From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 14 October 2026 12:08:02 UTC+01:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/26/1458
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 14/10/2026 12:08 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Wateringbury Brighton Road Shermanbury West Sussex RH13 8HQ
Proposal:	Prior Approval for the erection of a single storey rear larger home extension, which would project 7.50m from the rear elevation, comprising a maximum height of 3.00m and an eaves height of 3.00m.
Case Officer:	Meg Bayliss

Click for further information

Customer Details

Address:	Spindlewood Brighton Road, Shermanbury Horsham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Loss of General Amenity - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	The applicant proposes a side door and window that would have sight lines into our existing lounge side window and would negatively impact our privacy. Though they state this is private and screened by an existing fence this is not the case. The

existing boundary fence from deeds and land registry documents is under their control and their sole responsibility to maintain. It is currently in a very poor state of repair and therefore does not form an effective privacy screen as suggested. There are no proposals in the application to remedy this.
The plan indicating the existing property boundary is inaccurate. The boundary from our deeds is 0.6m from the face of our garage wall to allow maintenance and currently marked by an existing chain link fence. This is on the centre line of the hedge on the plan. The proposed extension would therefore be closer to our existing garage and garden boundary than indicated on the application.
The application appears to be unsuitable under permitted development for the following reasons
The property as it existed in July 1948 was 59 square metres and the applicant proposes too large a percentage increase
The application includes the enlargement of a porch on the primary elevation overlooking the highway
The proposal involves joining to an existing extension with existing pitched roof currently over 3 metres in height.
I would suggest that a formal planning application would therefore be the most appropriate route to obtaining the applicants requirements and to address any current concerns of neighbours.

Kind regards

Telephone:

Email: planning@horsham.gov.uk

Horsham District Council