For official use only (date received): 05/09/2024 21:03:06

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/Z3825/W/24/3345055

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference	APP/Z3825/W/24/3345055
Appeal By	MR D IVES
Site Address	Land Parcel At 520128 116244 Stonepit Lane Henfield West Sussex BN5 9QU

SENDER DETAILS

Name	[redacted]
Address	Henfield Hall Coopers Way Henfield West Sussex BN5 9EQ

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Appellant
- ☐ Agent
- ☑ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

Henfield Parish Council (HPC) supports the Planning Decision made by Horsham District Council to refuse permission for this development. It is out of character and intrusive in a countryside setting. HPC has reviewed its commentary made in April 2024 and maintains that this Appeal should be dismissed on the following grounds using the Horsham District Council Planning Framework Policy:
Policy 1 as it is not a strategic site in the Local Plan
Policy 2 as it is not a nominated site in the Neighbourhood Plan
Policy 3 as it is not within an existing built-up area
Policy 4 as the site is not allocated in the Local Plan or Neighbourhood Plan, and does not adjoin and existing settlement edge
Policy 23 as the site cannot be served by safe and convenient vehicular and pedestrian access; or cannot be supplied with essential services, such as water, power, sewage and drainage, and waste disposal; or provide adequate vehicle parking; or would have an unacceptable impact on the landscape
Policy 25 as it does not protect, conserve or enhance the landscape or townscape character of the District
Policy 26 as the site lies outside built-up area boundaries and does not support the needs of agriculture or forestry; does not enable the extraction of minerals or disposal of waste; or provide for quiet informal recreational use or enable the sustainable development of a rural area
Policy 31 as the application does not conserve or enhance the natural environment
Policy 33 in that it does not respect the character of the surrounding area.