

From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 17 April 2026 15:59:25 UTC+01:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/26/0006
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 17/04/2026 3:59 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land South Of The Bowling Green The Daisycroft Henfield West Sussex BN5 9RN
Proposal:	Erection of 9no. detached dwellings with associated parking, landscaping, hardstanding, access and associated works.
Case Officer:	Tamara Dale

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: Heather Cottage, 6 Henfield Common North Henfield

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Loss of General Amenity - Other - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise - Trees and Landscaping
Comments:	I write as the resident of Heather Cottage, 6 Henfield Common North (shown as Glen Haven on the site Plan), positioned close to the Southern border of the site.

Firstly, I note that the revised documents posted on 31/3/26 appear remarkably similar to the original proposed documents, to the point where differences are barely discernable. A summary of the revisions would have been helpful. It is hard to see how previous comments have been addressed, if indeed they have.

From our point of view, our key objections remain:

1. Plot 9 is positioned too close to the Southern border of the site, negatively affecting us and a number of properties along Henfield Common North. As mentioned in our previous objection, there is a height differential of 1.2m over a distance of approximately 7 metres between the boundary of the site and the ground floor level of our property. The proposed new fence along the southern boundary, at 1.8m in height, would therefore completely dominate our kitchen/dining room and garden and affect the light in our house.
 2. Due to the above-mentioned height differential, we are extremely concerned that surface water that can no longer be absorbed on the site itself, will run off into our garden and home and cause flooding. We are aware that flooding is already a serious problem for our neighbours along Henfield Common North. We note that HDC Drainage have said they "would like the applicant to consider exceedance routes further. Sufficient detail has not been provided to demonstrate that the existing development to the south is protected from flooding as a result of these exceedance routes". We agree that this is essential
 3. We agree with Place Services suggestion that "there is an opportunity to extend the open space across the southern boundary to form a cohesive open space buffer. of a wider buffer zone along the Southern border of the site". This would entail removing plot 9 entirely and using the space for SUDS measures to mitigate flood risk (swales, planting etc). This would improve amenity for residents of both existing and new properties.
 4. The heights of all the proposed buildings remain inappropriate and detrimental to the protected view from the Common and the conservation area. Even the three proposed bungalows are designed with unnecessarily and inappropriately high and bulky roof lines (incongruent with the scale of the existing bungalows in Daisycroft). As far as I can see, the only revision has been to reduce the property on plot 9 to a bungalow, having little effect on the overall impact of the development on the protected view.
 5. The revisions do not address the risk of long-term damage to the protected trees.
-

Kind regards

Telephone:

Email: planning@horsham.gov.uk



**Horsham
District
Council**

Horsham District Council, Alberty House, Springfield Road, Horsham, West Sussex RH12 2GB
Telephone: 01403 215100 (calls may be recorded) www.horsham.gov.uk Chief Executive: Jane Eaton