



DELEGATED APPLICATIONS - ASSESSMENT SHEET

APPLICATION NO./ADDRESS:

DC/26/0828

80 Woodlands Way, Southwater, Horsham, West Sussex, RH13 9JA

DESCRIPTION:

Conversion of garage to additional accommodation with alterations to front, extension to rear and raised roof.

RELEVANT PLANNING POLICIES

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

Horsham District Planning Framework (2015):

Policy 32 - Strategic Policy: The Quality of New Development

Policy 33 - Development Principles

Policy 41 - Parking

Southwater Neighbourhood Development Plan 2018-2031

SNP14 – Car Parking

SNP16 – Design

Horsham District Local Plan (2023-40) (Regulation 19):

Policy 19: Development Quality

Policy 20: Development Principles

Policy 25: Parking

REPRESENTATIONS AND CONSULTATIONS RESPONSES

Where consultation responses have been summarised, it should be noted that Officers have had consideration of the full comments received, which are available to view on the public file at www.horsham.gov.uk.

Consultations:

Natural England: Standing Advice:-

It cannot be concluded that existing abstraction within the Sussex North Water Supply Zone is not having an adverse effect on the integrity of the Arun Valley SAC/SPA/Ramsar sites. Developments within Sussex North must therefore must not add to this impact and one way of achieving this is to demonstrate water neutrality. The definition of water neutrality is the use of water in the supply area before the development is the same or lower after the development is in place.

To achieve this Natural England is working in partnership with all the relevant authorities to secure water neutrality collectively through a water neutrality strategy. Whilst the strategy is evolving, Natural England advises that decisions on planning applications should await its completion. However, if there are applications which a planning authority deems critical to proceed in the absence of the strategy, then Natural England advises that any application needs to demonstrate water neutrality.

West Sussex County Council Highways: No objection

The LHA does not consider that this proposal would have an unacceptable impact on highway safety or result in 'severe' cumulative impacts on the operation of the highway network, therefore is not contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework (paragraph 116), and that there are no transport grounds to resist the proposal.

Southern Water: No objection

Parish Comments:

No objection

Representations:

None received

HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUALITY:

The application has been considered having regard to Article 1 of the First Protocol of the Human Rights Act 1998, which sets out a person's rights to the peaceful enjoyment of property and Article 8 of the same Act, which sets out their rights in respect to private and family life and for the home. Officers consider that the proposal would not be contrary to the provisions of the above Articles.

The application has also been considered in accordance with Horsham District Council's public sector equality duty, which seeks to prevent unlawful discrimination, to promote equality of opportunity and to foster good relations between people in a diverse community, in accordance with Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010. In this case, the proposal is not anticipated to have any potential impact from an equality perspective.

PLANNING ASSESSMENT:

The application has been assessed on the basis of the submitted details, together with the planning history of the site and adjoining properties, available images and photographs supplied by the agent. These details were considered sufficient to allow the application to be properly considered and determined.

Character and Appearance

Policies 32 and 33 of the HDPF seek to ensure that development promotes a high standard and quality of design in order to enhance and protect locally distinctive characters. The policies also seek to ensure that the scale, massing and appearance of development relates sympathetically with the built surroundings, landscape, open spaces and routes within and adjoining the site, including any impact on the skyline and important views.

Policy SNP16 of the Southwater Neighbourhood Plan states that all development must be of high quality design. This means facing buildings with locally sourced materials, or materials equivalent to those that would historically have been sourced locally wherever possible; encourage a variety of complementary vernaculars to encourage contextually appropriate design and diversity in our building stock; and making sure the design of new development actively responds to other properties in the vicinity.

The application seeks planning permission for the conversion of garage to additional accommodation with alterations to front, extension to rear and raised roof. Whilst the proposal would result in the loss of the garage door, it is not felt that this alteration would significantly detract from the appearance of the property or the visual amenity of the streetscene. Subject to matching materials, the proposal is considered acceptable in terms of impact on the character and appearance of the dwelling, and the surrounding area.

The scale, form and design of the proposed rear extension and raised roof are considered sympathetic to the existing dwelling and would appear appropriate in relation to the wider surroundings. The proposal would not result in harm to the amenities of neighbouring occupiers.

The proposal would be a clearly subservient addition and is considered to be of a design, form and scale which is appropriate to the character and appearance of the existing dwelling, and which would not harm the character or appearance of the wider area. It is therefore considered that the visual impact of the proposal is acceptable and would accord with the above policies.

Impact on Neighbouring Amenity

Policy 33 of the HDPF states that permission will be granted for development that does not cause unacceptable harm to the amenity of the occupiers/users of nearby properties and land.

It is considered that the siting and layout of the proposed extension and the resulting relationship with adjoining properties would be sufficient to prevent any unacceptable harm to neighbouring amenity.

Impact on Parking Provision

Policy 41 of the HDPF states that adequate parking and facilities must be provided within developments to meet the needs of anticipated users. Development which involves the loss of existing parking spaces will only be allowed if suitable alternative provision has been secured elsewhere or the need for the development overrides the loss of parking and where necessary measures are in place to mitigate against the impact.

Policy 14.2 of the Southwater Neighbourhood Plan states that where a proposed development would result in the loss of a garage, the application will be refused unless the applicant can provide an alternative parking space elsewhere within the curtilage of the dwelling.

Whilst the proposal would result in the loss of a potential parking space within the garage there would seemingly remain potential for 2/3 vehicles to park on the existing hardstanding and there are no apparent issues arising from street parking in the locality. It would not therefore be anticipated that the proposal would result in harmful demand for on-street parking from any displaced vehicles.

Water Neutrality

There is no clear or compelling evidence to suggest the nature and scale of the proposed development would result in a more intensive occupation of the dwelling necessitating an increased consumption of water that would result in a significant impact on the Arun Valley SAC, SPA and Ramsar sites, either alone or in combination with other plans and projects. The grant of planning permission would not therefore adversely affect the integrity of these sites or otherwise conflict with policy 31 of the HDPF, NPPF paragraph 193 and the Council's obligations under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.

Biodiversity Net Gain

In accordance with the Biodiversity Gain Requirements (Exemptions) Regulations 2024, all householder applications are current exempt from the statutory requirement to demonstrate a 10% net gain in biodiversity.

Conclusion

The proposal is considered to comply with relevant local and national planning policies and is therefore recommended for approval.

Recommendation: Application Permitted

Conditions:

- 2 **Standard Time Condition:** The development hereby permitted shall begin before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

- 3 **Regulatory Condition:** The materials to be used in the development hereby permitted shall strictly accord with those indicated on the approved proposed floor plans and elevations plan drawing numbered DE 1406 / 03 REV P1 received 12.05.2026.

Reason: To enable the Local Planning Authority to control the development in detail in the interests of visual amenity and in accordance with Policy 33 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015).

POSITIVE AND PROACTIVE STATEMENT

Statement pursuant to Article 35 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015. The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received, in order to be able to, where possible, grant permission.

Plans list for: DC/26/0828

Schedule of plans/documents **approved:**

Plan Type	Description	Drawing Number	Received Date
Elevation & Floor plan	Existing	DE1406/02 REV P1	12.05.2026
Elevation & Floor plan	Proposed	DE1406/03 REV P1	12.05.2026
Location & Block plan		DE1406/01 REV P1	12.05.2026

DELEGATED

Case Officer sign/initial Marie Riggs Date: 23.06.2026

Authorising Officer sign/initial RHERMITAGE Date: 06.07.2026