

Sunnyside, Bentons Lane Horsham, RH13 8NW

Preliminary Ecological Appraisal



EHM Ltd

www.ehmltd.com

info@ehmltd.com

CONFIDENTIALITY, COPYRIGHT AND REPRODUCTION:

This report was prepared by Ecology and Habitat Management Ltd (EHM) solely for use by Daniel Wenman. This report is not addressed to and may not be relied upon by any other person or entity for any purpose without the prior written permission of EHM. EHM, its directors and employees accept no responsibility or liability for reliance or use of this report (whether, or not, permitted) other than by the client for the purpose for which it was originally commissioned and prepared.

In producing this report, EHM has relied upon information provided by others. The completeness or accuracy of this information is not guaranteed by EHM, but every effort has been made to ensure that the information is accurate and that the opinions expressed regarding the information are sound. However, EHM cannot be made liable for any errors or omissions or for any losses or consequential losses resulting from decisions based on the information.

AUTHOR, QUALITY ASSURANCE & VALIDITY

Site/Job Name:	PEA - Sunnyside, Bentons Lane, Horsham, RH13 8NW			
Project Reference:	PEA - Sunnyside			
Report Type/Title:	Preliminary Ecological Appraisal			
Client:				
Primary Author:	Adam Robbins BSc (Hons), MSc, AssocMCIWM, MCIEEM			
Primary (v1) issue to client				
Reviewed by:	Date:	Authorised by:	Date:	
Lauren Scudder	01/08/25	Paul Green	01/08/25	
Revision Register				
Issue:	Description of amendment:	Reviewed by:	Authorised by:	Date:
Life Span of Report				
This report and survey data remains valid for 12 months from date of issue. The report, conclusions and recommendations are valid for current development plans only. Should these change, the report should be reviewed and, if necessary, further survey work and desk study review undertaken.				

CONTENTS

AUTHOR, QUALITY ASSURANCE & VALIDITY	I
CONTENTS	II
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1 INTRODUCTION	2
1.1 PROJECT OUTLINE.....	2
1.2 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION.....	2
2 METHODOLOGY & LIMITATIONS	3
2.1 SCOPE AND ASSESSMENT	3
2.1.1 Zone of Influence.....	3
2.1.2 Impact Assessment	3
2.2 DESK STUDY	4
2.3 EXTENDED UK HABITAT CLASSIFICATION SURVEY.....	5
2.4 PERSONNEL	5
2.5 LIMITATIONS.....	5
3 BASELINE ECOLOGICAL CONDITIONS	6
3.1 DESIGNATED SITES.....	6
3.1.1 Statutory Designated Sites.....	6
3.1.2 Non-Statutory Designated Sites.....	6
3.2 HABITATS.....	6
3.2.1 u1b5, 818 - Residential Building	6
3.2.2 u1, 827 - Garden, including outbuildings.....	7
3.2.3 u1, 846 - Garden, flowerbeds.....	7
3.2.4 u1, 203 - Mature trees	7
3.2.5 u1, 200 – trees.....	8
3.2.6 h2 – hedgerow	8
3.2.7 u1e - built linear feature	8
3.2.8 u1b - developed land, sealed surface.....	8
3.3 FLORA AND INVERTEBRATES.....	8
3.4 AMPHIBIANS – INCLUDING GREAT CRESTED NEWT.....	8
3.5 REPTILES.....	9
3.6 BIRDS.....	9
3.7 [REDACTED]	9
3.8 HAZEL DORMICE	9
3.9 OTTERS	9
3.10 WATER VOLES	9
3.11 BATS.....	10
3.12 OTHER NOTABLE MAMMALS	10

4	ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS, MITIGATION AND COMPENSATION MEASURES	11
4.1	DESIGNATED SITES	11
4.1.1	<i>Potential Impacts</i>	11
4.2	HABITATS	11
4.2.1	<i>Potential Impacts</i>	11
4.3	FLORA AND INVERTEBRATES	11
4.3.1	<i>Potential Impacts</i>	11
4.4	AMPHIBIANS (INCLUDING GREAT CRESTED NEWT)	11
4.4.1	<i>Potential Impacts</i>	11
4.5	REPTILES	11
4.5.1	<i>Potential Impacts</i>	11
4.5.2	<i>Mitigation and Compensation Measures</i>	12
4.5.3	<i>Residual Effects</i>	12
4.6	BIRDS	12
4.6.1	<i>Potential Impacts</i>	12
4.6.2	<i>Mitigation and Compensation Measures</i>	12
4.6.3	<i>Residual Effects</i>	12
4.7		12
4.7.1	<i>Potential Impacts</i>	12
4.8	BATS	13
4.8.1	<i>Potential Impacts</i>	13
4.8.2	<i>Mitigation and Compensation Measures</i>	13
4.8.3	<i>Residual Effects</i>	14
4.9	HAZEL DORMICE	14
4.9.1	<i>Potential Impacts</i>	14
4.10	OTTERS	14
4.10.1	<i>Potential Impacts</i>	14
4.11	WATER VOLES	14
4.11.1	<i>Potential Impacts</i>	14
4.12	OTHER NOTABLE MAMMALS	14
4.12.1	<i>Potential Impacts</i>	14
4.12.2	<i>Mitigation and Compensation Measures</i>	14
4.12.3	<i>Residual Effects</i>	14
5	CONCLUSIONS TABLE	15
6	REFERENCES	17
7	SITE PHOTOS	18
	APPENDIX A - RELEVANT WILDLIFE LEGISLATION AND POLICIES	20
	APPENDIX B – FIGURES	22
	APPENDIX C – PROPOSED PLANS	24

APPENDIX D - SURVEY DETAILS AND RESULTS DATA	25
APPENDIX E – RECORD CENTRE MAPS	26

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

EHM Ltd has been commissioned to carry out a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (PEA) of a house and gardens and Sunnyside, Bentons Lane, Horsham, RH13 8NW. This report will provide an assessment of the site reporting on the current conditions of the habitats present and their potential to support protected and notable species.

The site is roughly rectangular in shape, covering an area of approximately 0.14ha. It comprises of a single residential dwelling with three outbuildings and a garden. The site is currently access from Bentons Lane.

The site is located to the west of the village of Dial Post, itself located west of the A24. Residential properties surround the site to the East, South and West and a grazed field and Bentons Lane are located to the North.

The local landscape is best described as semi-rural in nature, with agricultural fields and pockets of woodland dominating the landscape. The local landscape has some good connectivity between habitats in the form of hedgerows, woodland edges and grassland although, roads do separate habitats.

Species	Sites potential to support
Bat roosts- buildings.	High
Bat roosts- mature trees	Negligible
Bat foraging/ commuting areas	Moderate
Dormice	Negligible
Riparian Mammals	Negligible
Other Mammals	Low
Reptiles	Low
Common Amphibians	Negligible
Great Crested Newts	Negligible
Breeding birds	Low
Plants	Low
Invertebrates	Low

Recommendation	Action
Conduct bat survey of existing residential building and outbuilding	Conduct three roost classification surveys of the main dwelling and outbuilding 1 prior to planning permission, between May and August. Further recommendations may be required.

1 INTRODUCTION

EHM Ltd has been commissioned to carry out a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (PEA) at a house and gardens located at Sunnyside, Bentons Lane, Horsham, RH13 8NW, which is hereafter referred to as the 'site'. This report will provide an assessment of the site reporting on the current conditions of the habitats present and their potential to support protected and notable species.

1.1 Project Outline

At the time of the site visit and report write-up, EHM Ltd understand that the development will involve replacement of the current house with a new dwelling and associated landscaping of the gardens.

1.2 Site Location and Description


The site is roughly rectangular in shape, covering an area of approximately 0.14ha. It comprises of a single residential dwelling with three outbuildings and a garden. The site is currently access from Bentons Lane.

The site is located to the west of the village of Dial Post, itself located west of the A24. Residential properties surround the site to the East, South and West and a grazed field and Bentons Lane are located to the North.

The local landscape is best described as semi-rural in nature, with agricultural fields and pockets of woodland dominating the landscape. The local landscape has some good connectivity between habitats in the form of hedgerows, woodland edges and grassland although, roads do separate habitats.

The site (as shown on figure 1) is in centred on Ordnance Survey Grid Reference TQ 15151 19369.

Figure 1. Approximate Boundary of the site (red outline)



2 METHODOLOGY & LIMITATIONS

2.1 Scope and Assessment

2.1.1 Zone of Influence

The 'zone of influence' of a project is the area within which ecological features may be impacted by the proposed works. This may often extend beyond the site boundary due to the distance that certain species travel, the nature of the potentially affected habitats and the site's location in relation to important ecological sites.

For this assessment the following zones have been considered:

- 5km surrounding Statutory Conservation Designations relating to bats (e.g. Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)).
- 1km surrounding other Statutory Conservation Designations and Non-Statutory sites of importance to biodiversity (e.g. Local Wildlife Sites (LWS) and Sites of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCI)).
- 1km surrounding known records of protected and notable species.
- The site and its immediate surroundings.

2.1.2 Impact Assessment

Impact assessment has been carried out based on the outline proposals provided (detailed in Section 1.1) using the findings of the desk study and field survey.

Ecological features have been scoped in and out as appropriate based on the baseline conditions of the site; i.e. what ecological features the site is likely to support, and as appropriate to the scale of the proposals.

An assessment of the potential project impacts on each ecological receptor (i.e. designated sites, protected and notable habitats and species) is provided, including the magnitude, duration and significance of the anticipated effects to each receptor. An assessment of significance has been undertaken considering the local, national and international value to ecological features as informed by the desk study.

Table 1 below includes details on how the significance has been determined.

Table 1 – General criteria for significance

Significance	Criteria
Major	Change resulting in breaches of legislation or exceeding statutory objectives.
	Likely to impact on sites designated for national or international importance.
	Likely to affect a large-scale area or a large number of species or populations on frequent or permanent basis.
	May result in an irreversible decline or rise.
Moderate	Unlikely to cause a breach of legislation but likely to impact on a site of regional or local importance.
	Likely to affect a small number of species or populations on a permanent basis.
Minor	Likely to impact an area or feature of local interest or importance.
	Likely to have a temporary impact on a small number of species or populations or be a recoverable impact.
Negligible	Indiscernible impact predicted.

Where possible significant ecological effects will be avoided through careful design and application of the mitigation hierarchy:

- **Avoidance**
Seek options that avoid harm to ecological features.
- **Mitigation**
Adverse effects should be avoided or minimised through mitigation measures, either through the design of the project or subsequent measures that can be guaranteed. Mitigation is relevant for negative impacts assessed as being potentially significant (before mitigation) or where required to ensure compliance with legislation.
- **Compensation**
Where there are significant adverse ecological effects despite the mitigation proposed, these should be offset by appropriate compensatory measures. Compensation is relevant for negative impacts assessed as being significant or where required to ensure compliance with legislation.
- **Enhancements**
Seek to provide net benefits for biodiversity over and above requirements for avoidance, mitigation or compensation.

2.2 Desk Study

Biological records from the Sussex Biodiversity Record Centre (SxBRC) were obtained comprising protected and notable species records and Statutory and Non-Statutory Nature Conservation Designations within a 1km radius of the site.

Data search results only give an indication of species presence in a location. The absence of recent records for certain species in an area may be due to low levels of biological recording or the non-submission of records, rather than absence. Many species records are also at low

geographical resolution and do not indicate their exact location and often provide little detail about abundance.

Web-based DEFRA resource Multi-Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (MAGIC) was consulted to identify Statutory Nature Conservation Designations within a 1km radius of the site surveyed, and for Statutory sites designated for bats within a 10km radius of the site. A search for granted European Protected Species Licences (EPSLs) within a 1km radius relating to great crested newts *Triturus cristatus*, 2km radius relating to hazel dormice *Muscardinus avellanarius* and 5km radius relating to bats was also undertaken.

2.3 Extended UK Habitat Classification Survey

A field survey identifying the habitats, legally protected and notable species and the site's potential for supporting these species was undertaken. The potential for habitats to support legally protected species is important as legal protection of some species extends to the habitats they depend on, as well as the individuals themselves.

The field survey used UK Habitat Classifications (UKHab, 2023) combined with species specific field observation techniques. The UKHab Professional edition was used with a Minimum Mapping Unit (MMU) of 25m² and habitats were classified to level 5 where possible with all secondary codes reported as necessary. Where given, habitat measurements are appropriate and based on satellite/georeferenced maps.

The nature conservation value of ecological features that may be affected by development (ecological receptors) is adapted from the Chartered Institute of Ecology & Environmental Management (CIEEM) guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (CIEEM, 2017).

This report records the habitats, flora and fauna evident on the day of the site visit. It does not record any flora or fauna that may appear at other times of the year, and as such, were not evident at the time of the field survey. The process is not intended to produce a comprehensive list of plants and animals but to evaluate the ecological resources within the site and thus identify potential issues of ecological relevance to the proposed works.

2.4 Personnel

The site survey was undertaken by on 02 July 2025.

Adam Robbins, Ecologist, MCIEEM, Natural England Bat Class Licence CL18 (Level 2) 2016-24143-CLS-CLS, Natural England Great Crested Newt Class Licence CL08 (Level 1) 2015-3839-CLS-CLS.

2.5 Limitations

The contents of this report are based on a single site visit and a search of the local records centre and available online resources. Though the survey and interpretations of the data were carried out by a competent assessor there may be things that have been overlooked, missed, or not present at the time of the visit.

3 BASELINE ECOLOGICAL CONDITIONS

3.1 Designated Sites

3.1.1 Statutory Designated Sites

There are no statutory designated sites within a 1km radius of the site.

A map, provided by SxBRC, of the Statutory Nature Conservation Designations in relation to the site is shown at Appendix E.

3.1.2 Non-Statutory Designated Sites

There are no non-statutory designated sites within a 1km radius of the site.

A map, provided by SxBRC, of the Non-Statutory Nature Conservation Designations in relation to the site is shown at Appendix E.

3.2 Habitats

The site is approximately 0.14ha in size and comprises 7 UK Habitat Classification types (secondary codes have been added where applicable):

u1b5, 818	- Residential Building
u1, 827	- Garden, including outbuildings
u1, 846	- Garden, flowerbeds
u1, 203	- Mature trees
u1, 200	- trees
h2	- hedgerow
u1e	- built linear feature
u1b	- developed land, sealed surface

A map of the habitats within the site and associated Target Notes (TN) are provided in Figure 2, Appendix B.

3.2.1 u1b5, 818 - Residential Building

See TN1 in figure 2, Appendix B.

Covering an approximate area of 7m by 9m is a two-storey brick and tile residential dwelling; the upper floor is built into the roof void of the house. Towards the centre of the house is a fireplace with chimney that leads to the roof. The property does not appear to have been used recently but is in fair condition internally and good condition externally.

During inspection of the crawl space two clusters of adult bats, totalling six, were observed. They were active and initial identification suggested they were long-eared bats.

3.2.2 u1, 827 - Garden, including outbuildings

The garden is predominantly grass with a few defined flower beds containing cultivars and concrete and paving stone pathways.

Midway along the west boundary is a cluster of outbuildings which have been augmented with a lean-to to provide a covered connection between them, although both cannot be access from this cover, and a wood storage area.

Building 1 is a c.3m by c.5m wooden shed with a corrugated metal roof. Access is from the north. The building shows signs of being used for storage. Bat droppings were found on the floor towards the centre of the building. See TN2 in figure 2, Appendix B.

Building 2 is a c.3m by c.4m wooden shed with a tiled roof. Access is from the east. The building shows signs of being used as a potting shed. Ivy and Bramble has started in enter the building from the outside. See TN3 in figure 2, Appendix B.

Lean-to. Between building 1 and 2 is a flat roof covered pergola that has been modified to create a covered area between the buildings and a small wood store to the north of building 1. See TN4 in figure 2, Appendix B.

Species of plant present include, but are not limited to:

Bramble *Rubus fruticosus*, Birds foot trefoil *Lotus corniculatus*, Cocksfoot *Dactylis glomerata*, Common bent *Agrostis capillaris*, Common nettle *Urtica dioica*, Common ragwort *Jacobaea vulgaris*, Creeping cinquefoil *Potentilla reptans*, Daisy *Bellis perennis*, Dandelion *Taraxacum officinale*, Greater plantain *Plantago major*, Hybrid bluebell *Hyacinthoides × massartiana*, Ivy *Hedera helix*, Perennial ryegrass *Lolium perenne*, Prickly sow thistle *Sonchus asper*, Rough hawkbit *Leontodon hispidus*, Self-heal *Prunella vulgaris*, Spear thistle *Cirsium vulgare*, Timothy *Phleum pratense*, White clover *Trifolium repens*, Yarrow *Achillea millefolium* and Yorkshire fog *Holcus lanatus*.

3.2.3 u1, 846 - Garden, flowerbeds

Within the garden area are three distinct flowerbed areas. One to the northwest of the garden and two around the middle of the garden. The middle beds were defined by concrete and paving surrounds. Whilst mainly containing cultivars, some of the species within the garden grassland had begun to colonise the beds. See TN5 - 7 in figure 2, Appendix B.

3.2.4 u1, 203 - Mature trees

In the southwest corner of the garden is an established weeping willow with a bramble and nettle understory. See TN8 in figure 2, Appendix B.

To the west of the gate access in an established horse chestnut See TN9 in figure 2, Appendix B.

3.2.5 u1, 200 – trees

To the southeast of the garden are three coppiced hazel trees, showing signs of coppicing within the year. They stand apart from each other with no crossed or covering canopy. See TN10 in figure 2, Appendix B.

3.2.6 h2 – hedgerow

Running alongside the northern fence line is a split hedgerow, divided by the sites gate access, consisting of Rhododendron, bamboo, hazel, bramble and holly with an ivy understory

3.2.7 u1e - built linear feature

The site is bordered by four fences.

To the east and west are wood panel fences that separate the site from adjacent residential properties. The southern fence is post and wire which also separates the site from an adjacent property.

Running along the north face of the site is a picket fence and gate which separates the site from Bentons Lane.

3.2.8 u1b - developed land, sealed surface

Across the site are several areas of hardstanding formed from concrete and paving, these provide car parking areas and paths to various locations within the garden. In some areas these are clear and visible and in others vegetation from the garden grassland has begun to colonise and cover.

3.3 Flora and Invertebrates

A total of 141 records of notable plant and invertebrate species were identified within a 1km radius of the site.

No notable invertebrate or plant species were identified within the site. The site is considered to support common and widespread flora and invertebrate species.

3.4 Amphibians – including Great Crested Newt

A total of 4 records of great crested newt (GCN) were identified within a 1km radius of the site; the closest of which is located c.900m to the north. No EPSLs for great crested newt were identified within 1km radius of the site.

The data search also returned 1 record of common toad *Bufo bufo*, 2 records of Smooth Newt *Lissotriton vulgaris* and 2 records of Common Frog *Rana temporaria*; the closest of which is located c.500m to the north. There are no known waterbodies within a 250m radius of the site.

3.5 Reptiles

The site contains several features, such as compost heaps, buildings and open grassland that could support common reptiles such as slow worm *Anguis fragilis* and grass snake *Natrix natrix* but the site habitats are unlikely to support other species such as common lizard *Zootoca vivipara*.

3.6 Birds

The Hedgerow and trees within the site provide suitable nesting and foraging habitat for bird species.

3.8 Hazel Dormice

Hedgerows on site is considered of low value to dormice and no direct evidence of dormice was identified within the site.

3.9 Otters

The site does not contain suitable habitat for otters and no evidence of otters was identified during the survey undertaken.

3.10 Water Voles

Ecology & Habitat Management Ltd
Preliminary Ecological Appraisal: PEA - Sunnyside

The site does not contain suitable habitat for water vole and no evidence of water vole was identified during the survey undertaken.

3.11 Bats

A total of 50 records of bats were identified within a 1km radius of the site. Species recorded include brown long-eared bat *Plecotus auritus* (1), common pipistrelle *Pipistrellus pipistrellus* (22), soprano pipistrelle *Pipistrellus pygmaeus* (10), Leisler's bat *Nyctalus leisleri* (3), noctule *Nyctalus noctula* (2), serotine *Eptesicus serotinus* (1), unidentified *Myotis* species *Myotis* sp. (6) and unidentified Chiroptera (3). The closest record is located c.100m to the east concerning common pipistrelle.

A further 3 records of granted European Protected Species Licences (EPSLs) for bats were identified within a 5km radius including common pipistrelle, soprano pipistrelle natterer's bat *Myotis nattereri*, brown long-eared bat and whiskered bat *Myotis mystacinus*

During inspection of the crawl space of the main building two clusters of adult bats, totalling six, were observed. They were active and initial identification suggested they were long-eared bats. See TN11 in figure 2, Appendix B.

Inspection of out building 1 found a small number of droppings on the floor towards the centre of the building. See TN12 in figure 2, Appendix B.

3.12 Other Notable Mammals

No records of other notable mammals were recorded within 1km of the site.

Grassland and hedgerows within the site provide suitable habitat for hedgehogs *Erinaceus europaeus*.

4 ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS, MITIGATION AND COMPENSATION MEASURES

The mitigation and compensation recommendations provided are based on the principles of established best practice guidelines set out by the Chartered Institute for Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM), the local planning authority and other relevant organisations. Where applicable, the cumulative effects potentially arising from other developments are discussed in this section.

4.1 *Designated Sites*

4.1.1 *Potential Impacts*

Site proposal is not considered likely to impact nearby designated sites due to the small scale and nature of the development.

4.2 *Habitats*

4.2.1 *Potential Impacts*

Site proposal is not considered likely to impact habitats of significant ecological value.

4.3 *Flora and Invertebrates*

4.3.1 *Potential Impacts*

The site is considered likely to support common and widespread flora and invertebrate species. There are no anticipated impacts to these species.

4.4 *Amphibians (including Great Crested Newt)*

4.4.1 *Potential Impacts*

Proposals are not anticipated to impact great crested newts or other common and widespread amphibian species.

4.5 *Reptiles*

4.5.1 *Potential Impacts*

Site clearance to facilitate development will result in the loss of amenity grassland habitat and a compost heap suitable for reptiles and has potential to harm a common and widespread species of reptile. This is considered a low negative impact at a site level.

4.5.2 Mitigation and Compensation Measures

The following mitigation measures will be incorporated to avoid, mitigate and compensate the identified impacts to reptiles:

- Vegetation clearance within the site to facilitate development will be undertaken under a precautionary manner to avoid impacts to reptile species. Vegetation clearance will be undertaken in two stages by hand and comprise a single cut to 200mm height followed by a second cut to ground level after a period of 24 hours to allow any animals to disperse into adjacent retained habitats.

4.5.3 Residual Effects

The above measures will address the anticipated impacts of the proposals to reptiles; there are no expected residual effects.

4.6 Birds

4.6.1 Potential Impacts

Scrub and grassland removal and building demolition has low potential to impact nesting wild bird, resulting in a low adverse impact at a site level.

4.6.2 Mitigation and Compensation Measures

The following mitigation measures will be incorporated to avoid, mitigate and compensate the identified impacts to birds:

- Works which have potential to impact nesting birds (i.e. building demolition) must be undertaken outside of the main bird nesting season (i.e. avoiding the period March to mid-September). If works cannot be timed sensitively, a check by an ecologist for nesting birds the day before works are due to commence will be required. Any active bird nests identified will be left in situ until the young have fully fledged.

4.6.3 Residual Effects

The above measures will address the anticipated impacts of the proposals to birds; there are no expected residual effects.



4.7.1 Potential Impacts

Development proposal is not anticipated to impact upon 

4.8 Bats

4.8.1 Potential Impacts

The main residential building has a known population of long-eared bats and outbuilding 1 has signs of roosting bats.

4.8.2 Mitigation and Compensation Measures

Further survey work is required to determine the population size, diversity of species present and roost classification. These surveys will determine the type and size of mitigation required.

The following mitigation measures will be incorporated to avoid, mitigate and compensate the identified impacts:

- A European Protected Species Licence (EPSL) from Natural England will be required to allow the demolition of the house and outbuilding 1 to be undertaken lawfully. Full planning permission must be in place prior to an application being made. Licensable works will be overseen by an ecologist as per the licence conditions.
- Licensable works will be timed to avoid significant impact to the bat species and roost types found.
- As a best practice measure, bat safe roofing membranes are recommended to be used in the construction of any new building.
- Compensatory roosting features will be provided depending on the bat species and roost types found.
- A sensitive lighting plan will be incorporated into the construction and operational phases of the development to avoid disturbance to bats and bats:
 - No lighting units will be positioned where they will illuminate the retained trees.
 - Any lighting units required will be the minimum amount (both in terms of lux levels and number of units) required for safe use of the site.
 - Any lighting units will be angled downwards and be on a short duration motion timer.
 - Lighting units will comprise a 0% upward light ratio.
 - Lighting units will not be angled towards any created roosts or roost features.

4.8.3 Residual Effects

The above measures will address the anticipated impacts of the proposals to bats; there are no expected residual effects.

4.9 Hazel Dormice

4.9.1 Potential Impacts

Development proposal is not anticipated to impact upon dormice.

4.10 Otters

4.10.1 Potential Impacts

Development proposal is not anticipated to impact upon Otters.

4.11 Water Voles

4.11.1 Potential Impacts

Development proposal is not anticipated to impact upon Water Voles.

4.12 Other Notable Mammals

4.12.1 Potential Impacts

Scrub and grassland removal to facilitate development has potential to harm hedgehogs. The construction of garden boundaries will also likely impact upon hedgehog foraging habitat, resulting in a low adverse impact at a local level.

4.12.2 Mitigation and Compensation Measures

The following mitigation measures will be incorporated to avoid, mitigate and compensate the identified impacts to hedgehogs:

- During construction works, any trenches/ holes left exposed overnight will be provided with a means of escape, such as a shallow sloped edge or angled board (minimum 30cm width), positioned at a maximum angle of 30°.

4.12.3 Residual Effects

The above measures will address the anticipated impacts of the proposals to hedgehogs; there are no expected residual effects.

5 CONCLUSIONS TABLE

Table 2. Summary of ecological mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures for the site: Sunnyside.

Ecological Feature	Potential Effect	Significance in the absence of mitigation	Mitigation/Compensation and Enhancement	Residual Effect
Statutory Designated Sites	None.	-	-	Negligible.
Non-Statutory Designated Sites	None.	-	-	Negligible.
Habitats	Damage during construction.	Negligible.	-	Negligible.
Flora and Invertebrates	None.	-	-	Negligible.
Amphibians (including GCN)	Harm during construction.	Negligible.	-	Negligible.
Reptiles	Harm during construction. Loss of foraging and sheltering habitat.	Low	Sensitive vegetation clearance	Negligible
Birds	Harm during construction. Loss of nesting opportunities.	Low	Nesting bird check immediately prior to works or commencement of works outside of nesting season.	Negligible
██████	██████	-	-	██████

Ecological Feature	Potential Effect	Significance in the absence of mitigation	Mitigation/Compensation and Enhancement	Residual Effect
Bats	Loss of roost	Major adverse impact at a site level.	Additional Survey works Required Works to be carried out under an EPSL. Compensatory roosting opportunities to be provided. Further mitigation to be determined	To be determined
Hazel Dormice	None.	-	-	Negligible.
Otters	None.	-	-	Negligible.
Water Voles	None.	-	-	Negligible.
Other Notable Mammals	Harm during construction. Loss of commuting and foraging habitat.	Low	Covering of open trenches overnight with means of escape	Negligible.

6 REFERENCES

Amphibian and Reptile Groups of the United Kingdom (ARG UK)(May 2010). Advice Note 5: Great Crested Newt Habitat Suitability Index (at [file \(arguk.org\)](http://file.arguk.org)).

Bat Conservation Trust, Institution of Lighting Professionals (2023). Guidance Note 08/23 Bats and Artificial Lighting At Night.

Bright, P., Morris, P., & Mitchell-Jones, T., (2006). Dormouse Conservation Handbook. English Nature, Peterborough.

BTHK (2018). *Bat Roosts in Trees*. Pelagic Publishing, Exeter.

CIEEM (2017) Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal, 2nd edition. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester.

Collins, J (ed.) (2023). Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists: Good Practice Guidelines (4th edition. The Bat Conservation Trust, London.

Dean, M. *et al.* (2016). The Water Vole Mitigation Handbook. The Mammal Society.

Edgar, P., Foster J., & Baker, J., (2007). Reptile Habitat Management Handbook. Natural England, Amphibian and Reptile Conservation, Esmee Fairbairn Foundation.

English Nature (2001). Great Crested Newt Mitigation Guidelines. English Nature, Peterborough.

[REDACTED]

Froglife (1999). Froglife Advice Sheet 10 Reptile Survey: An introduction to planning, conducting and interpreting surveys for snake and lizard conservation.

Langton, T.E.S., Beckett, C.L., and Foster, J.P. (2001). Great Crested Newt Conservation Handbook, Froglife.

Magic Map (2025). Natural England. (at <https://magic.defra.gov.uk/>).

Reason, P.F. and Wray, S. (2023). *UK Bat Mitigation Guidelines: a guide to impact assessment, mitigation and compensation for developments affecting bats*. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Ampfield.

UKHab Ltd (2023). UK Habitat Classification Version 2.0 (at <https://www.ukhab.org>)

7 SITE PHOTOS



Plate 1. Site Access



Plate 2. Garden to west



Plate 3. Rear Garden



Plate 4. Dwelling North face



Plate 5. Outbuildings 1 and 2



Plate 6. Outbuildings and dwelling south face



Plate 7. Bat dropping in Outbuilding 1



Plate 8. Compost heap to rear of garden



Plate 9. Outbuilding 1



Plate 10. Outbuilding 2



Plate 11. Dwelling crawl space



Plate 12. Bats in crawl space


APPENDIX A - RELEVANT WILDLIFE LEGISLATION AND POLICIES

Ecological Feature	Legislation / Policy
Great crested newts	Great crested newts are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and Conservation of Habitats and Species (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019. This makes it an offence to kill or injure great crested newts or damage or destroy a place of shelter or protection. Deliberate or reckless disturbance of great crested newts which could affect the ability of any significant group of animals to survive or breed may also result in an offence.
Reptiles	Reptiles are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). It is an offence to intentionally or recklessly kill or injure reptiles.
Birds	Under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) it is illegal to take, damage or destroy the nests of wild birds whilst being built or in use. Bird species also listed on Schedule 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) receive additional protection including protection from intentional or reckless disturbance when they are nesting or rearing dependant young.
Bats	British bat species are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and Conservation of Habitats and Species (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019. This makes it an offence to kill or injure bats or damage or destroy a place of shelter or protection. Deliberate or reckless disturbance of bats which could affect the ability of any significant group of animals to survive, breed, rear or nurture their young may also result in an offence.
Hazel Dormice	Dormice are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and Conservation of Habitats and Species (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019. This makes it an offence to kill or injure dormice or damage or destroy a place of shelter or protection used by this species. Deliberate or reckless disturbance of dormice which could affect the ability of any significant group of animals to survive or breed may also result in an offence.

Ecological Feature	Legislation / Policy
Otters	Otters are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and Conservation of Habitats and Species (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019. This makes it an offence to kill or injure otters or damage or destroy a place of shelter or protection. Deliberate or reckless disturbance of otters which could affect the ability of animals to survive, breed, rear or nurture their young may also result in an offence.
Water voles	Water voles are protected on Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). Under this legislation it is an offence to kill or injure a water vole, intentionally or recklessly disturb, destroy or obstruct a water voles place of shelter or protection.
Invasive non-native species	Invasive, non-native species are those listed on Schedule 9 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). It is an offence to plant or cause the spread of these species in the wild.
UK Priority Species/Habitats	UK Priority Species/Habitats are those that have been identified as being the most threatened and requiring conservation action.
Biodiversity Net Gain	The Environment Act 2022 and the National Planning Policy Framework require all developments to show a net gain for biodiversity.


APPENDIX B – FIGURES

Figure B1 – Habitat Plan




Not to scale. North is page up

Figure B2 – Target Note Plan



Not to scale. North is page up

APPENDIX C – PROPOSED PLANS



APPENDIX D - SURVEY DETAILS AND RESULTS DATA

Table D1. Details of the Personnel, Dates and Types of Survey Undertaken at the Site


SURVEY	DATE	PERSONNEL
Extended UK Habitat Classification Survey & Daytime Bat Walkover Survey (DBW)	02 July 2025	Adam Robbins (Ecologist) MCIEEM, Natural England Bat Class Licence CL18 (Level 2) 2016-24143-CLS-CLS, Natural England Great Crested Newt Class Licence CL08 (Level 1) 2015-3839-CLS-CLS.

APPENDIX E – RECORD CENTRE MAPS

Map 1: Statutory site designations

Sunny Side, Ashington + 1km radius

SxBRC/25/286 - 10/07/2025



Key to Map:

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Search area | Local Nature Reserve |
| Special Area of Conservation | National Park |
| Special Protection Area | Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty |
| Ramsar | Country Park |
| Site of Special Scientific Interest | Marine Conservation Zone |
| National Nature Reserve | |

Statutory designated site boundaries supplied by Natural England. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.


Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database rights 2025

0 0.25 0.5 km



Map 2: Non-statutory site designations

Sunny Side, Ashington + 1km radius
SxBRC/25/286 - 10/07/2025



Key to Map:

-  Search area
-  Local Wildlife Site
-  Local Geological Site
-  Designated Road Verge
-  Marine SNCI

Local Wildlife Site boundaries maintained by Sussex Biodiversity Record Centre (SxBRC) on behalf of Sussex Local Wildlife Sites Initiative. Notable Road Verge data provided by local authorities. Local Geological Site data created by SxBRC in partnership with Sussex Geodiversity Group.

Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database rights 2025

0 0.25 0.5 km

