21, 22, 23 and 26 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015).

11 **Regulatory Condition**: This permission does not authorise use of the land as a caravan site by any persons other than Gypsies and Travellers, as defined in Annex 1 of Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (Department for Communities and Local Government 2015).

Reason: To enable the Local Planning Authority to control the use of the site and in accordance with Policy 21, Policy 22 and Policy 23 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015).

12 **Regulatory Condition**: No industrial, commercial or business activity shall be carried on from the site, including the storage of materials.

Reason: In the interests of amenity and in accordance with Policies 26 and 33 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015).

POSITIVE AND PROACTIVE STATEMENT

Statement pursuant to Article 35 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015. The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received, in order to be able to, where possible, grant permission.

Plans list for: DC/24/0273

Schedule of plans/documents **approved**:

Plan Type	Description	Drawing Number	Received Date
Site plan		NONE	24.04.2024
Elevation plan		NONE	19.02.2024
Supporting Statement	Water Neutrality	NONE	19.02.2024
Supporting Statement		NONE	19.02.2024
Supporting Docs	Internal layout	NONE	19.02.2024

DELEGATED

Case Officer sign/initial Kate Turner Date: 18/4/24

Authorising Officer sign/initial RHERMITAGE Date: 26/4/24