

From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk
Sent: 06 February 2026 19:48
To: Planning
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/26/0035

Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 06/02/2026 7:48 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land To The South East of Spring Gardens Washington West Sussex
Proposal:	Erection of 1 no detached two storey dwelling and associated landscaping. Relocation of existing stable.[cr]
Case Officer:	Bethan Tinning

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	15 Spring Gardens Washington
----------	------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Highway Access and Parking - Loss of General Amenity - Other - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	Good morning, I am writing to object to the planning application for a house on the land off the A24 and Spring Gardens. My concerns are as follows: Construction Traffic The application does not give details on the construction traffic that access the site. Large construction vehicles entering and leaving the area, or being parked up, as per previous constructions applications would increase the danger of reduced visibility and road users.

Grazing Land and wildlife

The land is currently for grazing is part of the countryside. Further building on the land would result a reduce in character for the area.

Natural Light and electric lighting on Spring Gardens

The size and scale of the proposed house would block natural light to the properties and road, and negatively affect our living conditions. Further more the current stable that has bene build has lighting on 24 hours a day, which is dazzling at night to road users, and even shines in to peoples homes, again this property I feel would worsen this, furthermore with the movement of the stable block towards more houses.

Parking

There is limited parking available in the area. The application does not show how parking would be managed, again could lead to unsafe or obstructive parking on the road.

Flooding

Since the spring and pond was filled in to allow the stable block to be build as per previous applications the flooding at the bottom of Spring Gardens and bus stop has worsened significantly. Having further construction would potentially increase this, but also having a residential building erected on flooded area and on unstable land where the Spring was also raises concerns to the proposed buildings strength, again considering the size of it.

Also as another application has been proposed I would like to address the following, of previous three declined applications:

Erection of a stable & kennel building and laying of hardstanding.

Ref. No: DC/22/1023 | Status: Application Refused

Retention of existing entrance and stable block together with reduced area of hardstanding (permeable) and parking for a single works van / trailer.

Ref. No: DC/24/1327 | Status: Application Refused

Retention of existing entrance and stable block together with reduced area of hardstanding (permeable) and parking for a single works van / trailer (Retrospective)

Ref. No: DC/25/0183 | Status: Application Refused

All three refused applications have been ignored over the past years and have been built in any case. Reports of dogs barking all hours of the day were reported and nothing was done, and the kennel building remains. There again have been horses, but for a while now, none reside, and the building is used for storage.

The hand standing/parking for a single works van has been ignored, multiple vehicles have been left on the land, as well as construction and timber materials, and skip that has been there many months, not to mention the constant fires that been lit all year round to burn construction waste.

In regards to this application

Reference DC/25/1009

Alternative Reference PP-14109271

Retention of existing entrance and stable block together with reduced area of hardstanding (permeable). (Retrospective).

The existing entrance was never used, and instead a brick build entrance was built very close to No 1 Spring Gardens, the original entrance was on the corner opposite the post box of Spring Gardens.

Therefore for all the above reasons, as well as previous applications that have been ignored or made to suit, I have to object to this application.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

Kind regards

Telephone:

Email: planning@horsham.gov.uk



**Horsham
District
Council**

Horsham District Council, Albery House, Springfield Road, Horsham, West Sussex RH12 2GB
Telephone: 01403 215100 (calls may be recorded) www.horsham.gov.uk Chief Executive: Jane Eaton