

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 July 2026 12:49
To: Bethan.Tinning
Subject: DISC/26/0185 - Land at 521943 116023, Furners Lane, Henfield

Dear Bethan,

Environmental Health have reviewed the Aval Consulting Group Contaminated Land Phase 1 Assessment and we have the following comments to make:

1. We note that a detail on a site walkover has been not been detailed in the report. We consider a site reconnaissance visit a crucial part of a preliminary contamination risk assessment – this is reflected in current guidance such as BS:10175 (Investigation of potentially contaminated sites – Code of practice) and R&D 66 (Guidance for the Safe Development of Housing on Land Affected by Contamination). We appreciate that this is a small site in a rural location, we are of view however that a site walkover should be undertaken.
2. We note that the history of the site is summarised in sections 3.1 and 5.1 with both sections indicating that there has been development on the site, in particularly the below comments are noted:

By 2025, the site continued to consist of two attached residential buildings within a fully urbanised and well-established suburban landscape.
3. However, from reviewing the historic maps in the appendices no development is indicated on any of the maps, it is therefore not clear where the commentary in sections 3.1 and 5.1 has been derived from. Given the comments that the site consists of two residential buildings in a fully urbanised and well-established suburban landscape, and taking into account the rural location of the site, we wonder whether this information has been provided in the report in error.
4. We note that detail on the conceptual site model has been provided in section 6.2 with potential pathways and receptors indicated, there does not however appear to be sufficient detail on potential sources of contamination.

Given the above we are unable to recommend the discharge of condition 5 of approved application DC/22/2194.

Kind regards

Kevin

