From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk
Sent: 21 June 2024 13:06
To: Planning
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/24/0718

Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 21/06/2024 1:05 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Danhill Farm, Green Meadows Harbolets Road West Chiltington West Sussex RH20 2LQ
Proposal:	Roof alterations to create study with formation of new dormer window and additional velux windows to three elevations
Case Officer:	Alice Johnson

Click for further information

Customer Details

Address:	North Barn Harbolets Road West Chiltington

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Other - Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	We are direct next-door neighbours to Green Meadows, we live at North Barn, Harbolets Road, West Chiltington, RH20 2LQ. As previously stated, my wife and I have multiple objections related to this application which we communicated on 26th May 2024. Thank you for flagging to us that an additional document has been added to this application by Green Meadows. We assume that this latest plan - site section 2985/8, added on June 7th 2024, is an attempt to respond to questions we raised in our original objection on May 26th 2024 regarding impact on our light, as it is a simple drawing of our house (North Barn) and Green Meadows with what appear to be a number of freehand drawn suns and arrows. However, we have reservations about the resubmitted drawing for the following reasons:

1. Lack of Data Source: The drawing does not specify the data sources or methodologies used to determine the impact on sunlight. Without this information, it is difficult to verify the accuracy and reliability of the presented analysis.

2. Questionable Authenticity: The drawing appears to be a simplified illustration that could have been created to support a predetermined conclusion. It lacks the detail and rigor typically expected in architectural or environmental impact assessments.

3. Professional Validation: There is no indication that the drawing has been reviewed or validated by a certified professional, such as a solar impact analyst. Independent verification is crucial for an unbiased assessment.

Given these points, we maintain our objection and request a comprehensive, professionally validated study to accurately assess the impact on sunlight to our property, if this is deemed to be the basis on which our objection is not fully considered.
Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Kind regards