[redacted]

From: Robert Pattenden [redacted]
Sent: 11 April 2025 04:29
To: Trees
Subject: Re: Tree Application Invalid - DC/25/0547
Attachments: DC.25.0547 Invalid Letter.pdf

Categories: [redacted]

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please see amended works specification as requested.

(T1)Pine - Tree is growing in close proximity and encroaching on neighbouring property . Reduce lower radial limbs growing over property 29 Potters Place by up to 2m to suitable growth so that final radial spread is 3m, to provide sufficient clearance to neighbouring property.
(T2) Pine - Tree is growing in close proximity and encroaching on neighbouring property. Reduce lower radial limbs growing over property 29 Potters Place by up to 2m to suitable growth so that final radial spread is 2m, to provide sufficient clearance to neighbouring property.
(T3) Pine - Tree is growing in close proximity and encroaching on neighbouring property. Reduce lower radial limbs growing over property 29 Potters Place by up to 2m to suitable growth so that final radial spread is 2m, to provide sufficient clearance to neighbouring property.
(T4) Lawson Cypress - Tree is growing in close proximity to neighbouring property and is also growing into crown of adjacent Pine tree(T3), which has a greater amenity value. Fell tree to ground level to provide sufficient clearance to neighbouring property and to provide better growing conditions for Pine tree (T3).
(T5) Lawson Cypress - Tree is in decline. Fell due to future risk of failure.
(T6, T7, T8, T9, T10, T11, T12, T13, T14, T15) Yew/Holly - Row of small trees along rear boundary which are causing excessive shade and encroaching on neighbouring property. Trees are presumed not to be covered by TPO/0050 as the size of the trees would suggest they would not have been present at the time the TPO was made in 1956. Fell trees to afford better light and to provide sufficient clearance to neighbouring property.
All trees to be felled have low amenity value and the removal of trees T4, T5, T6, T7, T8, T9, T10, T11, T12, T13, T14 and T15 would provide sufficient clearance and afford better light to the neighbouring property as well as provide better growing conditions for the 3x large Pine trees and remaining Cypress trees which have a greater amenity value. Therefore, we feel replanting will not be necessary as the retained trees would preserve the current tree line viewed from the road and neighbouring properties.

Please let me know if you require any further information.

Best wishes

Rob

Robert Pattenden / Director