



---

PLANNING STAGE AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT

# NEW HOUSE FARM, FAYGATE

FAIRFAX ACQUISITIONS LTD

JANUARY 2026

---

# PLANNING STAGE AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT NEW HOUSE FARM, FAYGATE

Our Ref: 8658\_001R\_1-0\_HF



**Client:** Fairfax Acquisitions Ltd

**Report by:** Anderson Acoustics Limited  
Tempus Wharf  
33A Bermondsey Wall West  
London  
SE16 4ST

[www.andersonacoustics.co.uk](http://www.andersonacoustics.co.uk)

T: [0203 176 7909](tel:02031767909)

**Date:** 9 January 2026

**Project No:** 8658

**Status:** First Issue

---

|               |                                               |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| <b>Author</b> | <b>Harry Foster</b><br>Air Quality Consultant |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|

9 January 2026

---

|                 |                                                         |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Reviewed</b> | <b>Adam Glass</b><br>Principal Environmental Consultant |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------|

9 January 2026

---

|                 |                                                         |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Approved</b> | <b>Adam Glass</b><br>Principal Environmental Consultant |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------|

9 January 2026

This document has been prepared using all reasonable skill and care. Anderson Acoustics Ltd accepts no responsibility or liability for any third-party data presented in this report or used to draw any conclusions. This document is confidential to the named client above, and Anderson Acoustics Ltd accepts no responsibility or liability resulting from third-party use of this document or for a purpose other than for which it was commissioned.

## REVISION HISTORY

| Version | Comments             | Changes made by | Approved by |
|---------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 1.0     | First issued version | HF              | AG          |

## CONTENTS

|    |                                            |    |
|----|--------------------------------------------|----|
| 1  | INTRODUCTION                               | 5  |
| 2  | AIR QUALITY PLANNING POLICY                | 5  |
| 3  | AIR QUALITY – POLLUTANTS FOR CONSIDERATION | 7  |
| 4  | SITE SETTING & PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT        | 8  |
| 5  | BASELINE CONDITIONS                        | 10 |
| 6  | AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT                     | 12 |
| 7  | CONSTRUCTION DUST RISK ASSESSMENT          | 14 |
| 8  | CONSTRUCTION MITIGATION MEASURES           | 19 |
| 9  | EMISSIONS MITIGATION ASSESSMENT            | 22 |
| 10 | CONCLUSIONS                                | 23 |

## 1 INTRODUCTION

This report has been prepared in support of the outline planning application submitted by Fairfax Acquisitions Ltd to Horsham District Council (HDC). The application is for the construction of up to 120 dwellings, together with the provision of landscaping, open space, and associated development works, with access from Crawley Road, RH12 4RT.

The proposed development will not include any combustion plant (or will use ultra-low NOx boilers) to meet the energy demand for hot water and space heating. It is, however, expected that the development will result in a net increase in daily vehicle movements. The effects of these additional vehicle movements on air quality are addressed later in this document.

Air quality planning policy relevant to the assessment is presented and briefly discussed in Section 2 of this report. The pollutants for consideration, along with air quality standards, are presented in Section 3. The site settings and proposed development are described in Section 4, and baseline air quality concentrations are reviewed in Section 5. The air quality assessment and site suitability are presented in Section 6. The dust risk assessment and management plan are presented in Section 7, along with construction and operational mitigation measures in Section 8. The emissions mitigation assessment is presented in Section 9, and the conclusions are presented in Section 10.

## 2 AIR QUALITY PLANNING POLICY

### 2.1 National and Regional Planning Policy and Guidance

#### 2.1.1 National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

The NPPF<sup>1</sup> presents the Government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied, with the development of local and neighbourhood plans under the framework. Paragraph 187 e of the NPPF identifies that the planning system should aim to conserve and enhance the natural and local environment by *"preventing new and existing development from contributing to, being put at unacceptable risk from, or being adversely affected by, unacceptable levels of soil, air, water or noise pollution or land instability. Development should, wherever possible, help to improve local environmental conditions such as air and water quality, taking into account relevant information such as river basin management plans..."*

Paragraph 199 states *"Planning policies and decisions should sustain and contribute towards compliance with relevant limit values or national objectives for pollutants, taking into account the presence of Air Quality Management Areas and Clean Air Zones, and the cumulative impacts from individual sites in local areas. Opportunities to improve air quality or mitigate impacts should be identified, such as through traffic and travel management, and green infrastructure provision and enhancement. So far as possible these opportunities should be considered at the plan-making stage, to ensure a strategic approach and limit the need for issues to be reconsidered when determining individual applications. Planning decisions should ensure that any new development in Air Quality Management Areas and Clean Air Zones is consistent with the local air quality action plan."*

#### 2.1.2 Planning Practice Guidance (PPG)

PPG<sup>2</sup> for air quality has been produced that gives an indication of details the local authority may want to consider when there are concerns about air quality, special requirements such as the height of chimneys and securing mitigation measures through planning conditions and obligations. The PPG considers that dust can also be a planning concern for effects on local amenity. The guidance considers that assessments should be proportional to the nature and scale of development proposed and the level of concern about air quality. The mitigation of air quality impacts and effects is to depend on the proposed development and should be proportionate to the likely impact.

<sup>1</sup> Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government. National Planning Policy Framework. 2024.

<sup>2</sup> Department for Communities and Local Government. 2019. Planning Practice Guidance – Air Quality. Revision date November 2019.

### 2.1.3 The IAQM's The Control of Dust and Emissions During Construction and Demolition

The IAQM's Guidance on the assessment of dust from Demolition and Construction<sup>3</sup> seeks to reduce emissions of dust, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> from construction and demolition activities. The guidance considers that during the pre-application phase, local authorities should provide and advise on controlling dust and emissions and should set out their requirements for the planning application.

During the detailed application phase, the developer should submit an Air Quality and Dust Risk Assessment (AQDRA), which should confirm that an Air Quality and Dust Management Plan (AQDMP), following the guidance in the SPG, will be submitted to the local authority prior to works commencing on-site.

The AQDRA provides a summary of the risk to soiling (dirt deposited on surrounding structures), health and the natural environment, and recommends emission control measures to be implemented as part of the scheme.

This document considers that the activities on construction sites can be divided into four types to reflect their different impact:

- i. demolition;
- ii. earthworks;
- iii. construction; and
- iv. trackout.

These activities can lead to three separate dust impacts:

- i. the risk of health effects due to an increase in exposure to PM<sub>10</sub>;
- ii. annoyance due to dust soiling; and
- iii. harm to ecological receptors.

## 2.2 Local Air Quality Policy, Guidance and Local Air Quality Management

### 2.2.1 Air Quality and Emissions Mitigation Guidance for Sussex (2021)

Sussex' Air Quality and Emissions Mitigation Guidance<sup>4</sup> is used as a reference guide to improve air quality across Sussex.

Guidance is given for air quality assessments, which tends to be aligned with the guidance provided by the IAQM. It also states that emission mitigation assessments are required for developments that are:

- Classed as a major development, as defined by the Town and Country Planning Order;
- Within an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA);
- In relevant proximity to an AQMA;
- In an area close to exceeding the Air Quality Objectives (AQO); or/and,
- B8 storage and distribution use class with a floorspace of 500 m<sup>2</sup> or more.

If the development does not match any of the above conditions, no mitigation damage cost assessment will be required. If the development does match any of the above conditions, a damage cost calculation should be undertaken to determine an appropriate sum to be spent on mitigating the impact on air quality over a 5-year period.

### 2.2.2 Horsham District Planning Framework (Local Plan) 2015

HDC adopted the planning framework<sup>5</sup> in March 2018 and the framework sets out the district's policies covering housing, infrastructure, environmental protection, and community needs, and serves as the primary

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Air Quality Management. Guidance on the assessment of dust from Demolition and Construction. V2.2. 2024.

<sup>4</sup> Sussex Air. Air Quality and Emissions Mitigation Guidance. 2021.

<sup>5</sup> Horsham District Council. Horsham District Planning Framework. 2015.

framework for planning decisions. Specific mention of air quality is included in Policy 24: Strategic Policy: Environmental Protection, which states:

*“[...] Taking into account any relevant Planning Guidance Documents, developments will be expected to minimise exposure to and the emission of pollutants including noise, odour, air and light pollution and ensure that they:*

1. *Address land contamination by promoting the appropriate re-use of sites and requiring the delivery of appropriate remediation;*
2. *Are appropriate to their location, taking account of ground conditions and land instability;*
3. *Maintain or improve the environmental quality of any watercourses, groundwater and drinking water supplies, and prevents contaminated run-off to surface water sewers;*
4. *Minimise the air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions in order to protect human health and the environment;*
5. *Contribute to the implementation of local Air Quality Action Plans and do not conflict with its objectives;*
6. *Maintain or reduce the number of people exposed to poor air quality including odour. Consideration should be given to development that will result in new public exposure, particularly where vulnerable people (e.g. the elderly, care homes or schools) would be exposed to the areas of poor air quality; and*
7. *Ensure that the cumulative impact of all relevant committed developments is appropriately assessed.”*

### 3 AIR QUALITY – POLLUTANTS FOR CONSIDERATION

#### 3.1 Introduction

The pollutants for consideration within HDC are nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) and particulate matter (PM).

Oxides of nitrogen include nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>). NO<sub>2</sub> can cause inflammation of the lungs, lead to shortness of breath and coughing, and can reduce immunity to lung infections such as bronchitis. PM<sub>10</sub> is the fraction of PM that is 10 µm or less in size, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> is the fraction of PM that is 2.5 µm or less in size. Both can be drawn into the lungs and can cause respiratory illness, cardiovascular illness and mortality.

#### 3.2 Air Quality Standards

##### 3.2.1 European and National Air Quality Standards

Air Quality Directive 2008/50/EC<sup>6</sup> introduced legally binding “limit value” targets for the member governments to reduce air pollution to concentrations at which minimal effects on health are likely to occur. The directive was transposed into law through the Air Quality (England) Standards Regulations<sup>7</sup> with air quality objectives and dates by which they were to be achieved. The sensitive locations at which the standards and objectives apply are places where the population is expected to be exposed to the various pollutants over the averaging period in question. For objectives to which an annual mean standard applies, the most common sensitive receptor locations used to measure concentrations are areas of residential housing, since it is reasonable to expect that people living in their homes could be exposed to pollutants over such a period of time. For shorter averaging periods of between 15 minutes, 1 hour or 1 day, the sensitive receptor location can be anywhere where the public could be exposed to the pollutant over these shorter periods of time. The annual mean objectives are not relevant for the building façades of offices or other places of work where members of the public do not have regular access, kerbsides or gardens.

The Environmental Targets (Fine Particulate Matter) (England) Regulations 2023<sup>8</sup> defines that “*The annual mean concentration target is that by the end of 31<sup>st</sup> December 2040 the annual mean level of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in ambient air must be equal to or less than 10 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (“the target level”)*”. The Air Quality Strategy<sup>9</sup> introduces interim

<sup>6</sup> Council Directive 2008/50/EC of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe.

<sup>7</sup> The Air Quality Standards Regulations 2010, SI 2010/1001

<sup>8</sup> Statutory instrument. The Environmental Targets (Fine Particulate Matter) (England) Regulations. 2023.

<sup>9</sup> Defra. Air Quality Strategy. Framework for local authority delivery. 2023.

targets to be achieved by January 2028. A summary of the objectives and targets is shown in Table 3.1, as amended by the Brexit regulations.

**Table 3.1: Air Quality Objectives for NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>**

| Pollutant         | Air Quality Objectives for Particulates and NO <sub>2</sub>           |              | Date to be Achieved By |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|
|                   | Concentration                                                         | Measured as  |                        |
| NO <sub>2</sub>   | 40 µg/m <sup>3</sup>                                                  | Annual mean  | 31 December 2005       |
|                   | 200 µg/m <sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 18 hours in a year | Hourly mean  | 31 December 2005       |
| PM <sub>10</sub>  | 50 µg/m <sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year     | 24-hour mean | 31 December 2004       |
|                   | 40 µg/m <sup>3</sup>                                                  | Annual mean  | 31 December 2004       |
| PM <sub>2.5</sub> | 10 µg/m <sup>3</sup>                                                  | Annual mean  | 2040                   |
|                   | 12 µg/m <sup>3</sup>                                                  | Annual mean  | January 2028           |
|                   | 20 µg/m <sup>3</sup>                                                  | Annual mean  | January 2020           |
|                   | 35% reduction in average population exposure relative to 2018         | Annual mean  | 2040                   |
|                   | 22 % reduction in average population exposure relative to 2018        | Annual mean  | 2028                   |

The Environment Act 1995<sup>10</sup> introduced the requirement for local authority management of air quality. Part IV of this Act details the duties of local authorities in carrying out their local air quality management (LAQM) to tackle poor air quality. Part of the requirement is for the Review and Assessment of air quality and production of Updating and Screening Assessments (USA) and Status Reports. Where exceedance of these objectives is shown or anticipated, the local authority is required to produce an Air Quality Action Plan to reduce emissions and pollutant concentrations.

## 4 SITE SETTING & PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

### 4.1 Site Setting

The site is approximately 4.9 hectares in size and is located in a suburban area, approximately 2.75 km northeast of Horsham Town centre, at national grid reference (NGR) <sup>5</sup>20116, <sup>1</sup>32802, as shown in Figure 4.1. The site is currently used for agricultural land use. The western boundary is adjacent to Crawley Road, which is expected to carry relatively high traffic flows due to its local function and connectivity towards the town centre and the A264. As a result, baseline concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> across the site are expected to be influenced by nearby road traffic emissions, with conditions broadly comparable to those observed at roadside monitoring locations.

The site is bounded:

<sup>10</sup> Office of the Deputy Prime Minister. The Environment Act. 1995.

- To the north by Old Crawley Road, agricultural land with New House Farm to the north;
- To the east by woodland with agricultural land beyond;
- To the south by residential properties and allotments; and
- To the west by Crawley Road with residential properties beyond.

**Figure 4.1: Site location plan**



The site is not situated within or near an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA). The nearest AQMA was first declared by Crawley Borough Council (CBC) in 2015 due to exceedances of annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. This was later amended in 2021 which extended the AQMA near Three Bridges Rail Station as additional monitoring showed annual mean exceedances of NO<sub>2</sub> within the area. The proposed development site is located approximately 8.4 km to the southwest of the AQMA and therefore it is not anticipated that a significant amount of the development traffic will pass through the Crawley AQMA.

## 4.2 Proposed Development

The proposed development comprises the construction of up to 120 residential dwellings with associated open space, landscaping and car parking. As part of the development, vehicular access to the site will be via a new junction on Crawley Road.

The transport consultant provided details of the annual average daily traffic (AADT) generated by the proposed development, stating that the development will result in a maximum increase of 226 AADT on a road link (Crawley Road, south of the site). The development will result in a net increase of 219 AADT along Crawley Road, north of the site). The development will result in a total increase of 445 AADT, which is then split at the site boundary, where the access road joins the road network.

The proposed development will not result in a significant change in traffic along the local road network and will not exceed the IAQM criteria for further detailed assessment. This is discussed in more detail further in this document.

## 5 BASELINE CONDITIONS

### 5.1 Local Authority Monitoring Data

Reference has been made to the following information sources:

- i. HDC 2025 Air Quality Annual Status Report (ASR) (published July 2025);
- ii. Defra UK-Air<sup>11</sup>
- iii. Air Quality England<sup>12</sup>.

#### 5.1.1 Automatic Monitoring

HDC only operate one Continuous Monitoring Station (CMS) within 10 km of the development site. This is the roadside monitor located in Horsham Town centre, known as HO2. It is located on the major junction of Park Way/Albion Way (the A281) and Park Street (the B2195), approximately 3.3 km to the southwest of the proposed site and monitors concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>. Data from recent years at the nearest CMS has been summarised in Table 5.1 to get an idea of what roadside concentrations are like near a major junction and road.

**Table 5.1. Summary of CMS annual mean concentrations in proximity of the development site.**

| Name (Site ID)            | Distance to site (direction) | Type     | Pollutant        | Annual mean concentrations (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) |      |      |      |      | AQO |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|
|                           |                              |          |                  | 2020                                            | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |     |
| HO2<br>(Horsham Park Way) | 3.3 SW                       | Roadside | NO <sub>2</sub>  | 18.8                                            | 21.1 | 17.7 | 16.2 | 15.7 | 40  |
|                           |                              |          | PM <sub>10</sub> | 15.7                                            | 17.5 | 19.3 | 20.5 | 16.1 | 40  |

Table 5.1 shows that annual mean concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> have remained below the respective AQO since at least 2020 at HO2. It is also important to note that pollutant concentrations at the proposed development site are expected to be lower than those recorded at HO2, as the site is not situated within a built up urban environment where traffic is expected to cause queues.

The latest ASRs show that the hourly mean concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> have not exceeded 200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> on greater than the allowable 18 occasions permitted by the AQO at either CMS in recent years.

#### 5.1.2 Diffusion Tube Monitoring

HDC operates a diffusion tube network across the district to measure concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>. Several diffusion tubes in the vicinity of the proposed development are shown in Figure 5.1 and summarised in Table 5.2.

<sup>11</sup> Defra UK Air Website (accessed 24/11/25 via <https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk>)

<sup>12</sup> Air Quality in England Website (accessed on 24/11/25 via <https://airqualityengland.co.uk/>)

Figure 5.1: Diffusion tubes in proximity of the development site




Table 5.2: Annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations from HDC diffusion tube monitoring

| Site Name (ID) | Distance and direction from site (km) | Type     | Annual mean NO <sub>2</sub> concentrations (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) |      |      |      |      | AQO |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-----|
|                |                                       |          | 2020                                                            | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |     |
| Horsham 3N     | 1.30 NW                               | Roadside | 9.5                                                             | 9.9  | 8.8  | 7.6  | 7.2  | 40  |
| Horsham 6N     | 1.33 W                                | Roadside | 18.2                                                            | 19.5 | 17.6 | 15.0 | 14.3 |     |
| N Horsham 1N   | 2.39 NW                               | Roadside | 21.6                                                            | 23.2 | 22.6 | 20.9 | -    |     |
| Horsham 5N     | 2.41 SW                               | Roadside | 21.0                                                            | 22.7 | 21.6 | 20.4 | 18.1 |     |
| Horsham 9n.1   | 2.46 NW                               | Roadside | 22.1                                                            | 23.3 | 24.1 | 20.3 | 17.3 |     |
| N Horsham 2N   | 2.76 NW                               | Kerbside | 15.8                                                            | 14.6 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 11.9 |     |

Table 5.2 shows that all diffusion tubes in the vicinity of the site stayed well within the AQO in recent years.

The nearest monitoring location, Horsham 3N, is located approximately 1.3 km to the northwest of the site at the Rusper Road roundabout. This location is subject to relatively high traffic flows, with frequent braking, stopping and acceleration associated with the junction layout, which has the potential to influence local air quality. However, monitored NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at this location remain well below the AQO.

Other diffusion tube locations within the wider area, and further along the B2195, including Horsham 5N and Horsham 9N, provide a conservative indication of roadside NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Concentrations across the site are therefore expected to be lower than, or at worst case comparable to, those recorded at Horsham 5N and Horsham 9N, with a general reduction anticipated with increasing distance from main roads.

## 5.2 DEFRA Background Maps

The UK-AIR background air pollutant concentration maps have also been considered. The background maps are presented in 1 km x 1 km grid squares across England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland. The current version of the background maps (reference year 2021) contains estimates of NO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> for the period 2021 through to 2040.

The 2021 reference year background maps are based on monitoring and meteorological data for 2021. Predicted background concentrations for the reference year (2021) and current year (2025) are summarised in Table 5.3.

**Table 5.3: Predicted annual mean background map concentrations for grid square centred at <sup>5</sup>20500, <sup>1</sup>32500.**

| Pollutant         | Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) |       |           |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|
|                   | 2021                                           | 2025  | AQO       |
| NO <sub>2</sub>   | 9.16                                           | 8.37  | <b>40</b> |
| PM <sub>10</sub>  | 11.07                                          | 10.73 | <b>40</b> |
| PM <sub>2.5</sub> | 6.75                                           | 6.43  | <b>20</b> |

All predicted background concentrations in Table 5.3 indicate that the pollutant concentrations at the proposed development site are well within the respective AQO and have decreased from 2021 to 2025.

## 5.3 Summary

The proposed development is located at a suburban location and is expected to be influenced by road traffic emissions near the site access along Crawley Road. Following a review of published information sources, baseline concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> are all assumed to be well within their respective long and short-term AQOs at the proposed development site, even at roadside locations within more built-up areas such as Horsham Town centre.

# 6 AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT

## 6.1 Introduction

Developments can introduce new receptors into areas with poor air quality. They can also increase emissions of air pollutants during their construction and operational phases, and so these matters are assessed.

## 6.2 Site Suitability

The site is not situated within an AQMA, and the majority of future occupants are located over 100 m from the nearest significant source of pollution, South Lodge roundabout and the connecting roads.

The proposed development comprises the construction of up to 120 residential units with associated car parking, landscaping, and open space.

The Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) technical guidance (TG22) states that likely exceedances of the AQO should be assessed in relation to 'the quality of the air at locations which are situated outside of buildings or other natural or man-made structures, above or below ground, and where members of the public are regularly present.'

The focus is therefore on those locations where members of the public are likely to be regularly present and are likely to be exposed for a significant amount of time appropriate to the averaging period of the objective.

Research carried out on behalf of DEFRA<sup>13</sup> concluded that exceedances of the 1-hour mean for NO<sub>2</sub> are unlikely to occur where the annual mean is below 60 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. As annual mean concentrations are expected to be below

<sup>13</sup> AEA Energy and Environment (2008). 'Analysis of the relationship between annual mean nitrogen dioxide concentration and exceedances of the 1-hour mean AQS Objective'

60  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  in the vicinity and within the site, it can therefore be assumed that the short-term (1-hour) mean AQO is not at risk of being exceeded.

From the review of published information sources presented in Section 5, baseline concentrations of  $\text{NO}_2$ ,  $\text{PM}_{10}$  and  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  are anticipated to be well within the respective annual mean and short-term AQOs at the proposed development site.

The site is therefore considered to be suitable for the proposed development from an air quality perspective. Detailed Assessment with dispersion modelling to inform site suitability is not considered to be required, as concentrations are not indicated to be over 90% of the AQO and trip generation of the development does not exceed the IAQM guidance. On this basis, a detailed dispersion modelling assessment is not considered necessary.

### 6.3 Construction Phase


The Proposed Development has the potential to result in emissions of dust and  $\text{PM}_{10}$  during the construction phase, prior to mitigation. The risk of impacts is assessed in Section 7, with recommended mitigation measures outlined in Section 8.

### 6.4 Operational Phase

#### 6.4.1 Traffic Emissions

Detailed trips generation figures have been produced by the project's transport consultant, SK Transport Planning Ltd, and shows that the proposed development is anticipated to result in an increase of 445 daily vehicle movements (219 north of the site and 226 south of the site), and therefore, a detailed assessment including dispersion modelling is not required. The development will introduce a new junction to create access to the development; however, this is not anticipated to significantly impact traffic flow, and queuing is not expected. Consequently, there will be no significant impact on local air quality. The flows and distribution are shown in Table 6.1 and the road links they relate to are shown in Figure 6.1.

Figure 6.1: Road cross-sections that correlate with Table 6.1.



**Table 6.1: two-way development traffic flows on the local road network**

| Road Link                                  | Road Reference | AM Peak | PM Peak | Total AADT |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------|---------|---------|------------|
| Crawley Road (North of Site Access)        | C              | 30      | 31      | 219        |
| Crawley Road (South of Site Access)        | D              | 30      | 33      | 226        |
| Harwood Road                               | H              | 28      | 30      | 210        |
| A264 (East of Crawley Road)                | B              | 24      | 22      | 170        |
| A264 (West of Crawley Road)                | A              | 8       | 7       | 55         |
| Crawley Road (South of Development Access) | E              | 30      | 33      | 226        |
| Crawley Road (North of Harwood Junction)   | F              | 30      | 33      | 226        |
| Forest Road (East of Harwood Junction)     | G              | 1       | 2       | 13         |
| Crawley Road (West of Harwood Junction)    | I              | 0       | 0       | 0          |

#### 6.4.2 Building Emissions

The heating and hot water demand is intended to be met by ultra-low NO<sub>x</sub> boilers or a non-combustion system. Consequently, the proposed development will not introduce any plant that emits significant NO<sub>x</sub> or PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations.

#### 6.5 Significance of Effects

The proposed development is in an area of suitable air quality, and there are no significant additional transport or building emissions to consider. Potential construction effects are mitigated through the dust management plan. The air quality effect of the proposed development is therefore considered as **“Not Significant”**.

### 7 CONSTRUCTION DUST RISK ASSESSMENT

#### 7.1 Introduction

The purpose of this assessment is to identify the risk category associated with dust emissions from the demolition, earthworks, construction, and track-out phases, and to put in place a suitable management and mitigation strategy to ensure negative impacts and adverse effects are controlled and reduced.

The first step of the assessment is to conduct screening to establish if there is a need to proceed to detailed assessment. A dust risk assessment typically proceeds if there is a human receptor within 250 m of the boundary of the site or 50 m of the routes used by construction vehicles on the public highway, up to 250 m from the site entrances.

The IAQM guidance indicates that construction impacts on local air quality can be divided into four key areas;

- i. Demolition;
- ii. Earthworks;
- iii. Construction; and
- iv. Trackout.

#### 7.2 Dust Emission Magnitude

The dust emission magnitude has been assessed for each of the four key areas above in relation to the Proposed Development. The dust emission magnitude is based on the scale of the anticipated works and is classified as small, medium, or large and is considered prior to mitigation. The following tables have been presented to demonstrate the criteria that the development is within for the specific measure/detail.

##### 7.2.1 Demolition

The proposed development does not comprise any demolition works and therefore, there is no dust risk associated with demolition.

## 7.2.2 Earthworks

Table 7.1 shows the IAQM criteria for assessing the dust emission magnitude for earthworks.

**Table 7.1: Summary of IAQM criteria and development details for earthworks**

| IAQM Criteria                              |                                         |                                                |                                   | Development Details                                                                      |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Measure                                    | Large                                   | Medium                                         | Small                             |                                                                                          |
| Total site area                            | > 110,000 m <sup>2</sup>                | 18,000 m <sup>2</sup> - 110,000 m <sup>2</sup> | < 18,000 m <sup>2</sup>           | Approx. 49,000 m <sup>2</sup>                                                            |
| Soil type                                  | Potentially dusty soil type (e.g. clay) | Moderately dusty soil type (e.g. silt)         | Large grain soil type (e.g. sand) | Bedrock: Upper Tunbridge Wells sand, sandstone and mudstone. High to low dust potential. |
| Heavy earth moving vehicles active at once | > 10                                    | 5-10                                           | < 5                               | 5 – 10                                                                                   |
| Height of bunds                            | > 6 m                                   | 3- 6 m                                         | < 3 m                             | No bunds                                                                                 |

The site is approximately 49,000 m<sup>2</sup> and it is understood that the development will introduce paved haul roads. Due to the size and nature of the development, it is estimated that between five and ten heavy earth-moving vehicles will be active on the site at any given time, and it is not expected that any bunds will be positioned on site.

The British Geological Society (BGS) Open Geology of Britain Viewer indicates that the bedrock geology beneath the site is Upper Tunbridge Wells Sand-Sandstone and mudstone. Sand, sandstone and mudstone have a high to low dust potential when dry.

The potential earthworks dust emission magnitude is considered to be **‘Medium’**.

## 7.2.3 Construction

Table 7.2 shows the IAQM criteria for assessing the dust emission magnitude for construction.

**Table 7.2: Summary of IAQM criteria and development details for construction**

| IAQM Criteria         |                                                                   |                                                          |                                                                               | Development Details                           |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Measure               | Large                                                             | Medium                                                   | Small                                                                         |                                               |
| Total building volume | > 75,000 m <sup>3</sup>                                           | 12,000 m <sup>3</sup> – 75,000 m <sup>3</sup>            | < 12,000 m <sup>3</sup>                                                       | Approximately 30,000 m <sup>3</sup>           |
| Construction material | High dust potential and on-site concrete batching or sandblasting | Potentially dusty construction material such as concrete | Construction material with low dust potential (e.g. metal cladding or timber) | Brick and tile, no concrete batching on site. |

The proposed development comprises the construction of up to 120 residential dwellings which will result in a total building volume of 12,000 m<sup>3</sup> – 75,000 m<sup>3</sup> (estimated to be approximately 30,000 m<sup>3</sup>). It is expected that the dwellings will be two storeys in height and constructed of traditional brick and tile. New access roads will also be constructed.

The potential construction dust emission magnitude is considered to be **‘Medium’**.

## 7.2.4 Trackout

Table 7.3 shows the IAQM criteria for assessing the dust emission magnitude for trackout.

**Table 7.3: Summary of IAQM criteria and development details for trackout**

| IAQM Criteria       |                                                             |                                                            |                                           | Development Details                                                                                                                                           |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Measure             | Large                                                       | Medium                                                     | Small                                     |                                                                                                                                                               |
| HDV movements       | > 50 HDV outward movements in any one day                   | 20 – 50 HDV outward movements in any one day               | < 20 HDV outward movements in any one day | 20-50 HDV outward movements                                                                                                                                   |
| Surface material    | Potentially dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content) | Moderately dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content) | Surface material with low dust potential  | Mudstone, sandstone and sand. High to low dust potential                                                                                                      |
| Unpaved road length | > 100 m                                                     | 50 – 100 m                                                 | < 50 m                                    | Haulage roads anticipated to be put in place during early stages of construction. Some areas of the site will use unpaved roads to access construction areas. |

Trackout dust impacts are associated with HDVs leaving the site after moving over unpaved ground where they will accumulate mud and dirt that can then be tracked out onto the public roads. Trackout impacts are linked to the number of outward HDV movements in a day.

Due to the size of the site and the nature of the development, haulage roads are expected to be constructed during the early stages of the works. These will provide hardstanding routes for deliveries and site traffic, reducing the need to travel across unpaved areas. While some work zones will still require access via unpaved ground, the use of designated haulage roads will minimise vehicle movements on bare earth. As a result, the potential for dust generation from exposed ground will be limited.

The potential dust emission magnitude in the absence of mitigation is considered to be **‘Medium’**.

### 7.2.5 Summary

A summary of the assessed dust emission magnitude, for individual construction activities is displayed in Table 7.4.

**Table 7.4: Summary of the potential Dust Emission Magnitude**

| Phase        | Dust Emission Magnitude |
|--------------|-------------------------|
| Demolition   | N/A                     |
| Earthworks   | Medium                  |
| Construction | Medium                  |
| Trackout     | Medium                  |

## 7.3 Sensitivity of the Area

### 7.3.1 Introduction

The overall sensitivity of the area (as determined by the number and sensitivity of receptors within a given distance) is summarised in the following sections. Figures 7.1 and 7.2 below show the 20 m, 50 m and 100 m buffers from the site boundary and 20 m and 50 m buffers from the anticipated trackout route. Professional judgement has been applied, and therefore, for trackout impacts, the trackout route for potential dust effects has been considered to be up to 250 m from the site exit, and receptors within 50 m of the anticipated routes for HDVs have been considered.

Figure 7.1: Distance buffers from the development site.



Figure 7.2: buffers from the anticipated trackout route



### 7.3.2 Dust Soiling Effects

The sensitivity of receptors to dust soiling effects is dependent upon the duration and frequency that people would expect to be exposed to the effects. Residential receptors can be expected to enjoy a high level of amenity and background levels of dust soiling are expected to be low. It can be expected that dust soiling would diminish the aesthetics of their property, and such receptors are therefore assessed as being of high sensitivity. Residential properties, schools, nurseries and care homes are considered to be of high sensitivity for the purpose of this assessment.

Medium sensitivity receptors are those where users would expect to enjoy a reasonable level of amenity but would not reasonably expect to enjoy the same level of amenity as in their home or people or property would not reasonably be expected to be present here continuously or regularly for extended periods as part of the normal pattern of use of the land. Places of work and community uses are considered to be of medium sensitivity for the purpose of this assessment.

Low sensitivity receptors are those where the enjoyment of amenity would not reasonably be expected, or property would not reasonably be expected to be diminished in appearance, aesthetics or value by soiling. Exposure is of a transient nature and people, or property would reasonably be expected to be present only for limited periods of time as part of the normal pattern of use of the land.

The buildings closest to the site are residential properties, which are considered to be of high sensitivity with respect to dust soiling. Figure 7.1 and 7.2, shows there are between 10-100 high-sensitivity receptors located within both 20 m of the site boundary and the anticipated trackout route.

The sensitivity of the surrounding area with regards to dust soiling effects is therefore considered to be '**High**' with respect to activities undertaken on site and along the trackout route.

### 7.3.3 Human Health

For health effects of PM<sub>10</sub>, sensitivities are based on whether or not the receptor is likely to be exposed to elevated concentrations over a 24-hour period.

High-sensitivity receptors are those locations where members of the public are exposed over a time period relevant to the air quality objective for PM<sub>10</sub> (eight hours or more in a 24-hour period). Indicative examples include residential properties, hospitals, schools, nurseries and residential care homes.

Workers are considered to be of medium sensitivity for the purpose of this assessment and low sensitivity receptors are those locations where human exposure is transient e.g. public footpaths, playing fields, parks and shopping streets.

With reference to monitoring data presented in Section 5, annual mean concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> are predicted to be below 24 µg/m<sup>3</sup> in the vicinity of the site and it is predicted that 10-100 high sensitivity will be present within 50 m of the site and the anticipated trackout route.

Taking into account the number and sensitivity of receptors identified in the dust-soiling section above and assumed baseline concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub>, the sensitivity of the surrounding area with regards to human health impacts is considered to be '**Low**'.

### 7.3.4 Ecological Effects

With reference to the Multi Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (MAGIC) website<sup>14</sup>, the site is within the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). This AONB covers 1,450 km<sup>2</sup> and is a nationally designated landscape recognised for its high scenic quality. The designation is landscape based rather than a statutory ecological designation, and although the area contains species and natural habitats

<sup>14</sup> [www.magic.gov.uk](http://www.magic.gov.uk) (accessed 17/12/2025)

(within SSSIs) that are sensitive to dust deposition during construction activities, no SSSIs are in close proximity to the proposed development.

There are no internationally or nationally designated ecological sites such as SSSIs, SACs or SPAs identified within the IAQM screening distances of the site and therefore ecological impacts are not required to be assessed.

### 7.3.5 Summary

The sensitivity of the area surrounding the site is summarised in Table 7.5. For trackout impacts, receptors have been included up to 250 m from the site entrance and within 50 m of the anticipated routes for HDVs.

**Table 7.5: Sensitivity of the Area**

| Receptor Sensitivity | Sensitivity of the Surrounding Area |              |          |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------|
|                      | Earthworks                          | Construction | Trackout |
| Dust soiling         | High                                | High         | High     |
| Human health         | Low                                 | Low          | Low      |
| Ecological           | N/A                                 | N/A          | N/A      |

## 7.4 Risk of Impacts

When the dust emission magnitude is combined with the sensitivity of the area, the risk of impacts with no mitigation applied can be determined. This determines the level of mitigation to be applied. The summary of the dust risk assessment is presented in Table 7.6.

**Table 7.6: Summary of Dust Risk Impacts**

| Summary      | Earthworks | Construction | Trackout |
|--------------|------------|--------------|----------|
| Dust soiling | Medium     | Medium       | Medium   |
| Human health | Low        | Low          | Low      |

In summary, the site is considered as a **'Medium Risk'** during all phases for dust soiling effects and there is a **'Low Risk'** during all phases for human health effects. The dust risk is used to define the amount of site-specific mitigation that is required. The mitigation measures are described in Section 8.

## 8 CONSTRUCTION MITIGATION MEASURES

### 8.1 Construction Phase

With reference to the IAQM guidance, the following measures in Table 8.1 form the dust management plan and are the IAQM recommended measures based on the risk assessment presented in section 7:

**Table 8.1 Dust Management Plan**

| Reference      | Mitigation Measure                                                                                                                                                                    | Notes                                        |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Communications |                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                              |
| CM1            | Develop and implement a stakeholder communications plan that includes community engagement before work commences on site.                                                             |                                              |
| CM2            | Display the name and contact details of person(s) accountable for air quality and dust issues on the site boundary. This may be the environment manager/engineer or the site manager. |                                              |
| CM3            | Display the head or regional office contact information.                                                                                                                              |                                              |
| CM4            | Develop and implement a Dust Management Plan (DMP), which may include measures to control other emissions, approved by the Local Authority. The DMP                                   | Suggest 2 no. MCERTS dust monitors. One near |

may include monitoring of dust deposition, dust flux, real time PM<sub>10</sub> continuous monitoring and/or visual inspections.

site egress and one on southern boundary.

#### Site Management

|     |                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SM1 | Record all dust and air quality complaints, identify cause(s), take appropriate measures to reduce emissions in a timely manner, and record the measures taken. |
| SM2 | Make the complaints log available to the local authority when asked.                                                                                            |
| SM3 | Record any exceptional incidents that cause dust and/or air emissions, either on- or off-site, and the action taken to resolve the situation in the logbook.    |

#### Monitoring

|     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| MT1 | Undertake daily on-site and off-site inspection, where receptors (including roads) are nearby, to monitor dust, record inspection results, and make the log available to the local authority when asked. This should include regular dust soiling checks of surfaces such as street furniture, cars and windowsills within 100 m of site boundary, with cleaning to be provided if necessary. |
| MT2 | Carry out regular site inspections to monitor compliance with the DMP, record inspection results, and make an inspection log available to the local authority when asked.                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| MT3 | Increase the frequency of site inspections by the person accountable for air quality and dust issues on site when activities with a high potential to produce dust are being carried out and during prolonged dry or windy conditions.                                                                                                                                                        |
| MT4 | Agree dust deposition, dust flux, or real-time PM <sub>10</sub> continuous monitoring locations with the Local Authority. Where possible commence baseline monitoring at least three months before work commences on site or, if it a large site, before work on a phase commences.                                                                                                           |

Suggest 2 no. MCERTS dust monitors. One near site egress and one on southern boundary.

#### Preparing and maintaining the site

|     |                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| PM1 | Plan site layout so that machinery and dust causing activities are located away from receptors, as far as is possible.                                                          |
| PM2 | Erect solid screens or barriers around dusty activities or the site boundary that are at least as high as any stockpiles on site.                                               |
| PM3 | Fully enclose site or specific operations where there is a high potential for dust production and the site is active for an extensive period.                                   |
| PM4 | Avoid site runoff of water or mud.                                                                                                                                              |
| PM5 | Keep site fencing, barriers and scaffolding clean using wet methods.                                                                                                            |
| PM6 | Remove materials that have a potential to produce dust from site as soon as possible, unless being re-used on site. If they are being re-used on-site cover as described below. |
| PM7 | Cover, seed or fence stockpiles to prevent wind whipping.                                                                                                                       |

#### Operating vehicle/machinery and sustainable travel

|     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                   |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| OV1 | Ensure all on-road vehicles comply with the requirements of the London Low Emission Zone and the London NRMM standards.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Best practice but not required as not in the ULEZ |
| OV2 | Ensure all vehicles switch off engines when stationary.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                   |
| OV3 | Avoid the use of diesel or petrol powered generators and use mains electricity or battery powered equipment where practicable.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                   |
| OV4 | Impose and signpost a maximum-speed-limit of 15 mph on surfaced and 10 mph on unsurfaced haul roads and work areas (if long haul routes are required these speeds may be increased with suitable additional control measures provided, subject to the approval of the nominated undertaker and with the agreement of the local authority, where appropriate). |                                                   |
| OV5 | Implement a Travel Plan that supports and encourages sustainable travel (public transport, cycling, walking, and car-sharing).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                   |

#### Operations

|     |                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| OP1 | Only use cutting, grinding or sawing equipment fitted or in conjunction with suitable dust suppression techniques such as water sprays or local extraction, e.g. suitable local exhaust ventilation systems. |
| OP2 | Ensure an adequate water supply on the site for effective dust/particulate matter suppression/mitigation, using non-potable water where possible and appropriate.                                            |

|                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| OP3                     | Use enclosed chutes and conveyors and covered skips.                                                                                                                                                                          |
| OP4                     | Minimise drop heights from conveyors, loading shovels, hoppers and other loading or handling equipment and use fine water sprays on such equipment wherever appropriate.                                                      |
| OP5                     | Ensure equipment is readily available on site to clean any dry spillages, and clean up spillages as soon as reasonably practicable after the event using wet cleaning methods.                                                |
| <b>Waste management</b> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| WM1                     | Avoid bonfires and burning of waste materials.                                                                                                                                                                                |
| <b>Earthworks</b>       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| EW1                     | Re-vegetate earthworks and exposed areas/soil stockpiles to stabilise surfaces as soon as practicable.                                                                                                                        |
| EW2                     | Use Hessian, mulches or trackifiers where it is not possible to re-vegetate or cover with topsoil, as soon as practicable.                                                                                                    |
| EW3                     | Only remove the cover in small areas during work and not all at once.                                                                                                                                                         |
| <b>Construction</b>     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| CS1                     | Avoid scabbling (roughening of concrete surfaces) if possible.                                                                                                                                                                |
| CS2                     | Ensure sand and other aggregates are stored in bunded areas and are not allowed to dry out, unless this is required for a particular process, in which case ensure that appropriate additional control measures are in place. |
| CS3                     | Ensure bulk cement and other fine powder materials are delivered in enclosed tankers and stored in silos with suitable emission control systems to prevent escape of material and overfilling during delivery.                |
| <b>Trackout</b>         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| TO1                     | Use water-assisted dust sweeper(s) on the access and local roads, to remove, as necessary, any material tracked out of the site. This may require the sweeper being continuously in use.                                      |
| TO2                     | Avoid dry sweeping of large areas.                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| TO3                     | Ensure vehicles entering and leaving sites are covered to prevent escape of materials during transport.                                                                                                                       |
| TO4                     | Inspect on-site haul routes for integrity and instigate necessary repairs to the surface as soon as reasonably practicable.                                                                                                   |
| TO5                     | Record all inspections of haul routes and any subsequent action in a site logbook.                                                                                                                                            |
| TO6                     | Install hard surfaced haul routes, which are regularly damped down with fixed or mobile sprinkler systems, or mobile water bowsers and regularly cleaned.                                                                     |
| TO7                     | Implement a wheel washing system (with rumble grids to dislodge accumulated dust and mud prior to leaving the site where reasonably practicable).                                                                             |
| TO8                     | Ensure there is an adequate area of hard surfaced road between the wheel wash facility and the site exit, wherever site size and layout permits.                                                                              |
| TO9                     | Access gates to be located at least 10 m from receptors where possible.                                                                                                                                                       |

## 8.2 Operational Phase

### 8.2.1 Introduction

Guidance on mitigating air quality impacts is provided in a document produced by the IAQM. The guidance emphasises that all developments should adopt good design principles to reduce emissions and minimise cumulative impacts regardless of the outcome of individual air quality assessments.

### 8.2.2 Proposed Operational Mitigation Measures

The site is located in an area where concentrations of all pollutants are expected to be well within the relevant AQOs. The site is considered to be suitable for development without the requirement for mitigation measures to reduce exposure.

The proposed development is not anticipated to result in a significant increase in AADT. The scheme is predicted to generate a net increase of 445 AADT, with approximately 219 vehicle trips distributed to the north of the site and 226 to the south of the site access. On this basis, the development is not expected to give rise to any significant additional traffic related emissions on the local road network.

The heating and hot water demand for the scheme is anticipated to be met ultra-low NOx boilers or a non-combustion system (e.g. air source heat pumps). This approach ensures good air quality design is applied as minimal localised emissions of NOx or PM will be introduced, if any.

### 8.3 Summary

The overall effect on local air quality from the construction and operation of the proposed development is considered to be 'not significant' provided the construction mitigation measures in the dust management plan are in place.

## 9 EMISSIONS MITIGATION ASSESSMENT

In accordance with the published *Air Quality and Emission Mitigation Guidance for Sussex*, an emissions mitigation assessment has been carried out.

The emissions mitigation assessment provides a basis for quantifying the financial commitment required for offsetting potential development-generated emissions. The assessment calculates a damage cost by utilising the current DEFRA Emission Factor Toolkit (EFT) version 13.1<sup>15</sup> to estimate the annual link emissions associated with the additional development generated traffic over a 5-year period.

The calculation attributes a monetary value to those emissions using the figures per tonne outlined by Defra air quality damage cost guidance<sup>16</sup>.

The total trip generation in a 24-hour period is 445 vehicles, with 0% HGVs. The guidance follows the standard procedure of assuming an average trip distance of 10 km/trip and the average speed as 50 kph. The calculation was undertaken for both NOx and PM emissions for the base year of 2028 (estimated opening year). The road type 'urban (not London)' has been used within the EFT. The EFT input and output factors have been shown in Table 9.1.

**Table 9.1: EFT input and output**

| Factor                        | Value                         |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| % HDV                         | 0                             |
| AADT                          | 445                           |
| Speed assumed (km/h)          | 50                            |
| Distance travelled            | 10 km                         |
| Year                          | 2030 (estimated opening year) |
| Road type                     | Urban not London              |
| EFT NOx Kg/Year               | 149.70444                     |
| EFT PM <sub>2.5</sub> Kg/Year | 26.95495                      |

As the NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations at the proposed development site and surrounding area are well below the AQO, the low damage costs have been used. Table 9.2 shows the damage cost per tonne (using 2022 damage costs) and calculates the yearly damage cost and the total damage cost of the development over a 5-year period.

**Table 9.2 Operational Emission damage costs for NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> based on low damage cost**

| Kg/annum (2027)               | Damage cost per tonne (2022 costs)     | Damage cost 1 year £ (without mitigation) | Damage Cost 5 year £ (without mitigation) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| NOx<br>279.84943              | £1,945 per tonne (road transport low)  | £ 291.18                                  | £ 1,455.88                                |
| PM <sub>2.5</sub><br>37.71487 | £33,533 per tonne (road transport low) | £903.88                                   | £ 4,519.40                                |

<sup>15</sup> DEFRA Emissions Factor Toolkit version 13.1, 2025. Accessed: <https://laqm.defra.gov.uk/air-quality/air-quality-assessment/emissions-factors-toolkit/>

<sup>16</sup> DEFRA air quality appraisal: damage cost guidance, 2023. Accessed: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/assess-the-impact-of-air-quality/air-quality-appraisal-damage-cost-guidance>

5 Year Total  
(NO<sub>x</sub>+PM<sub>2.5</sub>)

£ 5,975.28

The Air Quality and Emission Mitigation Guidance for Sussex provide standard mitigation measures that applies to all major developments:

- All gas-fired boilers to meet < 40 mg NO<sub>x</sub>/kWh
- Provide one electric vehicle (EV) charging point per dwelling with dedicated parking or 1 charging point per 10 spaces (unallocated parking).

The emissions mitigation assessment indicates that the development should have air quality mitigation equal to, or greater than the 5-year calculated damage cost of £5,975.28.

The air quality and mitigation guidance for Sussex states that the standard mitigation above must be included and some other examples of mitigation for residential developments include:

- Invest in electric vehicle charging infrastructure within the development over and above the current recommended parking standards
- Provide vouchers for alternatives to private car use
- Provide public transport subsidies for residents
- Set up a car club within the development or contribute to the cost of a local car club
- Set up or join an existing car sharing scheme for residents
- Designate parking spaces for car club or car sharing vehicles
- Designate parking spaces for low emission vehicles
- Provide electric bicycles
- Improve cycle paths to link to the existing local cycle network
- Provide secure cycle storage
- Invest in additional evergreen infrastructure to reduce particulates and other pollutants

Additional mitigation measures not specific to residential development include:

- Contribute to local low or zero emission vehicle refuelling or recharging infrastructure
- Contribute to low emission bus service provision or waste collection services
- Contribute to local bicycle or e bike hire schemes
- Contribute to renewable fuel and energy generation projects
- Fund incentives for the uptake of low emission technologies and fuels

The above lists are not exhaustive, and further options may be suggested where the Council feels it is appropriate. An Emissions Mitigation Statement will be produced following planning approval and include the mitigation measures proposed and how they meet the damage costs.

## 10 CONCLUSIONS

Anderson Acoustics Ltd was commissioned by the Fairfax Acquisitions Ltd to undertake an Air Quality Assessment for the proposed development at New House Farm, Faygate, Faygate. The proposed development comprises the construction of up to 120 residential dwellings.

The site is not situated within an AQMA and is located over 8 km from the Crawley AQMA. Development traffic is not anticipated to significantly impact traffic levels on roads within the AQMA, and therefore detailed assessment with dispersion modelling is not required. Baseline concentrations of monitored air pollutants are well below the annual and short-term AQOs based on a review of published data sources. No specific mitigation measures are considered necessary to reduce future occupants' exposure to air pollution, and the site is considered to be suitable for the proposed use.

There is a 'medium risk' of dust soiling during all phases of construction and a 'low risk' for human health impacts during all stages of construction, prior to the consideration of mitigation. Recommended mitigation

measures are outlined in Table 8.1. With the proposed mitigation in place for the duration of the construction works, the overall effect on local air quality is judged to be 'not significant'.

As the proposed development is expected to generate a net increase of 445 daily trips, emissions damage costs have been calculated to be £5,975.28 for low damage costs for NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> over a 5-year period. The proposed development heating is expected to be through an ultra-low gas boiler or a non-combustion system (which will not result in emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> or PM on site), the overall effect of the operational scheme on local air quality is judged to be 'not significant'.

Accordingly, the proposed development is considered a suitable use of the site and is compliant with relevant air quality policy and guidance.