**From:** Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
**Sent:** 29 November 2024 12:08:34 UTC+00:00
**To:** "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application DC/24/1712
**Categories:** Comments Received

# Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 29/11/2024 12:08 PM.

## Application Summary

| | |
|---|---|
| Address: | 1 Bayley Paddock Mill Lane Partridge Green Horsham West Sussex RH13 8JU |
| Proposal: | Application to confirm the porch and chimney that have been built comprise permitted development under Classes D & G of Part 1 of Schedule 2 of the GPDO. (Lawful Development Certificate - Existing). |
| Case Officer: | Jacques Burrowes |

Click for further information

## Customer Details

| | |
|---|---|
| Address: | Honeycroft Mill Lane Partridge Green |

## Comments Details

| | |
|---|---|
| Commenter Type: | Neighbour |
| Stance: | Customer objects to the Planning Application |
| Reasons for comment: | - Design<br>- Overdevelopment<br>- Privacy Light and Noise |
| Comments: | This developer (Delcraven Ltd) has a long history of not complying with Planning Approvals. This has resulted in several retrospective approvals being sought.<br>This raises the question of whether the repeated breaches are incompetence or willful negligence (as examples see HDC |

enforcement actions dating back as far as 2006 EN06/150 for not complying with Planning Regulations WG21/03).
Based on the fact that the chimney and porch were built before the building was finished, these should have formed part of the original planning request which may have resulted in HDC rejecting the plans or requesting amendments.
If retrospective approval is provided by HDC then it calls into question the relevance of the planning committees role and authority, if planning approvals can be repeatedly ignored and developers choose to build what they want regardless.

---

Kind regards