Horsham District Council

DELEGATED APPLICATIONS - ASSESSMENT SHEET

APPLICATION NO./ADDRESS:
DC/24/0362
70 Churchill Way, Broadbridge Heath, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 3TZ

DESCRIPTION:
Erection of a single storey rear extension.

RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY:

DC/23/0079	Part-conversion of the existing garage to form a study with associated external alterations (Retrospective).	Application Permitted on 20.04.2023.

RELEVANT PLANNING POLICIES
The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

Horsham District Planning Framework (2015):
Policy 31- Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity
Policy 32- Strategic Policy: The Quality of New Development
Policy 33- Development Principles

Neighbourhood Plan:
Broadbridge Heath has not been designated as a Neighbourhood Plan area.

REPRESENTATIONS AND CONSULTATIONS RESPONSES

Consultations:
Natural England: Standing Advice: -

It cannot be concluded that existing abstraction within the Sussex North Water Supply Zone is not having an adverse effect on the integrity of the Arun Valley SAC/SPA/Ramsar sites. Developments within Sussex North must therefore must not add to this impact and one way of achieving this is to demonstrate water neutrality. The definition of water neutrality is the use of water in the supply area before the development is the same or lower after the development is in place.

To achieve this Natural England is working in partnership with all the relevant authorities to secure water neutrality collectively through a water neutrality strategy. Whilst the strategy is evolving, Natural England advises that decisions on planning applications should await its completion. However, if there are applications which a planning authority deems critical to proceed in the absence of the strategy, then Natural England advises that any application needs to demonstrate water neutrality.

Parish Comments:
No Objection but note the following: -
- The application does not appear to fit the description of a single storey rear “extension” as the proposed ground floor footprint occupies the same area as with the existing double (?) length garage.

- The application form states that the parking is “not affected”, however it appears to be the reduction of a double garage to a single storey garage, assuming space remains for one vehicle.

Representations:
None received.

HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUALITY:
The application has been considered having regard to Article 1 of the First Protocol of the Human Rights Act 1998, which sets out a person's rights to the peaceful enjoyment of property and Article 8 of the same Act, which sets out their rights in respect to private and family life and for the home. Officers consider that the proposal would not be contrary to the provisions of the above Articles.

The application has also been considered in accordance with Horsham District Council's public sector equality duty, which seeks to prevent unlawful discrimination, to promote equality of opportunity and to foster good relations between people in a diverse community, in accordance with Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010. In this case, the proposal is not anticipated to have any potential impact from an equality perspective.

PLANNING ASSESSMENT
The application has been assessed on the basis of the submitted details, together with the planning history of the site and adjoining properties, available images and photographs supplied by the agent. These details were considered sufficient to allow the application to be properly considered and determined.

Character and Appearance

Policies 32 and 33 of the HDPF seeks to ensure that development promotes a high standard and quality of design in order to enhance and protect locally distinctive characters. The policies also seek to ensure that the scale, massing and appearance of development relates sympathetically with the built surroundings, landscape, open spaces and routes within and adjoining the site, including any impact on the skyline and important views.

70 Churchill Way is a detached dwelling in the built-up area of Broadbridge Heath, proposing to erect a single storey rear extension. The extension would extend 2.8m from the rear elevation, and 4.36m across connecting to the partially converted garage. The proposed extension would be a modest addition to the rear, 2.7m tall with a flat roof, infilling an area next to the existing garage. The proposal would not be visible from the street scene and any views from neighbouring properties is largely mitigated by the garage.

The proposal would be a subservient addition and is considered to be of a design, form, and scale which is appropriate to the character and appearance of the existing dwelling, and which would not harm the character or appearance of the wider area. It is therefore considered that the visual impact of the proposal is acceptable and would accord with the above policies.

Impact on Neighbouring Amenity

Policy 33 of the HDPF states that permission will be granted for development that does not cause unacceptable harm to the amenity of the occupiers/users of nearby properties and land.

The siting and scale of the rear extension, as well as the detached nature of the property, would be sufficient to prevent any unacceptable harm to neighbouring amenity. It is noted that no representation letters have been received.

Water Neutrality

There is no clear or compelling evidence to suggest the nature and scale of the proposed development would result in a more intensive occupation of the dwelling necessitating an increased consumption of water that would result in a significant impact on the Arun Valley SAC, SPA and Ramsar sites, either alone or in combination with other plans and projects. The grant of planning permission would not therefore adversely affect the integrity of these sites or otherwise conflict with policy 31 of the HDPF, NPPF paragraph 180 and the Council's obligations under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.

Other Considerations

The Parish Council's comments are acknowledged, with the part-conversion of the garage previously permitted under planning ref: DC/23/0079. Further, the description of the development is considered sufficiently accurate as to the siting of the extension and to inform interested parties.

Conclusion

The proposal is considered to comply with relevant local and national planning policies and is therefore recommended for approval.

Recommendation: Application Permitted

Conditions:

2 **Standard Time Condition**: The development hereby permitted shall begin before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

3 **Regulatory Condition**: The materials and finishes of all new external walls and windows of the development hereby permitted shall match in type, colour and texture those of the existing building.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity and in accordance with Policy 33 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015).

POSITIVE AND PROACTIVE STATEMENT
Statement pursuant to Article 35 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015. The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received, in order to be able to, where possible, grant permission.

Plans list for: DC/24/0362
(The approved plans will form Condition 1 on the Decision Notice of all Permitted applications)

Schedule of plans/documents **approved**:

Plan Type	Description	Drawing Number	Received Date
Location plan		NONE	06.03.2024
Location plan	Existing floor and elevations	(10)200 P REV A	07.03.2024
Block plan		NONE	06.03.2024
Elevation & Floor plan	Existing and proposed elevations and floors	(10)300 P	06.03.2024

DELEGATED

Case Officer sign/initial Bethan Tinning Date: 22.04.2024

Authorising Officer sign/initial Guy Everest Date: 25.04.2024