Horsham District Council

DELEGATED APPLICATIONS - ASSESSMENT SHEET

OFFICER CHECKLIST	
WEEK ONE (Date Valid)	**3rd April 2025**
Check Neighbours	x
Check Consultations (including newts) / Press List	x
Check Description, Plans and Supporting Documents	x
Print and Display Site Notice (if required)	x
WEEK TWO (+7)	**10th April 2025**
Visit Site or request site visit photos (in accordance with Site Visit Guidance Note)	x
Draft HRA / AA if necessary. If the water statement is insufficient discuss with TL / PPO whether to discuss / accept amendments to the strategy.	N/A
Instruct Legal if s106 required (with prior TL / PPO agreement)	N/A
WEEK THREE (+14)	**17th April 2025**
If amendments are likely to be needed discuss with TL / PPO (N.B. Only minor amendments will be accepted in accordance with the Amendment Guidance). When requesting amendments give clear deadline (i.e. start of week 5).	**N/A**
WEEK FOUR (+21)	**24th April 2025**
If no amendments required, contact agent / applicant with update on progress.	**x**
Confirm whether delegated or committee decision (re: parish and neighbour representations)	**x**
WEEK FIVE (+28)	**1st May 2025**
14-day re-consultation letters to be sent on any amended plans	**N/A**
Prepare draft report	**x**
WEEK SIX (+35)	**8th May 2025**
Check 35-day Cllr call-in for Committee	**x**
If decision based on original plans, report to be drafted and submitted for sign off	**x**
WEEK SEVEN (+42)	**15th May 2025**
If decision based on amended plans, report to be drafted and submitted for sign off	**N/A**
Reason for EOT	**N/A**

APPLICATION NO./ADDRESS:

DC/25/0490
49 Curzon Avenue, Horsham, West Sussex, RH12 2LA

DESCRIPTION:

Demolition of existing conservatory and erection of a single storey rear extension.

RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY:

None relevant to this application

RELEVANT PLANNING POLICIES

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

Horsham District Planning Framework (2015):
Policy 25 - Strategic Policy: The Natural Environment and Landscape Character
Policy 32 - Strategic Policy: The Quality of New Development
Policy 33 - Development Principles

Horsham Blueprint Business Neighbourhood Plan 2019-2036
Policy HB4: Design of Development

Horsham District Local Plan (2023-40) (Regulation 19):
Policy 9: Water Neutrality
Policy 19: Development Quality
Policy 20: Development Principles

Parish Design Statement:
None

REPRESENTATIONS AND CONSULTATIONS RESPONSES

Where consultation responses have been summarised, it should be noted that Officers have had consideration of the full comments received, which are available to view on the public file at www.horsham.gov.uk.

Consultations:

Natural England: Standing Advice:-

It cannot be concluded that existing abstraction within the Sussex North Water Supply Zone is not having an adverse effect on the integrity of the Arun Valley SAC/SPA/Ramsar sites. Developments within Sussex North must therefore must not add to this impact and one way of achieving this is to demonstrate water neutrality. The definition of water neutrality is the use of water in the supply area before the development is the same or lower after the development is in place.

To achieve this Natural England is working in partnership with all the relevant authorities to secure water neutrality collectively through a water neutrality strategy. Whilst the strategy is evolving, Natural England advises that decisions on planning applications should await its completion. However, if there are applications which a planning authority deems critical to proceed in the absence of the strategy, then Natural England advises that any application needs to demonstrate water neutrality.

Parish Comments:

None received

Representations:

1 no. neighbour comments receive stating the following:

- Do not object to the proposal, however there are no Surveyor details nor Architect details on the plan. The planning request does not have any building foundation plans, and lacks details.
- Have been served a Party Wall agreement notice and will be proposing our own Surveyor to ensure both parties are adequately protected during the works. Not objecting to the proposal, but the excavations will be extremely close to our property and naturally want to ensure we will not be adversely affected as we have recently developed our own property in this same area, so that the proposed building work will abut ours.

HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUALITY:

The application has been considered having regard to Article 1 of the First Protocol of the Human Rights Act 1998, which sets out a person's rights to the peaceful enjoyment of property and Article 8 of the same Act, which sets out their rights in respect to private and family life and for the home. Officers consider that the proposal would not be contrary to the provisions of the above Articles.

The application has also been considered in accordance with Horsham District Council's public sector equality duty, which seeks to prevent unlawful discrimination, to promote equality of opportunity and to foster good relations between people in a diverse community, in accordance with Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010. In this case, the proposal is not anticipated to have any potential impact from an equality perspective.

PLANNING ASSESSMENT:

The application has been assessed on the basis of the submitted details, together with the planning history of the site and adjoining properties, available images and photographs supplied by the agent. These details were considered sufficient to allow the application to be properly considered and determined.

Character and Appearance

Policies 32 and 33 of the HDPF seek to ensure that development promotes a high standard and quality of design in order to enhance and protect locally distinctive characters. The policies also seek to ensure that the scale, massing and appearance of development relates sympathetically with the built surroundings, landscape, open spaces and routes within and adjoining the site, including any impact on the skyline and important views.

Policy HB4 of the Horsham Blueprint Business Neighbourhood Plan aims to maintain that the development is expected to demonstrate a high quality of design, which responds and integrates well with its surroundings, meets the changing needs of residents and minimises the impact on the natural environment.

The proposal would involve demolishing an existing conservatory and erecting a single storey rear extension. The proposed would protrude deeper into the rear garden than the existing conservatory and partially adjoin the detached garage. The development would comprise of a flat roof design. Given, the fact that the neighbouring properties have similar single storey rear extensions with flat roof, the proposed development is considered acceptable. The development would be in keeping with the rear garden environment.

The single storey nature of the development would ensure that the proposal would appear subservient to the host dwelling. It is considered that the development would be of a design, form and scale which is appropriate to the character and appearance of the existing dwelling. The proposed extension would not harm the character or appearance of the wider area. It is therefore considered that the visual impact of the proposal is acceptable and would accord with the above policies.

Impact on Neighbouring Amenity

Policy 33 of the HDPF states that permission will be granted for development that does not cause unacceptable harm to the amenity of the occupiers/users of nearby properties and land.

It is noted that the adjoining neighbour benefits from a similarly sized extension to that proposed. It is considered that the siting and layout of the proposed extension and the resulting relationship with adjoining properties would be sufficient to prevent any unacceptable harm to neighbouring amenity.

Water Neutrality

There is no clear or compelling evidence to suggest the nature and scale of the proposed development would result in a more intensive occupation of the dwelling necessitating an increased consumption of

water that would result in a significant impact on the Arun Valley SAC, SPA and Ramsar sites, either alone or in combination with other plans and projects. The grant of planning permission would not therefore adversely affect the integrity of these sites or otherwise conflict with policy 31 of the HDPF, NPPF paragraph 180 and the Council's obligations under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.

Biodiversity Net Gain

In accordance with the Biodiversity Gain Requirements (Exemptions) Regulations 2024, all householder applications are current exempt from the statutory requirement to demonstrate a 10% net gain in biodiversity.

Conclusion

The proposal is considered to comply with relevant local and national planning policies and is therefore recommended for approval.

Recommendation: Application Permitted

Conditions:

2 **Standard Time Condition**: The development hereby permitted shall begin before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

3 **Regulatory Condition**: The materials and finishes of all new external walls, windows and roofs of the development hereby permitted shall match in type, colour and texture those of the existing building.

Reason: In the interests of visual amenity and in accordance with Policy 33 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015).

POSITIVE AND PROACTIVE STATEMENT

Statement pursuant to Article 35 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015. The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received, in order to be able to, where possible, grant permission.

Plans list for: DC/25/0490
(The approved plans will form Condition 1 on the Decision Notice of all Permitted applications)
Schedule of plans/documents **approved**:

Plan Type	Description	Drawing Number	Received Date
Location plan	Location Plan	NONE	27.03.2025
Site plan	Site Plan	NONE	03.04.2025
Floor plan	Existing and Proposed	NONE	03.04.2025
Elevation plan	Existing	NONE	03.04.2025
Elevation plan	Proposed	NONE	03.04.2025

DELEGATED

Case Officer sign/initial Halima Chowdhury Date: 21.05.2025

Authorising Officer sign/initial Tamara Dale Date: 29.05.2025