From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 03 September 2024 02:15:10 UTC+01:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/24/1177
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 03/09/2024 2:15 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	Sendalls Yard, Horsham Car Centre Crawley Road Horsham West Sussex RH12 4HG
Proposal:	Installation of 2x non-illuminated signs and 1x internally illuminated fascia sign.
Case Officer:	Jacques Burrowes

Click for further information

Customer Details

Address:	260 Crawley Road HORSHAM

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Highway Access and Parking - Loss of General Amenity - Other - Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	The main 'Sainsbury's Local' sign would shine directly into number 264 as would the illumination of the ATM. The site, as rejected unanimously by all 21 councillors, is far too close to residents, with almost zero separation to 264 and the flat above the current car dealership 264a (or will it now be called 'b'?). There is no other store of this type with such proximity of dwellings in all of east and

west Sussex.

A reminder that the store is to close, according to the permission, at 10pm not 11pm (to prevent acting as an off-license for late night drinkers), and that all illumination should be switched off, and the ATM hooded. All such measures to be consistent with limiting the degradation of amenity as mentioned throughout the process.

Part of the application's signage is not on their own land, enhancing the situation of plausible deniability. It is unclear whether Sainsbury's or the Sendell Yard owner is the applicant.

We also note the drawings now seem to suggest internal lanes in the car park, while in fact there is no room to maintain those lanes while parking. If widening of the entrance is likely, this would further cut down layby spaces.

Kind regards