[redacted]

From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk
Sent: 22 March 2024 18:17
To: Planning
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/24/0332

Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 22/03/2024 6:17 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	11 Allcard Close Horsham West Sussex RH12 5AJ
Proposal:	Surgery to 1x Lawson Cypress and 2x Sweet Chestnut
Case Officer:	Andrew Bush

Click for further information

Customer Details

Address:	11 Allcard Close Horsham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Consultee
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Loss of General Amenity - Trees and Landscaping
Comments:	[redacted] Detrimental to the Amenity of the area The amenity value of the area will be significantly and detrimentally impacted by the proposed work in this application. This is particularly the case following the removal of a considerable number of tress and other plants from the area because of the housing development on the adjacent old Novartis site. The detrimental impact is increased by the amount of work proposed. Reducing the tree (ref T16) by 30% and tree (ref T14) by 50% is excessive and unnecessary. The work will be detrimental to the quality, character, pleasantness and enjoyment of the area

if it is conducted.

The trees beneficially attract varieties of wildlife, birds (including woodpeckers) bats and insects to the area.

No necessity or justification

The reasons given in this application do not justify the need for the described work or in fact the necessity for any work at all.
The application states that there is "...falling debris..." this is misleading and I have never been informed that this is the case. The actual location of the tree (ref T16), which is not shown clearly on the map supplied with the application, means that falling debris into the garden of 4, Harvesters could not be possible. There is no falling debris other than natural leaf fall.

The map supplied with the application is out of date. Therefore, it does not accurately show the situation as it is now.

The trees shade the gardens but do not affect the daylight into the neighbouring properties.

The trees are in a healthy condition, evolving naturally and do not present any danger.

I have independent expert advice which recommends that the trees should be left alone to evolve naturally as any intrusion may cause disease and decay. The branches that have been referred in the application as dead are in fact dormant and should be left in place.

Other relevant matters

I do not know and have not been contacted by the Company that has made this application. [redacted]

I have not, as incorrectly stated, given my permission for this work.

I look to the LPA to uphold the preservation orders that apply to these trees and protect them by not permitting this application.

Kind regards