

From: Planning@horsham.gov.uk <Planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Sent: 09 October 2025 00:04:24 UTC+01:00
To: "Planning" <planning@horsham.gov.uk>
Subject: Comments for Planning Application DC/25/1356
Categories: Comments Received

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 09/10/2025 12:04 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	Crosswinds Hampers Lane Storrington West Sussex RH20 3HZ
Proposal:	Demolition of existing bungalow and erection of two detached dwellings and associated garages
Case Officer:	Shazia Penne

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: Hylates, Hampers Lane, Storrington, RH203HZ Storrington

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Highway Access and Parking- Loss of General Amenity- Overdevelopment- Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	Firstly i would like to draw HDCs attention to the shortcomings of the planning portal. I am commenting of this application relatively blind and i have been unable to gain access to all associated documents relating to this application irrespective of which device i have been attempting to gain access with. This is unfortunate and sad. I do know though that i can easily sort the columns/headers as this dialog box comment is the best i can

see.

i am objecting to this development first and foremost due to highway access. Crosswinds lies at the end of a shared unmade track with severely narrow tight turning angles. This will not allow for any building materials to be delivered directly to site unless on a very small vehicle. This would increase the traffic along Hamper Lane considerably. The use of large delivery lorries would without doubt cause significant disruption to all in the lane as off loading lorries will block the lane for unacceptable times.

The access lane itself is not suitable for construction equipment and vehicles. Continuous movement of heavy equipment would cause damage to an already fragile track and risk damaging mine and The Maples water isolation points at the start of the track. this is a major concern.

I would consider the plan(as outlined to me as i cannot gain access to the associated documents) as an over development of the site and not adhering to the supplementary document, The Heath Common Design Statement.

I would question the water neutrality of the development and currently there is one 3 bed dwelling and the proposal is for two 4 bed dwellings. I have been unable to see if there is a statement on this as i cannot gain access to the associated documents but practical thinking would surely surmise that the proposed development will undoubtedly use substantially more in a time when supply is dwindling..

Finally through conversation with neighbors i feel it is only right to support the position taken with regard to privacy. Both The Maples and The Mandarin are going to be affected as the properties will be severely overlooked. As the topography of the plot and the height of the elevations there are sight lines which will impact the privacy of both of these homes and home owners and based on the comments i have received this could also be a issues between plot 1 and plot 2.

For the given reasons i object to the proposal and in the even i am able to gain access to the associated document for this application i may submit further comments accordingly.

Kind regards

Telephone:

Email: planning@horsham.gov.uk



Horsham District Council, Alberty House, Springfield Road, Horsham, West Sussex RH12 2GB
Telephone: 01403 215100 (calls may be recorded) www.horsham.gov.uk Chief Executive: Jane Eaton