Horsham District Council

# DELEGATED APPLICATIONS - ASSESSMENT SHEET

APPLICATION NO./ADDRESS:
DC/24/0744
43 Breach Close, Steyning, West Sussex, BN44 3RZ

DESCRIPTION:
Erection of a single storey extension to front of house

RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY
None relevant

RELEVANT PLANNING POLICIES
**The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)**

**Horsham District Planning Framework (2015)**:
Policy 32- Strategic Policy: The Quality of New Development
Policy 33- Development Principles

**Neighbourhood Plan**:
Steyning Neighbourhood Development Plan 2019-2031

REPRESENTATIONS AND CONSULTATIONS RESPONSES

Consultations:
**Natural England**: Standing Advice:-

It cannot be concluded that existing abstraction within the Sussex North Water Supply Zone is not having an adverse effect on the integrity of the Arun Valley SAC/SPA/Ramsar sites. Developments within Sussex North must therefore must not add to this impact and one way of achieving this is to demonstrate water neutrality. The definition of water neutrality is the use of water in the supply area before the development is the same or lower after the development is in place.

To achieve this Natural England is working in partnership with all the relevant authorities to secure water neutrality collectively through a water neutrality strategy. Whilst the strategy is evolving, Natural England advises that decisions on planning applications should await its completion. However, if there are applications which a planning authority deems critical to proceed in the absence of the strategy, then Natural England advises that any application needs to demonstrate water neutrality.

Parish Comments:
No objection

Representations:
One representation was received objecting as it was not possible to view a plan to establish the impact on neighbouring properties.

HUMAN RIGHTS AND EQUALITY:
The application has been considered having regard to Article 1 of the First Protocol of the Human Rights Act 1998, which sets out a person's rights to the peaceful enjoyment of property and Article 8 of the same Act, which sets out their rights in respect to private and family life and for the home. Officers consider that the proposal would not be contrary to the provisions of the above Articles.

The application has also been considered in accordance with Horsham District Council's public sector equality duty, which seeks to prevent unlawful discrimination, to promote equality of opportunity and to foster good relations between people in a diverse community, in accordance with Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010. In this case, the proposal is not anticipated to have any potential impact from an equality perspective.

PLANNING ASSESSMENT
The application has been assessed on the basis of the submitted details, together with the planning history of the site and adjoining properties, available images and photographs supplied by the agent. These details were considered sufficient to allow the application to be properly considered and determined.

**Character and Appearance**

Policies 32 and 33 of the HDPF seek to ensure that development promotes a high standard and quality of design in order to enhance and protect locally distinctive characters. The policies also seek to ensure that the scale, massing and appearance of development relates sympathetically with the built surroundings, landscape, open spaces and routes within and adjoining the site, including any impact on the skyline and important views.

The application seeks planning permission for the erection of a single storey extension to front of house. The proposed form and design would reflect existing elements of the host dwelling and given the variation in building line and the retained separation from the side boundary there would not be any harmful loss of openness in this part of the street. As a result, it is considered that the proposed extensions would not create a building which would appear unsightly or discordant in relation to the surroundings.

It is considered that the resulting dwelling would continue to be well contained within the related curtilage, with the footprint and scale of the dwelling not excessive or inappropriate in relation to the pattern and varied scale and form of surrounding development. The proposal is therefore on balance considered to be of a design, form and scale which would be appropriate to the character and appearance of the existing dwelling and would not harm the character or appearance of the wider area.

Overall, the proposal would be a clearly subservient addition and is appropriate to the character and appearance of the existing dwelling and wider surrounding area. It is therefore considered that the visual impact of the proposal is acceptable and would accord with the above policies.

**Impact on Neighbouring Amenity**

Policy 33 of the HDPF states that permission will be granted for development that does not cause unacceptable harm to the amenity of the occupiers/users of nearby properties and land.

It is considered that the siting and layout of the proposed extension and the resulting relationship with adjoining properties, with the neighbouring flank elevation containing no adjoining side facing window openings, would be sufficient to prevent any unacceptable harm to neighbouring amenity.

**Water Neutrality**

There is no clear or compelling evidence to suggest the nature and scale of the proposed development would result in a more intensive occupation of the dwelling necessitating an increased consumption of water that would result in a significant impact on the Arun Valley SAC, SPA and Ramsar sites, either

alone or in combination with other plans and projects. The grant of planning permission would not therefore adversely affect the integrity of these sites or otherwise conflict with policy 31 of the HDPF, NPPF paragraph 180 and the Council's obligations under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.

**Other Considerations**

It is noted that a representation was received objecting to the proposal due to the proposed plans not being viewable, and therefore not being able to establish the impact of the development. The Council's consultation letter set out details of how the planning application could be viewed and the related plans where viewable during the consultation period. It is therefore considered that appropriate consultation has been undertaken on this application, in addition the impacts of the development have been considered throughout this report.

**Conclusion**

The proposal is considered to comply with relevant local and national planning policies and is therefore recommended for approval.

**Recommendation: Application Permitted**

Conditions:

2 **Standard Time Condition**: The development hereby permitted shall begin before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: To comply with Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

3 **Regulatory Condition**: The materials to be used in the development hereby permitted shall strictly accord with those indicated on the approved proposed elevations plan drawing numbered 322-20.

Reason: To enable the Local Planning Authority to control the development in detail in the interests of visual amenity and in accordance with Policy 33 of the Horsham District Planning Framework (2015).

POSITIVE AND PROACTIVE STATEMENT
Statement pursuant to Article 35 of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015. The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received, in order to be able to, where possible, grant permission.

---

**Plans list for: DC/24/0744**
(The approved plans will form Condition 1 on the Decision Notice of all Permitted applications)

Schedule of plans/documents **approved**:

| Plan Type | Description | Drawing Number | Received Date |
|---|---|---|---|
| Location & Site plan | | 322-00 | 14.05.2024 |
| Floor plan | Existing | 322-01 | 14.05.2024 |
| Elevation plan | Existing | 322-02 | 14.05.2024 |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Floor plan | Proposed | 322-10 | 14.05.2024 |
| Elevation plan | Proposed | 322-20 | 14.05.2024 |

**DELEGATED**

Case Officer sign/initial Marie Riggs Date: 02.07.2024

Authorising Officer sign/initial Guy Everest Date: 09.07.2024