

DC/24/1538

Feedback Form to Horsham D.C. Planning & Highways.

Proposed development of Land South of Furners Lane, Henfield

Planning Received

31 OCT 2024

Name:

Ref:

DC/24/1538

~~Elvira Homes welcome your comments on the proposed development at Land South of
Furners Lane, Henfield. Your comments will be reported to Mid Sussex District Council and
will be made publicly available.~~

Address: Dalblair House, Furners Lane, Henfield BN5 9HS.

(Your personal details will NOT be disclosed, and are collected in order that we may validate your comments. Anonymous feedback forms will NOT be considered.)

Comments on the proposals

- 1) Do you agree that the proposed layout appropriately reflects the pattern of development for this part of Henfield?

Yes / ☒ No / Maybe

Comments: The site is designated as Greenfield Land !!

- ① The village plan reflects the pattern of development for this part of Henfield - Elvira plan does not. Fails on all aspects of access, numbers & safety.
- ② The village plan was fine - open space & single storey properties totaling less than half the number indicated on the Elvira plan - the same applies to extra vehicles using the single track lane to the High Street junction.
- ③ Furners Lane is very narrow in places with only single lane access between the proposed Elvira site and the High Street junction, very busy at peak times now - an extra 40 vehicles would not be welcome by anyone attempting to access the High Street.
- ④ Elvira have guaranteed the high hedge between their build and Furners Lane will not be removed. Have highways confirmed that the new junction will not require the hedge to be splayed? Please inform me if any of the tall hedge has to be removed should the planners accept a modified plan.

Questions continued overleaf...

PTO.

- 2) Do you agree that the style of homes and choice of materials are appropriate for this part of Henfield?

Yes / ☒ No / Maybe

Comments:

Definitely not appropriate - the properties indicated in the proposed plan are far too crowded together - reduce the 29 units to less than half that number would be far more appropriate for this part of Henfield & the users of Furness Lane. Due to council decisions over the last decade the narrow lane has become a hazard to pedestrians because of increased traffic & no footpaths.

- 3) Do you agree that the landscaped open space is appropriately sized and located within the layout?

Yes / No / ☒ Maybe

Comments: The use of swales not suitable here - encouraging vermin in a proposed domestic environment.

The 29 units are too crowded & far too many to inflict on a single track lane - maybe 40+ extra vehicles using the single track lane accessing the High St. junction - many extra commercial vehicles using the single track Furness Lane - No footpaths between the High St. junction & the Elivia site. The hazards to all

Do you have any further comments?

road users accessing Furness Lane are both obvious and dangerous with the further increase in traffic numbers, cars and commercial. At times the junction is very busy now - with the increase in traffic numbers using the single track lane, it will provoke chaos at peak times, totally unacceptable on safety grounds. - What is the point of a village plan? Developers submit ideas ignoring the village plan in favour of crowding in as many units as possible. As a long term Henfield resident I sincerely hope the decision makers reduce the property density & traffic numbers to less than half the proposed Elivia plan.

To Planning, Horsham Council & Highways Dept.