From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk
Sent: 06 December 2023 22:22
To: Planning
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/23/1640

Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 06/12/2023 10:22 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	4 Ellis Road Broadbridge Heath West Sussex RH12 3GR
Proposal:	Erection of a single storey side and rear extension.
Case Officer:	Jacques Burrowes

Click for further information

Customer Details

Address:	6 Ellis Road Broadbridge Heath Horsham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design
Comments:	We do not object to the notion of an extension at 4 Ellis Road per se; rather the proximity of said extension to the boundary line (i.e. 50mm) and potentially how overbearing this would feel from our side. Our garden is small, measuring about 33.6 square metres (7.3m x 4.6m), and is enclosed by brick or cladded brick walls on three of the four sides (the rear of our property to the West and garages to the East and South). This can be said for other gardens for sure, but the small nature of ours makes this very apparent. The remaining North side of our garden is currently a wooden fence which is less tall, arguably softer in appearance and less harsh as a result. This proposed extension would do away with this and essentially create a brick wall (albeit not the entire length) along the only side of our garden that is not already a brick/cladded brick wall. This, we feel, will inevitably make our garden feel even smaller and be somewhat overbearing and oppressive. Additionally, these amended plans feature a higher proposed structure height which could result in increased loss of light to our living room from the North-East elevation.

A relatively straightforward solution would be for the proposed extension to sit further away from the boundary line in order to lessen any negative impacts on our side. If this were the case then the wooden fence would be retained along the entire boundary line (providing visual relief to all the current brick) and the new height of the structure would be offset by distance and therefore mitigated.

In any case, we would rather not feel enclosed in our garden by brick walls on all four sides and hence the submission of this objection.

Kind regards