From: [redacted]
Sent: 31 May 2024 10:21
To: Planning
Subject: REF DC/24/0706

Categories: Comments Received

Dear Sir/ Madam
Having submitted my objections in Jan 23 (see below), fundamentally they are the same as they were then. In particular, I am still very concerned about the bathroom window on the NE corner of the proposed floor plan. As that corner is at a 45 degree angle to the back of our property (CORNERSTONE shown erroneously as Beaumaris on your site plan), both the front and side windows would overlook our garden equally yet only the side one is shown to be obscured. Therefore, BOTH windows should be fitted with obscured glass.
I find it incredible that the planning department are still using a site plan that is many years out of date. An up to date plan would also show that our neighbouring property, AMBERLEY, is now considerably larger than you have shown as it was massively extended a couple of years ago.
In view of these errors, a site visit should be arranged before a decision is made.
Yours faithfully
[redacted]

From [redacted]
Subject: Ref: DC/22/2376. Woodcroft, ChesworthLane
Date: 22 Jan 2023 at 13:37:19
To: planning@horsham.gov.uk

Dear Ms Parkes/Ms Riggs

Firstly, can we draw your attention to the location plan, which is out of date. It refers to our property as "Beaumaris" when in fact the name of the property was changed to "Cornerstone" four years ago when we moved in.

It also fails to show the very large extension to our neighbouring property, Amberley, which has been added during the past two years.

We would like to make the following comments regarding the proposals for Woodcroft.

1) We note that the two bathroom windows on the front/side easterly corner will overlook our rear garden. Whist the side window is shown as being obscured, it is equally important for privacy that the front window be also obscured, as this will overlook our garden just as much as the side window.

2) Does the apex of the roof need to be quite so high? Given that it is just loft storage space, a modest reduction in height would make a big difference to the overall impact of the building, both from the front and side elevations. As it will be directly to the west of our property, the roof would cast a sizeable shadow over our back garden from spring to autumn in late afternoon. We have already lost some winter sunshine due to the size and height of the aforementioned extension to Amberley.

3) Should this application be approved, all the bungalows on the southwest side of Chesworth Lane could potentially do something similar. This would fundamentally change the character of the road and subject the residents, who like us are mainly elderly, to many years of building misery and traffic congestion.

Please take these comments into consideration.

Yours faithfully

[redacted]