

From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 01 July 2026 19:48:48 UTC+01:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/26/0530
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 01/07/2026 7:48 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land at Hornbrook Farm Brighton Road West Sussex
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 180 dwellings, open space and associated works and infrastructure with all matters reserved apart from access.
Case Officer:	Nicola Pettifer

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	4 Ghyll Crescent Horsham
----------	--------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Highway Access and Parking - Loss of General Amenity - Other - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise - Trees and Landscaping
Comments:	Response to County Ecologist Consultation Procedural Objection: Incomplete Ecological Evidence

The County Ecologist's consultation response states that no further ecological evidence had been provided during the consultation period. However, video evidence demonstrating the presence of Brook Lamprey (*Lampetra planeri*) within the Horn Brook system had already been submitted to the Local Planning Authority directly before the ecological consultation concluded.

If this evidence was not available to the County Ecologist when the consultation response was prepared, the response was necessarily based on an incomplete evidence base and should now be reviewed in light of the additional material.

This submission raises a procedural issue rather than a disagreement with professional judgement.

The planning authority is in possession of material ecological evidence that appears not to have been considered by the statutory ecological consultee.

Accordingly, I respectfully request that the submitted video evidence be forwarded to the County Ecologist and that a supplementary ecological consultation response be obtained before the application is determined. I would be pleased to resubmit the evidence if required.

This is hard evidence. The reality is that the site is home to kingfishers, barn owls, herons, deer, and other ecologically significant wildlife.

This request is made to ensure that the planning authority's decision is informed by a complete and accurate ecological evidence base, consistent with a robust and fair planning process.

Kind regards

Telephone:

Email: planning@horsham.gov.uk



**Horsham
District
Council**



Horsham District Council, Alberty House, Springfield Road, Horsham, West Sussex RH12 2GB
Telephone: 01403 215100 (calls may be recorded) www.horsham.gov.uk Chief Executive: Jane E
aton