**From:** Planning@horsham.gov.uk
**Sent:** 02 September 2024 19:31
**To:** Planning
**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application DC/24/1177

**Categories:** Comments Received

# Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 02/09/2024 7:30 PM.

## Application Summary

| | |
|---|---|
| Address: | Sendalls Yard, Horsham Car Centre Crawley Road Horsham West Sussex RH12 4HG |
| Proposal: | Installation of 2x non-illuminated signs and 1x internally illuminated fascia sign. |
| Case Officer: | Jacques Burrowes |

Click for further information

## Customer Details

| | |
|---|---|
| Address: | Little Well Cottage 264 Crawley Road Horsham West Sussex |

## Comments Details

| | |
|---|---|
| Commenter Type: | Neighbour |
| Stance: | Customer objects to the Planning Application |
| Reasons for comment: | - Other<br>- Privacy Light and Noise |
| Comments: | In principle we mainly object to the illumination of the fascia sign due to its proximity to our bedroom window, the height of the sign is at direct eye level from our upstairs main bedroom & is located a little over 5 meters from our window so illuminated sign will be direct light pollution into our bedroom.<br>The drawings and plan appear to distort the reality of the proximity from fascia signage to our property line and bedroom window.<br><br>We would also request that the ATM and security light above the ATM, only be powered or illuminated during store trading hours and not on 24hrs / day.<br><br>We also would seek clarity that the planning permission for the store was for 8am to 10pm store closing and reduced hours on Sunday. The proposed signage shows 11pm close. everyday.<br><br>Seems to be an error on the application, and should be clarified, discrepancy between the 4 signs on application and the setting out and numbering of the signage drawings. |

Drawing # xxx 213 - Proposed Signage details -Shows the signage numbered 1 - 6 but only 4 signs on application.

Drawing # xxx 217 Proposed Ground floor plan - Shows the signage on plan as 1 - 5 but on the legend 3 & 4 do not correspond with what is on the drawing.

With all of the above in mind and the fact that the signage proposal does not show any window manifestations, vinyl for tint, marketing or signage in windows, can this be confirmed as not required? In my experience of retail fitout and construction the application is not accurate and generally poorly detailed.

Regards
[redacted]
264 Crawley Rd. (Direct neighbour)
Horsham, RH12 4HG

---

Kind regards