[redacted] [redacted]
**Sent:** 19 November 2026 08:45
**To:** Planning
**Subject:** Planning Application Reference: DC/26/1639

**Categories:** Comments Received

Dear Ms. Darley

**Permission in Principle for the erection of one dwelling on the southern side of Handford Way and one replacement dwelling in the residential curtilage south of The Warren. Retention of Estate Management Building and a 150 sq.m extension, for commercial, business and service use within Use Class E(g).**

I write to OBJECT to the planning application above.

I fully support and endorse the objections submitted by my [redacted] neighbours [redacted] (Flat 9, The Warren) [redacted] (Long House, Handford Way) and would like to make the following objections:

This is a watered down version of the most recent application which was refused.The grounds for refusal would not appear to have changed materially.

The applicant has, over a number of years attempted to develop the site by stealth. His so called wood store has been used for commercial purposes [redacted]. Despite this, he has bizarrely applied for a new commercial building on the site.

It is also evident that the applicant may be abusing the planning system by incorrectly stating facts about the development in order to minimise his fee exposure.

I also reiterate my comments in my previous objection that the site is located within the High Weald National Landscape, formerly the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. Great weight must be given to conserving and enhancing the landscape and scenic beauty of a National Landscape. The introduction or intensification of any development at The Warren will accordingly erode the rural character, landscape pattern and natural qualities of this part of the High Weald.

Yours sincerely

[redacted]
White Thorne
RH13 6PE