[redacted]
Sent: 06 August 2025 19:44
To: Planning; Sam.Nye
[redacted]
Subject: Planning Application DC/25/1098
Attachments: Photos-1-001 (5).zip; 46 Redford Avenue

Categories: Comments Received

Dear Sirs

Re: **Loft conversion with hip to gable roof extension, rear dormer and front rooflights – 48 Redford Avenue, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2HJ**

I wish to make an objection to the planning application ref: DC/25/1098 on the following grounds:

The design, appearance and materials of the dormer are not in keeping with the original or with other similar properties nearby.

The original full set of construction drawings provided for the Party Wall Notice state:

- Dormer, main elevation and cheeks to be tiled with tiles to match existing roof i.e. red not grey
- Fascia to be uPVC, colour to match existing i.e. white not grey

Because of its situation, encroaching over the centre boundary line of the semi-detached properties, the construction of the dormer will dictate and limit the nature, size, height, style and finish of any future, similar construction on the neighbouring property at no.46

There are also a number of inaccuracies in the planning application documents:-

- The works have actually been **completed without consent** i.e. the dormer cheek has been constructed and fully clad in a grey composite material
- Roof – existing materials – red clay tiles
- The applicant is **not the sole owner of all the land to which this application relates** as the dormer cheek is partly situated on the neighbouring property at no.46 i.e. over the centre line of the party wall
- Drawing 003 is **inaccurate** as it shows the dormer being wholly and completely situated on the building at no. 48 which it is not. The attached drawing and report confirm this encroachment

Supporting report, drawing and photos attached.

Kind regards

[redacted]
46, Redford Avenue, Horsham, RH12 2HJ











