
From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk
Sent: 16 April 2023 10:04
To: Planning
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/23/0406

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 16/04/2023 10:04 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land To The West of Ashington C of E School Park Lane Ashington West Sussex
Proposal:	Demolition of existing buildings and erection of 180 dwellings, sports pavilion, sport pitches and allotments; with associated access, landscaping, open space and infrastructure.
Case Officer:	Nicola Pettifer

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	4 Blacksmiths Close Ashington
----------	-------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Highway Access and Parking - Overdevelopment
Comments:	Objection on the basis of over development. Ashington does not have adequate amenities to support an additional 180 houses. The village school is typically at capacity on reception intake and the one local shop and car park could not support such an increase; it is often too busy to park during peak times. Public transport options to/from the village are extremely limited which means the village would see a significant increase in vehicular traffic if 180 additional homes are built. Objection on the basis of highway access and parking. Church Lane is a narrow lane which already suffers from regular parking obstructions. The pavements are extremely narrow. I am a parent who regularly walks children to school along Church Lane. Narrow pavements mean children and adults need to walk single file in places and traffic often passes too quickly and in very close proximity to children. An additional 180 homes using this narrow road at peak times would present a very real danger to young pedestrians not to mention significant traffic congestion and additional air pollution. The proposed access to this site is completely

inappropriate. Developers have completely failed to identify the inadequacy of this proposed route.

Kind regards