

From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 26 May 2026 21:20:32 UTC+01:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/26/0616
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 26/05/2026 9:20 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	82 Bedford Road Horsham West Sussex RH13 5BH
Proposal:	Erection of a single storey rear extension.
Case Officer:	Meg Bayliss

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	6 Cambridge Road Horsham
----------	--------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Highway Access and Parking - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	1. Building materials & design not in keeping - The proposed extension and external materials are not in keeping with the character and appearance of the surrounding Victorian properties. The red bricks used on the extension so far appear unsympathetic to the nature of the existing property and would negatively impact the visual character of the neighbourhood. 2. Loss of garden space and lack of storage - The proposal

significantly reduces the available garden space for what would become a high-occupancy property. There appears to be inadequate provision for bin, bicycle, and other external storage. This is likely to result in the rear garden becoming cluttered and visually intrusive to neighbouring properties - such as ours which overlooks the garden.

3. Noise & activity closer to boundaries- The prospect of at least 6 adult occupants would generate a level of noise and activity far beyond that of a standard family household in this area, causing significant disturbance and loss of quiet enjoyment to neighbouring homes and gardens. This is worsened by the extension limiting social spaces, such as the garden, closer to neighbouring boundaries.

4. Parking - Parking on the surrounding streets is already heavily constrained. This would place further pressure on local parking infrastructure and worsen congestion and road wear within the area.

5. Unsuitable Victorian infrastructure - The proposal represents an over-intensive use of a Victorian property and associated infrastructure that was never designed to accommodate this level of occupation. Such high-density use risks placing unacceptable strain on sewers and drainage.

6. Intentionally misleading communications - We and neighbouring properties were misled by the communication of the original proposal. We were informed this was to be converted from 2 separate flats into a single family home. Not only is this clearly not the case, but these proposed building works mean it is highly unlikely it will ever be utilised as a single family home again.

Kind regards

Telephone:

Email: planning@horsham.gov.uk

